## AFFIRMATION OF BOARD VOTING RECORD (VOICE VOTE)

June 15, 2017, Board Gathering, 9:00 a.m.

Subject: Vice Chairman Hamilton motions for approval to close the meeting scheduled for June 27, 2017, pursuant to the Government in the Sunshine Act at 5 U.S.C. § 552b(d)(1) and the Board's implementing regulations at 10 C.F.R. § 1704.5(a). By approving this motion, the Board determines that public participation would likely disclose matters specifically exempted by statute and/or disclose information for which premature disclosure would likely frustrate implementation of a proposed action of the Board. Therefore, by approving this action, the Board is invoking Exemptions (3) and (9)(B) to close the meeting (5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(3) and (9)(B); 10 C.F.R. 1704.4(c) and (h)). Finally, a Board vote to approve this motion will result in the withdrawal of Doc. No. 2017-300-078 from the Orange Folder.

The Board, with Board Member(s) Sean Sullivan, Bruce Hamilton, Jessie Roberson, Daniel J. Santos, and Joyce L. Connery voting as indicated below, has voted to **approve** the above question on June 15, 2017.

The votes were recorded as:

	APRVD	DISAPRVD	ABSTAIN	NOT PARTICIPATING*	DATE
Sean Sullivan				$\boxtimes$	6/15/17
Bruce Hamilton	$\boxtimes$				6/15/17
Jessie Roberson	$\boxtimes$				6/15/17
Daniel J. Santos	$\boxtimes$				6/15/17
Joyce L. Connery	$\boxtimes$				6/15/17

<sup>\*</sup>Reason for Not Participating: Absence

Notes: By subsequent Board vote, the date of this approved closed meeting was moved from June 27, 2017, to July 18, 2017.

This Record contains a summary of the voice voting on this matter by the Board Members

Recorded By: Casey Blaine

**Acting General Counsel** 

Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board

## DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD NOTATIONAL VOTE RESPONSE SHEET

	e Hamilton	to alogo the June	. 27 2017 maati	ana.	
SUBJECT: Recor	d of voice voic	e to close the June	: 27, 2017 meen	x	
Approved_X_ Disapproved		proved	Abstain		
Recusal – Not Parti	cipating	_	e		
COMMENTS:	Below_X	Attached	None		
might advance the err	emption is redu I it is not applica oneous interpre ad acted in orde	ndant to Exemption ble once the Board tation that the infor er not to frustrate D	(3) prior to the B has decided. Incl mation could be v OE's action, but th	nshine Act (5 U.S.C. § oard's decision on a uding it as an exemption withheld from the public me "proposed agency act	;
"That said, a majority place to revisit that co		e taken the view th	at (9)(B) is approp	riate, and this is not the	1
"I therefore approve."					
		4	Tuce Hamilton	- Hamel	
			1 = 74116	2017	

Date