In the Matter of:

Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board

September 28, 2017 Public Business Meeting

Condensed Transcript with Word Index



For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

	1			3
1		1	I N D E X	
2		2		
3		3	AGENDA ITEM: Opening Remarks	PAGE: 4
4		5	opening remarks	1
5			FY 2018 Strategic Plan	
6		6	Presentation and Questions	6
7	DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD	7	Office of the Technical Director Work Plan	
8		8	Presentation and Questions	18
9		9		
10	PUBLIC BUSINESS MEETING:	10	Office of the General Manager Work Plan Presentation and Questions	52
11	DNFSB STRATEGIC, STAFFING, AND WORK PLANS	11		52
12	FOR FISCAL YEAR 2018		Office of the General Counsel Work Plan	
13		12	Presentation and Questions	72
14		13	FY 2018 Staffing Plan	
15	September 28, 2017	14	Presentation and Questions	82
16		15		1.0.0
17		16	Public Comment Period	109
18	625 Indiana Avenue, NW	17	Recess for Lunch	110
19	Washington, DC 20004	18		
20		19	Board Deliberations	110
21		20	Closing Remarks	204
22		21	5	
23		22		
24		23 24		
25		25		
	2			4
1	2 APPEARANCES	1	PROCEEDINGS	4
1 _2	_	1 2	PROCEEDINGS	4
	_		PROCEEDINGS CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So, good morning. M	
2	A P P E A R A N C E S DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD: SEAN SULLIVAN, Chairman	2		My name
2 3	A P P E A R A N C E S DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD: SEAN SULLIVAN, Chairman BRUCE HAMILTON, Vice Chairman	2 3	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So, good morning. M	My name efense
2 3 4	A P P E A R A N C E S DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD: SEAN SULLIVAN, Chairman BRUCE HAMILTON, Vice Chairman JESSIE H. ROBERSON, Board Member	2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So, good morning. M is Sean Sullivan and I am the chairman of the De Nuclear Facilities Safety Board. I will preside today's public business meeting.	My name efense e over
2 3 4 5 6 7	A P P E A R A N C E S DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD: SEAN SULLIVAN, Chairman BRUCE HAMILTON, Vice Chairman JESSIE H. ROBERSON, Board Member JOYCE L. CONNERY, Board Member	2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So, good morning. M is Sean Sullivan and I am the chairman of the De Nuclear Facilities Safety Board. I will preside today's public business meeting. I would like to introduce my colleagues	My name efense e over on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A P P E A R A N C E S DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD: SEAN SULLIVAN, Chairman BRUCE HAMILTON, Vice Chairman JESSIE H. ROBERSON, Board Member	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So, good morning. M is Sean Sullivan and I am the chairman of the De Nuclear Facilities Safety Board. I will preside today's public business meeting. I would like to introduce my colleagues Board. To my immediate right is Vice Chairman H	My name efense e over on the Bruce
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A P P E A R A N C E S DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD: SEAN SULLIVAN, Chairman BRUCE HAMILTON, Vice Chairman JESSIE H. ROBERSON, Board Member JOYCE L. CONNERY, Board Member DANIEL J. SANTOS, Board Member	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So, good morning. M is Sean Sullivan and I am the chairman of the De Nuclear Facilities Safety Board. I will preside today's public business meeting. I would like to introduce my colleagues Board. To my immediate right is Vice Chairman H Hamilton, and to his right Board member Jessie H	My name efense e over on the Bruce Roberson.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A P P E A R A N C E S DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD: SEAN SULLIVAN, Chairman BRUCE HAMILTON, Vice Chairman JESSIE H. ROBERSON, Board Member JOYCE L. CONNERY, Board Member DANIEL J. SANTOS, Board Member DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD STAFF:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So, good morning. M is Sean Sullivan and I am the chairman of the De Nuclear Facilities Safety Board. I will preside today's public business meeting. I would like to introduce my colleagues Board. To my immediate right is Vice Chairman H Hamilton, and to his right Board member Jessie H Next to Ms. Roberson is Board member Daniel Sant	My name efense e over on the Bruce Roberson. tos.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A P P E A R A N C E S DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD: SEAN SULLIVAN, Chairman BRUCE HAMILTON, Vice Chairman JESSIE H. ROBERSON, Board Member JOYCE L. CONNERY, Board Member DANIEL J. SANTOS, Board Member DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD STAFF: JAMES BIGGINS, General Counsel	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So, good morning. M is Sean Sullivan and I am the chairman of the De Nuclear Facilities Safety Board. I will preside today's public business meeting. I would like to introduce my colleagues Board. To my immediate right is Vice Chairman H Hamilton, and to his right Board member Jessie H Next to Ms. Roberson is Board member Daniel Sand Seated to my left is Joyce Connery. We five con	My name efense e over on the Bruce Roberson. tos.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A P P E A R A N C E S DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD: SEAN SULLIVAN, Chairman BRUCE HAMILTON, Vice Chairman JESSIE H. ROBERSON, Board Member JOYCE L. CONNERY, Board Member DANIEL J. SANTOS, Board Member DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD STAFF: JAMES BIGGINS, General Counsel GLEN SKLAR, General Manager	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So, good morning. M is Sean Sullivan and I am the chairman of the De Nuclear Facilities Safety Board. I will preside today's public business meeting. I would like to introduce my colleagues Board. To my immediate right is Vice Chairman M Hamilton, and to his right Board member Jessie M Next to Ms. Roberson is Board member Daniel Sant Seated to my left is Joyce Connery. We five con the board.	My name efense e over on the Bruce Roberson. tos. mstitute
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A P P E A R A N C E S DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD: SEAN SULLIVAN, Chairman BRUCE HAMILTON, Vice Chairman JESSIE H. ROBERSON, Board Member JOYCE L. CONNERY, Board Member DANIEL J. SANTOS, Board Member DANIEL J. SANTOS, Board Member DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD STAFF: JAMES BIGGINS, General Counsel GLEN SKLAR, General Manager KATHERINE HERRERA, Deputy General Manager	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So, good morning. M is Sean Sullivan and I am the chairman of the De Nuclear Facilities Safety Board. I will preside today's public business meeting. I would like to introduce my colleagues Board. To my immediate right is Vice Chairman M Hamilton, and to his right Board member Jessie M Next to Ms. Roberson is Board member Daniel Sant Seated to my left is Joyce Connery. We five con the board. Having established a quorum of Board mem	My name efense e over on the Bruce Roberson. tos. nstitute mbers,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A P P E A R A N C E S DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD: SEAN SULLIVAN, Chairman BRUCE HAMILTON, Vice Chairman JESSIE H. ROBERSON, Board Member JOYCE L. CONNERY, Board Member DANIEL J. SANTOS, Board Member DANIEL J. SANTOS, Board Member DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD STAFF: JAMES BIGGINS, General Counsel GLEN SKLAR, General Manager KATHERINE HERRERA, Deputy General Manager RICHARD TONTODONATO, Deputy Technical	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So, good morning. M is Sean Sullivan and I am the chairman of the De Nuclear Facilities Safety Board. I will preside today's public business meeting. I would like to introduce my colleagues Board. To my immediate right is Vice Chairman H Hamilton, and to his right Board member Jessie H Next to Ms. Roberson is Board member Daniel Sant Seated to my left is Joyce Connery. We five con the board. Having established a quorum of Board men this business meeting will now come to order.	My name efense e over on the Bruce Roberson. tos. nstitute mbers, James
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A P P E A R A N C E S DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD: SEAN SULLIVAN, Chairman BRUCE HAMILTON, Vice Chairman JESSIE H. ROBERSON, Board Member JOYCE L. CONNERY, Board Member DANIEL J. SANTOS, Board Member DANIEL J. SANTOS, Board Member DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD STAFF: JAMES BIGGINS, General Counsel GLEN SKLAR, General Manager KATHERINE HERRERA, Deputy General Manager RICHARD TONTODONATO, Deputy Technical Director	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So, good morning. M is Sean Sullivan and I am the chairman of the De Nuclear Facilities Safety Board. I will preside today's public business meeting. I would like to introduce my colleagues Board. To my immediate right is Vice Chairman M Hamilton, and to his right Board member Jessie M Next to Ms. Roberson is Board member Daniel Sand Seated to my left is Joyce Connery. We five con the board. Having established a quorum of Board mem this business meeting will now come to order. The Biggins, the Board's General Counsel, will serve	My name efense e over on the Bruce Roberson. tos. nstitute mbers, James
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A P P E A R A N C E S DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD: SEAN SULLIVAN, Chairman BRUCE HAMILTON, Vice Chairman JESSIE H. ROBERSON, Board Member JOYCE L. CONNERY, Board Member DANIEL J. SANTOS, Board Member DANIEL J. SANTOS, Board Member DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD STAFF: JAMES BIGGINS, General Counsel GLEN SKLAR, General Manager KATHERINE HERRERA, Deputy General Manager RICHARD TONTODONATO, Deputy Technical Director ADAM POLOSKI, Deputy Technical Director	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So, good morning. M is Sean Sullivan and I am the chairman of the De Nuclear Facilities Safety Board. I will preside today's public business meeting. I would like to introduce my colleagues Board. To my immediate right is Vice Chairman H Hamilton, and to his right Board member Jessie H Next to Ms. Roberson is Board member Daniel Sant Seated to my left is Joyce Connery. We five con the board. Having established a quorum of Board mem this business meeting will now come to order. The Biggins, the Board's General Counsel, will serve Board's Executive Secretary for the meeting.	My name efense e over on the Bruce Roberson. tos. nstitute mbers, James e as the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A P P E A R A N C E S DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD: SEAN SULLIVAN, Chairman BRUCE HAMILTON, Vice Chairman JESSIE H. ROBERSON, Board Member JOYCE L. CONNERY, Board Member DANIEL J. SANTOS, Board Member DANIEL J. SANTOS, Board Member DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD STAFF: JAMES BIGGINS, General Counsel GLEN SKLAR, General Manager KATHERINE HERRERA, Deputy General Manager RICHARD TONTODONATO, Deputy Technical Director ADAM POLOSKI, Deputy Technical Director CHRISTOPHER ROSCETTI, Associate Technical	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So, good morning. M is Sean Sullivan and I am the chairman of the De Nuclear Facilities Safety Board. I will preside today's public business meeting. I would like to introduce my colleagues Board. To my immediate right is Vice Chairman M Hamilton, and to his right Board member Jessie M Next to Ms. Roberson is Board member Daniel Sand Seated to my left is Joyce Connery. We five con the board. Having established a quorum of Board mem this business meeting will now come to order. O Biggins, the Board's General Counsel, will serve Board's Executive Secretary for the meeting. This public business meeting was annound	My name efense e over on the Bruce Roberson. tos. nstitute mbers, James e as the ced on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A P P E A R A N C E S DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD: SEAN SULLIVAN, Chairman BRUCE HAMILTON, Vice Chairman JESSIE H. ROBERSON, Board Member JOYCE L. CONNERY, Board Member DANIEL J. SANTOS, Board Member DANIEL J. SANTOS, Board Member DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD STAFF: JAMES BIGGINS, General Counsel GLEN SKLAR, General Manager KATHERINE HERRERA, Deputy General Manager RICHARD TONTODONATO, Deputy Technical Director ADAM POLOSKI, Deputy Technical Director	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So, good morning. M is Sean Sullivan and I am the chairman of the De Nuclear Facilities Safety Board. I will preside today's public business meeting. I would like to introduce my colleagues Board. To my immediate right is Vice Chairman H Hamilton, and to his right Board member Jessie H Next to Ms. Roberson is Board member Daniel Sand Seated to my left is Joyce Connery. We five con the board. Having established a quorum of Board men this business meeting will now come to order. This Biggins, the Board's General Counsel, will serve Board's Executive Secretary for the meeting. This public business meeting was annound September 13th, 2017 on the Board's public webs	My name efense e over on the Bruce Roberson. tos. nstitute mbers, James e as the ced on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A P P E A R A N C E S DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD: SEAN SULLIVAN, Chairman BRUCE HAMILTON, Vice Chairman JESSIE H. ROBERSON, Board Member JOYCE L. CONNERY, Board Member DANIEL J. SANTOS, Board Member DANIEL J. SANTOS, Board Member DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD STAFF: JAMES BIGGINS, General Counsel GLEN SKLAR, General Manager KATHERINE HERRERA, Deputy General Manager RICHARD TONTODONATO, Deputy Technical Director ADAM POLOSKI, Deputy Technical Director CHRISTOPHER ROSCETTI, Associate Technical	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So, good morning. M is Sean Sullivan and I am the chairman of the De Nuclear Facilities Safety Board. I will preside today's public business meeting. I would like to introduce my colleagues Board. To my immediate right is Vice Chairman M Hamilton, and to his right Board member Jessie M Next to Ms. Roberson is Board member Daniel Sand Seated to my left is Joyce Connery. We five con the board. Maving established a quorum of Board mer this business meeting will now come to order. This Biggins, the Board's General Counsel, will serve Board's Executive Secretary for the meeting. This public business meeting was annound September 13th, 2017 on the Board's public webs subsequently noticed in the Federal Register on	My name efense e over on the Bruce Roberson. tos. nstitute mbers, James e as the ced on ite,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A P P E A R A N C E S DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD: SEAN SULLIVAN, Chairman BRUCE HAMILTON, Vice Chairman JESSIE H. ROBERSON, Board Member JOYCE L. CONNERY, Board Member DANIEL J. SANTOS, Board Member DANIEL J. SANTOS, Board Member DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD STAFF: JAMES BIGGINS, General Counsel GLEN SKLAR, General Manager KATHERINE HERRERA, Deputy General Manager RICHARD TONTODONATO, Deputy Technical Director ADAM POLOSKI, Deputy Technical Director CHRISTOPHER ROSCETTI, Associate Technical	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So, good morning. M is Sean Sullivan and I am the chairman of the De Nuclear Facilities Safety Board. I will preside today's public business meeting. I would like to introduce my colleagues Board. To my immediate right is Vice Chairman M Hamilton, and to his right Board member Jessie M Next to Ms. Roberson is Board member Daniel Sant Seated to my left is Joyce Connery. We five con the board. Maving established a quorum of Board mer this business meeting will now come to order. This Biggins, the Board's General Counsel, will serve Board's Executive Secretary for the meeting. This public business meeting was annound September 13th, 2017 on the Board's public webs subsequently noticed in the Federal Register on September 15th, 2017. The Board has voted to be	My name efense e over on the Bruce Roberson. tos. nstitute mbers, James e as the ced on ite, old this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A P P E A R A N C E S DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD: SEAN SULLIVAN, Chairman BRUCE HAMILTON, Vice Chairman JESSIE H. ROBERSON, Board Member JOYCE L. CONNERY, Board Member DANIEL J. SANTOS, Board Member DANIEL J. SANTOS, Board Member DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD STAFF: JAMES BIGGINS, General Counsel GLEN SKLAR, General Manager KATHERINE HERRERA, Deputy General Manager RICHARD TONTODONATO, Deputy Technical Director ADAM POLOSKI, Deputy Technical Director CHRISTOPHER ROSCETTI, Associate Technical	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So, good morning. M is Sean Sullivan and I am the chairman of the De Nuclear Facilities Safety Board. I will preside today's public business meeting. I would like to introduce my colleagues Board. To my immediate right is Vice Chairman M Hamilton, and to his right Board member Jessie M Next to Ms. Roberson is Board member Daniel Sant Seated to my left is Joyce Connery. We five con the board. Maving established a quorum of Board mer this business meeting will now come to order. This Biggins, the Board's General Counsel, will serve Board's Executive Secretary for the meeting. This public business meeting was annound September 13th, 2017 on the Board's public webs subsequently noticed in the Federal Register on September 15th, 2017. The Board has voted to he public business meeting pursuant to the Government	My name efense e over on the Bruce Roberson. tos. nstitute mbers, James e as the ced on ite, old this ent in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A P P E A R A N C E S DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD: SEAN SULLIVAN, Chairman BRUCE HAMILTON, Vice Chairman JESSIE H. ROBERSON, Board Member JOYCE L. CONNERY, Board Member DANIEL J. SANTOS, Board Member DANIEL J. SANTOS, Board Member DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD STAFF: JAMES BIGGINS, General Counsel GLEN SKLAR, General Manager KATHERINE HERRERA, Deputy General Manager RICHARD TONTODONATO, Deputy Technical Director ADAM POLOSKI, Deputy Technical Director CHRISTOPHER ROSCETTI, Associate Technical	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So, good morning. M is Sean Sullivan and I am the chairman of the De Nuclear Facilities Safety Board. I will preside today's public business meeting. I would like to introduce my colleagues Board. To my immediate right is Vice Chairman H Hamilton, and to his right Board member Jessie H Next to Ms. Roberson is Board member Jessie H Next to Ms. Roberson is Board member Jessie H Seated to my left is Joyce Connery. We five con the board. Having established a quorum of Board mem this business meeting will now come to order. I Biggins, the Board's General Counsel, will serve Board's Executive Secretary for the meeting. This public business meeting was annound September 13th, 2017 on the Board's public webs subsequently noticed in the Federal Register on September 15th, 2017. The Board has voted to he public business meeting pursuant to the Government the Sunshine Act, the Board's implementing regular	My name efense e over on the Bruce Roberson. tos. hstitute mbers, James e as the ced on ite, old this ent in lations
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A P P E A R A N C E S DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD: SEAN SULLIVAN, Chairman BRUCE HAMILTON, Vice Chairman JESSIE H. ROBERSON, Board Member JOYCE L. CONNERY, Board Member DANIEL J. SANTOS, Board Member DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD STAFF: JAMES BIGGINS, General Counsel GLEN SKLAR, General Manager KATHERINE HERRERA, Deputy General Manager RICHARD TONTODONATO, Deputy Technical Director ADAM POLOSKI, Deputy Technical Director CHRISTOPHER ROSCETTI, Associate Technical Director 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So, good morning. M is Sean Sullivan and I am the chairman of the De Nuclear Facilities Safety Board. I will preside today's public business meeting. I would like to introduce my colleagues Board. To my immediate right is Vice Chairman H Hamilton, and to his right Board member Jessie H Next to Ms. Roberson is Board member Daniel Sant Seated to my left is Joyce Connery. We five con the board. Maving established a quorum of Board mer this business meeting will now come to order. If Biggins, the Board's General Counsel, will serve Board's Executive Secretary for the meeting. This public business meeting was annound September 13th, 2017 on the Board's public webs subsequently noticed in the Federal Register on September 15th, 2017. The Board has voted to he public business meeting pursuant to the Government the Sunshine Act, the Board's implementing regul	My name efense e over on the Bruce Roberson. tos. nstitute mbers, James e as the ced on ite, old this ent in lations Board's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A P P E A R A N C E S DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD: SEAN SULLIVAN, Chairman BRUCE HAMILTON, Vice Chairman JESSIE H. ROBERSON, Board Member JOYCE L. CONNERY, Board Member DANIEL J. SANTOS, Board Member DANIEL J. SANTOS, Board Member DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD STAFF: JAMES BIGGINS, General Counsel GLEN SKLAR, General Manager KATHERINE HERRERA, Deputy General Manager RICHARD TONTODONATO, Deputy Technical Director ADAM POLOSKI, Deputy Technical Director CHRISTOPHER ROSCETTI, Associate Technical	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So, good morning. M is Sean Sullivan and I am the chairman of the De Nuclear Facilities Safety Board. I will preside today's public business meeting. I would like to introduce my colleagues Board. To my immediate right is Vice Chairman H Hamilton, and to his right Board member Jessie H Next to Ms. Roberson is Board member Jessie H Next to Ms. Roberson is Board member Jessie H Seated to my left is Joyce Connery. We five con the board. Having established a quorum of Board mem this business meeting will now come to order. I Biggins, the Board's General Counsel, will serve Board's Executive Secretary for the meeting. This public business meeting was annound September 13th, 2017 on the Board's public webs subsequently noticed in the Federal Register on September 15th, 2017. The Board has voted to he public business meeting pursuant to the Government the Sunshine Act, the Board's implementing regular	My name efense e over on the Bruce Roberson. tos. nstitute mbers, James e as the ced on ite, old this ent in lations Board's

1 (Pages 1 to 4)

	-			_
	5			7
1	The objective of this public business meeting is	1	written this, since this went through Orange Folder	
2	for the Board to deliberate and vote on its Fiscal Year	2	process and is an amalgamation of ideas from all of the	
3	2018 Strategic Plan and Fiscal Year 2018 Staffing Plan,	3	Board members. I will say this, that this is in Yellow	
4	as well as the respective Fiscal Year 2018 Work Plans	4	Folder; however, it is not ready for prime time, even	
5	for the Office of the General Manager, the Office of the	5	after this meeting. One, because I didn't have a	
6	General Counsel and the Office of the Technical	6	technical editor, so you will notice that principles is	
7	Director.	7	spelled wrong and it's not formatted correctly, but also	
8	After the presentations and questions, I will	8	I think that there's still some cleaning up to do as,	
9	recognize members of the public for their comments in	9	again, this represents a number of individual views and	
10	the time allotted. The Board will then recess for	10	it hasn't really congealed yet to something that I	
11	lunch. When we return, the Board will then deliberate.	11	believe is worthy of putting forward.	
12	I will summarize the outcome of the discussions in	12	So the reason why I move this forward is because	
13	conjunction with the summary of any staff taskings and	13	the staff didn't feel that they would be able to do so	
14	votes by the General Counsel.	14	themselves. Part of it is because I think we have a	
15	This concludes my opening remarks in my capacity	15	differing view on the Board as to what a strategic plan	
16	as Chairman, and I have no individual remarks in my	16	is and whether or not one is needed. There's obviously	
17	capacity as a Board member.	17	guidance from OMB that requires a strategic plan, so	
18	So I turn to my other Board members,	18	it's a pro forma act in the view of some Board members;	
19	Mr. Hamilton?	19	other Board members, myself included, feel that	
20	MR. HAMILTON: I have no opening remarks,	20	strategic plans are important to set the goals and the	
21	Mr. Chairman.	21	vision for the agency moving forward.	
22	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Thank you.	22	From our strategic plan will flow performance	
23	Ms. Roberson?	23	plans and our budget requests and individual performance	ce
24	MS. ROBERSON: No remarks at this time,	24	plans for staff members. So that's why I believe it's	
25	Mr. Chairman.	25	important.	
	6			8
1	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Mr. Santos?	1	So I am going to briefly outline what's here,	
2	MR. SANTOS: No remarks.	2	you can read to let you know why it looks the way it	
3	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Ms. Connery?	3	looks and how we got here. So first of all, the mission	
4	MS. CONNERY: No remarks at this time.	4	statement comes straight out of the out of our	
5	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: This concludes the Board's	5	statute, and that was agreed to by all Board members,	
6	opening remarks.	6	which is good that we all agreed to the mission	
7	I would like to begin with the first order on	7	statement that is put forward in law, otherwise we would	
8	the agenda which is the FY '18 strategic plan, and I	8	be in deeper trouble.	
9	call on our general manager, Mr. Glenn Sklar, to make a	9	The second section is principles. This was in	
10	presentation on the strategic plan.	10	former plans and vision statement. There is that was	
11	MR. SKLAR: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.	11	omitted for verbiage that was principles, it was a lot	
12	Mr. Chairman, Mr. Vice Chairman Hamilton and Board	12	longer, and a lot of each Board member had views as	
13	members, thank you for the opportunity to introduce the	13	to what should be included and what shouldn't be	
14	draft strategic plan for FY '18 through FY '22. I would	14	included. But these three principles that are listed	
15	ask you at this time to please flip to tab 3 where both	15	here are ones that were agreed to by all Board members	
16	the prior strategic plan and new draft strategic plan	16	without exception.	
17	are located. The draft strategic plan, which was	17	The second section is an amalgam of some things	
18	drafted by Board Member Connery, includes a mission	18	that were actually part of the goals of last year's	
19	statement, principles, operational guidance and goals.	19	plan, and some of the other items in the front part of	
•				

20

21

22

23

24

25

Member Connery.

Ms. Connery has generously agreed to both

introduce and review the strategic plan for us this

going to take credit or responsibility for having

morning, so at this point, I will turn it over to Board

MS. CONNERY: Thank you, Mr. Sklar. So, I'm not

plan, and some of the other items in the front part of 20 last year's strategic plan. And these, again, come from

- Orange Folder comments from the Board members, and so
- some of them are a little bit repetitive, and I believe the last three were added by one Board member and so the other Board members haven't had a chance to comment on them.

21

22

23

24

25

^{2 (}Pages 5 to 8)

	9		11
1	I would say that one place where we've had some	1	Counsel with regard to how we handle safety complaints
2	repeated remarks by one of the Board members was the use	2	or safety issues, but these are fairly straightforward
3	of the word "transparent," because some of our closed	3	and I think this may be a goal that we have to
4	meeting transcripts haven't been published to websites,	4	re-evaluate in terms of the verbiage underneath.
5	that's a decision of the Board. There's a Board member	5	The third goal is systematic analysis of design
6	who feels that we should not aspire to transparency	6	and operational data, it's kind of the bread and butter
7	because we don't embody it now.	7	of what the staff does, and again, there is an emphasis
8	I don't believe that's the view of the majority	8	from a number of Board members about the independent
9	of the rest of the Board members, but I just note that	9	analysis that needs to be done, the review, the safety
10	as it's an area of potential controversy.	10	analysis reports and operational data. And then the
11	I think some of the others are pretty	11	second objective to independently obtain and analyze
12	straightforward with regards to how we would conduct	12	data related to the safe operations.
13	business. Again, some of the last three items were	13	Goal four is about review of design of new
14	added by a Board member during Orange Folder comment, so	14	facilities before construction and periodically
15	again, this is in Yellow Folder, but I think we still	15	thereafter, and again, we've just issued a policy
16	have work to do.	16	statement on this, so this should flow directly to the
17	But more importantly, let's get to the goals.	17	policy statement and there should be no areas that are
18	The goals come directly this is at the request of one	18	contradictory. So I would ask both Board members and
19	of the Board members, directed from the statute, and are	19	staff to make sure that those are aligned with what your
20	organized I think chronologically is how they're listed	20	expectations are.
21	in the statute. So the first goal talks about standard	21	And goal number five is proposal of
22	and review, independent review of the content and	22	recommendations to the Secretary of Energy, when
23	implementation of the standards. This is one of the	23	determined necessary, to ensure adequate protection of
24	primary reasons why the Board was created. If you look	24	health and safety. And that, again, goes back to the
25	back at the legislative history, the strategic	25	statute that if we're writing recommendations, that they

10

are high-quality recommendations that are technically 1 objectives are kind of split up into three areas: One, 1 2 that the Board staff will provide independent oversight 2 sound with sufficient risk analysis and technical for the development of safety standards, so that's our 3 feasibility of implementation provided. 3 4 4 goal within the REVCOM process; that we will provide So that's where we are now. Again, I would say 5 5 independent review of the implementation, and this is that this is even when -- if we vote this up, I would part and parcel of what the staff does when they go out 6 6 suggest that we vote it up as a draft and then continue 7 7 and determine whether or not the activities are meeting to work on it so that all Board members are in sync with 8 8 the standards; and the third is performing cross-cutting what is in the strategic plan. I would say that at 9 9 analysis of the effectiveness of the standards, and this least two Board members commented that the strategic 10 would, again, come from reviews that are performed 10 plan only works if all five Board members are in across the complex where there is identification of 11 accordance with it. So we have to be able to get a 11 12 issues that may eventually lead to problems, and that 12 strategic plan that gets a 5-0 vote in order for some 13 would be able to compare and determine whether or not 13 Board members to believe that it's going to be 14 the standards themselves as written are not only are 14 effective, and that's actually a goal that I would also 15 they not being implemented in the correct way or that 15 want to strive for. 16 even if they are being implemented in the correct way, 16 So, with that, I will turn it back to our next 17 perhaps that's leading to safety challenge that needs to 17 agenda item. be addressed at a higher level. 18 18 MR. SKLAR: So, at this moment in time, we have 19 The second goal had to do with the investigation 19 about 10 minutes left for this section, if folks do have 20 of any event or practice which adversely affects or may 20 any questions, this would be the time. 21 adversely affect public health and safety. The 21 CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So, I have a question for 22 strategic objectives came from one of the Board members, 22 you, Mr. Sklar. So, if I look at last year's plan, or I 23 23 which I believe came directly from the statute. I mean, sorry, the old strategic plan which covered the 24 understand that part of this will probably need to be 24 '14 -- FY '14 to '18 time frame, there was a little addressed in a work-in-progress by the Office of General 25 25 schematic on the last page which talks about strategic

3 (Pages 9 to 12)

	13		15
1	plan flowing down to the annual performance plan. And	1	performing the mission that Congress assigned to them
2	then over on the left, office leveling work plans,	2	and that's why GPRA is designed so that the statutory
3	individual performance plans and appraisals.	3	mission flows into the strategic plan which then is
4	So, as this is my question is, as this is	4	supposed to flow down into performance requirements for
5	constructed, the goals and strategic objectives all	5	the agency and for the agency employees. And much of
6	follow the statute, which is all technical, so there's	6	that is captured in OPM guidance with respect to what is
7	no goals or objectives that would apply to any of the	7	supposed to be in performance plans. So performance
8	non-technical people who work for the agency, which is	8	plans themselves are supposed to tie back to specific
9	everybody in your office, and the general counsel	9	strategic goals of the agency and highlight in each
10	sometimes does work in a technical side and sometimes	10	performance criteria what goal of the agency is being
11	not.	11	fulfilled by the performance plan element itself.
12	So, there are some things in the operational	12	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So I take from that that
13	guidance section which are non-technical, and my	13	there might be a problem if we don't have any strategic
14	question is, does it matter if we have things in the	14	goals related to how well we're going to manage or
15	strategic plan, whether we call them strategic goals or	15	otherwise comply with other requirements? For example,
16	operational guidance, or whatever we call them in the	16 17	we have just to take an example, we have employees
17 18	plan, does that matter when we get into the annual performance plan and the individual performance plans	17	who do the budget and there's general managers responsible for the budget. We don't have any goal,
18	under the performance appraisal and accountability	18	strategic goal that says that we will have a good
20	system?	20	budget. Is there will I run into problems trying to
20	MR. SKLAR: I guess I can address that two ways.	20	write do the things I need to do under the
22	One is what meets the strict legal definitions for this	22	performance appraisal system?
23	type of strategic planning, and the other is operational	23	That was where I was trying to go with my
24	guidance for organizations that need to do our job here.	24	question, and whether or not it would actually make more
25	So I will leave the legal definitions to OGC, but I will	25	sense to try and take the operational guidance section
	14		16
1		1	
1 2	say certainly we'll take as much guidance as we can get.	1 2	and relabel it so that it would be usable in the
2	say certainly we'll take as much guidance as we can get. Certainly today we are hopeful to get some operational	2	and relabel it so that it would be usable in the performance appraisal system.
	say certainly we'll take as much guidance as we can get. Certainly today we are hopeful to get some operational guidance from this group as well, your thoughts about		and relabel it so that it would be usable in the performance appraisal system. MR. BIGGINS: It might make more sense to do
2 3	say certainly we'll take as much guidance as we can get. Certainly today we are hopeful to get some operational	2 3	and relabel it so that it would be usable in the performance appraisal system.
2 3 4	say certainly we'll take as much guidance as we can get. Certainly today we are hopeful to get some operational guidance from this group as well, your thoughts about where we need to be, where we need to go. Certainly	2 3 4	and relabel it so that it would be usable in the performance appraisal system. MR. BIGGINS: It might make more sense to do that because it's my understanding that the OPM guidance
2 3 4 5 6 7	say certainly we'll take as much guidance as we can get. Certainly today we are hopeful to get some operational guidance from this group as well, your thoughts about where we need to be, where we need to go. Certainly written is best, but we'll take whatever guidance we can get from this group. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Okay, maybe you can give us	2 3 4 5 6 7	and relabel it so that it would be usable in the performance appraisal system. MR. BIGGINS: It might make more sense to do that because it's my understanding that the OPM guidance is specific to the goals of the agency, so we wouldn't we probably would not match the nomenclature used by OPM if we left items in a under a heading of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	say certainly we'll take as much guidance as we can get. Certainly today we are hopeful to get some operational guidance from this group as well, your thoughts about where we need to be, where we need to go. Certainly written is best, but we'll take whatever guidance we can get from this group. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Okay, maybe you can give us an answer later if we're not prepared, and I don't want	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and relabel it so that it would be usable in the performance appraisal system. MR. BIGGINS: It might make more sense to do that because it's my understanding that the OPM guidance is specific to the goals of the agency, so we wouldn't we probably would not match the nomenclature used by OPM if we left items in a under a heading of operational guidance versus a strategic goal.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	say certainly we'll take as much guidance as we can get. Certainly today we are hopeful to get some operational guidance from this group as well, your thoughts about where we need to be, where we need to go. Certainly written is best, but we'll take whatever guidance we can get from this group. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Okay, maybe you can give us an answer later if we're not prepared, and I don't want to ask the general counsel to start talking about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and relabel it so that it would be usable in the performance appraisal system. MR. BIGGINS: It might make more sense to do that because it's my understanding that the OPM guidance is specific to the goals of the agency, so we wouldn't we probably would not match the nomenclature used by OPM if we left items in a under a heading of operational guidance versus a strategic goal. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Okay, thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	say certainly we'll take as much guidance as we can get. Certainly today we are hopeful to get some operational guidance from this group as well, your thoughts about where we need to be, where we need to go. Certainly written is best, but we'll take whatever guidance we can get from this group. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Okay, maybe you can give us an answer later if we're not prepared, and I don't want to ask the general counsel to start talking about something that may sound like attorney/client privileged	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	and relabel it so that it would be usable in the performance appraisal system. MR. BIGGINS: It might make more sense to do that because it's my understanding that the OPM guidance is specific to the goals of the agency, so we wouldn't we probably would not match the nomenclature used by OPM if we left items in a under a heading of operational guidance versus a strategic goal. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Okay, thank you. Ms. Connery?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	say certainly we'll take as much guidance as we can get. Certainly today we are hopeful to get some operational guidance from this group as well, your thoughts about where we need to be, where we need to go. Certainly written is best, but we'll take whatever guidance we can get from this group. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Okay, maybe you can give us an answer later if we're not prepared, and I don't want to ask the general counsel to start talking about something that may sound like attorney/client privileged advice, but what I was really just asking is a technical	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	and relabel it so that it would be usable in the performance appraisal system. MR. BIGGINS: It might make more sense to do that because it's my understanding that the OPM guidance is specific to the goals of the agency, so we wouldn't we probably would not match the nomenclature used by OPM if we left items in a under a heading of operational guidance versus a strategic goal. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Okay, thank you. Ms. Connery? MS. CONNERY: So, I'll just note the first draft
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	say certainly we'll take as much guidance as we can get. Certainly today we are hopeful to get some operational guidance from this group as well, your thoughts about where we need to be, where we need to go. Certainly written is best, but we'll take whatever guidance we can get from this group. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Okay, maybe you can give us an answer later if we're not prepared, and I don't want to ask the general counsel to start talking about something that may sound like attorney/client privileged advice, but what I was really just asking is a technical question about the rules and the regs, and so that is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	and relabel it so that it would be usable in the performance appraisal system. MR. BIGGINS: It might make more sense to do that because it's my understanding that the OPM guidance is specific to the goals of the agency, so we wouldn't we probably would not match the nomenclature used by OPM if we left items in a under a heading of operational guidance versus a strategic goal. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Okay, thank you. Ms. Connery? MS. CONNERY: So, I'll just note the first draft of this I set it up to comply with OMB guidance.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	say certainly we'll take as much guidance as we can get. Certainly today we are hopeful to get some operational guidance from this group as well, your thoughts about where we need to be, where we need to go. Certainly written is best, but we'll take whatever guidance we can get from this group. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Okay, maybe you can give us an answer later if we're not prepared, and I don't want to ask the general counsel to start talking about something that may sound like attorney/client privileged advice, but what I was really just asking is a technical question about the rules and the regs, and so that is does it matter, you know, if there's something in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and relabel it so that it would be usable in the performance appraisal system. MR. BIGGINS: It might make more sense to do that because it's my understanding that the OPM guidance is specific to the goals of the agency, so we wouldn't we probably would not match the nomenclature used by OPM if we left items in a under a heading of operational guidance versus a strategic goal. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Okay, thank you. Ms. Connery? MS. CONNERY: So, I'll just note the first draft of this I set it up to comply with OMB guidance. Several Board members decided that they didn't like the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	say certainly we'll take as much guidance as we can get. Certainly today we are hopeful to get some operational guidance from this group as well, your thoughts about where we need to be, where we need to go. Certainly written is best, but we'll take whatever guidance we can get from this group. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Okay, maybe you can give us an answer later if we're not prepared, and I don't want to ask the general counsel to start talking about something that may sound like attorney/client privileged advice, but what I was really just asking is a technical question about the rules and the regs, and so that is does it matter, you know, if there's something in the strategic plan, but it's not listed as a strategic goal,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and relabel it so that it would be usable in the performance appraisal system. MR. BIGGINS: It might make more sense to do that because it's my understanding that the OPM guidance is specific to the goals of the agency, so we wouldn't we probably would not match the nomenclature used by OPM if we left items in a under a heading of operational guidance versus a strategic goal. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Okay, thank you. Ms. Connery? MS. CONNERY: So, I'll just note the first draft of this I set it up to comply with OMB guidance. Several Board members decided that they didn't like the nomenclature and edited them. So that's why you have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	say certainly we'll take as much guidance as we can get. Certainly today we are hopeful to get some operational guidance from this group as well, your thoughts about where we need to be, where we need to go. Certainly written is best, but we'll take whatever guidance we can get from this group. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Okay, maybe you can give us an answer later if we're not prepared, and I don't want to ask the general counsel to start talking about something that may sound like attorney/client privileged advice, but what I was really just asking is a technical question about the rules and the regs, and so that is does it matter, you know, if there's something in the strategic plan, but it's not listed as a strategic goal, can I still cite to it and develop annual performance	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and relabel it so that it would be usable in the performance appraisal system. MR. BIGGINS: It might make more sense to do that because it's my understanding that the OPM guidance is specific to the goals of the agency, so we wouldn't we probably would not match the nomenclature used by OPM if we left items in a under a heading of operational guidance versus a strategic goal. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Okay, thank you. Ms. Connery? MS. CONNERY: So, I'll just note the first draft of this I set it up to comply with OMB guidance. Several Board members decided that they didn't like the nomenclature and edited them. So that's why you have what you have today as I was trying to accommodate the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	say certainly we'll take as much guidance as we can get. Certainly today we are hopeful to get some operational guidance from this group as well, your thoughts about where we need to be, where we need to go. Certainly written is best, but we'll take whatever guidance we can get from this group. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Okay, maybe you can give us an answer later if we're not prepared, and I don't want to ask the general counsel to start talking about something that may sound like attorney/client privileged advice, but what I was really just asking is a technical question about the rules and the regs, and so that is does it matter, you know, if there's something in the strategic plan, but it's not listed as a strategic goal, can I still cite to it and develop annual performance plans around that? That's really my question. Do you	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ \end{array} $	and relabel it so that it would be usable in the performance appraisal system. MR. BIGGINS: It might make more sense to do that because it's my understanding that the OPM guidance is specific to the goals of the agency, so we wouldn't we probably would not match the nomenclature used by OPM if we left items in a under a heading of operational guidance versus a strategic goal. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Okay, thank you. Ms. Connery? MS. CONNERY: So, I'll just note the first draft of this I set it up to comply with OMB guidance. Several Board members decided that they didn't like the nomenclature and edited them. So that's why you have what you have today as I was trying to accommodate the views strong views in some cases of Board members
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	say certainly we'll take as much guidance as we can get. Certainly today we are hopeful to get some operational guidance from this group as well, your thoughts about where we need to be, where we need to go. Certainly written is best, but we'll take whatever guidance we can get from this group. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Okay, maybe you can give us an answer later if we're not prepared, and I don't want to ask the general counsel to start talking about something that may sound like attorney/client privileged advice, but what I was really just asking is a technical question about the rules and the regs, and so that is does it matter, you know, if there's something in the strategic plan, but it's not listed as a strategic goal, can I still cite to it and develop annual performance plans around that? That's really my question. Do you know I don't know if you know the answer off the top	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ \end{array} $	and relabel it so that it would be usable in the performance appraisal system. MR. BIGGINS: It might make more sense to do that because it's my understanding that the OPM guidance is specific to the goals of the agency, so we wouldn't we probably would not match the nomenclature used by OPM if we left items in a under a heading of operational guidance versus a strategic goal. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Okay, thank you. Ms. Connery? MS. CONNERY: So, I'll just note the first draft of this I set it up to comply with OMB guidance. Several Board members decided that they didn't like the nomenclature and edited them. So that's why you have what you have today as I was trying to accommodate the views strong views in some cases of Board members who didn't like the term "strategic goal," didn't like
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	say certainly we'll take as much guidance as we can get. Certainly today we are hopeful to get some operational guidance from this group as well, your thoughts about where we need to be, where we need to go. Certainly written is best, but we'll take whatever guidance we can get from this group. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Okay, maybe you can give us an answer later if we're not prepared, and I don't want to ask the general counsel to start talking about something that may sound like attorney/client privileged advice, but what I was really just asking is a technical question about the rules and the regs, and so that is does it matter, you know, if there's something in the strategic plan, but it's not listed as a strategic goal, can I still cite to it and develop annual performance plans around that? That's really my question. Do you know I don't know if you know the answer off the top of your head.	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ \end{array} $	and relabel it so that it would be usable in the performance appraisal system. MR. BIGGINS: It might make more sense to do that because it's my understanding that the OPM guidance is specific to the goals of the agency, so we wouldn't we probably would not match the nomenclature used by OPM if we left items in a under a heading of operational guidance versus a strategic goal. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Okay, thank you. Ms. Connery? MS. CONNERY: So, I'll just note the first draft of this I set it up to comply with OMB guidance. Several Board members decided that they didn't like the nomenclature and edited them. So that's why you have what you have today as I was trying to accommodate the views strong views in some cases of Board members who didn't like the term "strategic goal," didn't like the term "goal," didn't like the term "objective,"
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	say certainly we'll take as much guidance as we can get. Certainly today we are hopeful to get some operational guidance from this group as well, your thoughts about where we need to be, where we need to go. Certainly written is best, but we'll take whatever guidance we can get from this group. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Okay, maybe you can give us an answer later if we're not prepared, and I don't want to ask the general counsel to start talking about something that may sound like attorney/client privileged advice, but what I was really just asking is a technical question about the rules and the regs, and so that is does it matter, you know, if there's something in the strategic plan, but it's not listed as a strategic goal, can I still cite to it and develop annual performance plans around that? That's really my question. Do you know I don't know if you know the answer off the top of your head. MR. SKLAR: My gut answer is yes, in that	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ \end{array} $	and relabel it so that it would be usable in the performance appraisal system. MR. BIGGINS: It might make more sense to do that because it's my understanding that the OPM guidance is specific to the goals of the agency, so we wouldn't we probably would not match the nomenclature used by OPM if we left items in a under a heading of operational guidance versus a strategic goal. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Okay, thank you. Ms. Connery? MS. CONNERY: So, I'll just note the first draft of this I set it up to comply with OMB guidance. Several Board members decided that they didn't like the nomenclature and edited them. So that's why you have what you have today as I was trying to accommodate the views strong views in some cases of Board members who didn't like the term "strategic goal," didn't like the term "goal," didn't like the term "objective," didn't want to put operational issues in that part of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	say certainly we'll take as much guidance as we can get. Certainly today we are hopeful to get some operational guidance from this group as well, your thoughts about where we need to be, where we need to go. Certainly written is best, but we'll take whatever guidance we can get from this group. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Okay, maybe you can give us an answer later if we're not prepared, and I don't want to ask the general counsel to start talking about something that may sound like attorney/client privileged advice, but what I was really just asking is a technical question about the rules and the regs, and so that is does it matter, you know, if there's something in the strategic plan, but it's not listed as a strategic goal, can I still cite to it and develop annual performance plans around that? That's really my question. Do you know I don't know if you know the answer off the top of your head.	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ \end{array} $	and relabel it so that it would be usable in the performance appraisal system. MR. BIGGINS: It might make more sense to do that because it's my understanding that the OPM guidance is specific to the goals of the agency, so we wouldn't we probably would not match the nomenclature used by OPM if we left items in a under a heading of operational guidance versus a strategic goal. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Okay, thank you. Ms. Connery? MS. CONNERY: So, I'll just note the first draft of this I set it up to comply with OMB guidance. Several Board members decided that they didn't like the nomenclature and edited them. So that's why you have what you have today as I was trying to accommodate the views strong views in some cases of Board members who didn't like the term "strategic goal," didn't like the term "goal," didn't like the term "objective,"
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	say certainly we'll take as much guidance as we can get. Certainly today we are hopeful to get some operational guidance from this group as well, your thoughts about where we need to be, where we need to go. Certainly written is best, but we'll take whatever guidance we can get from this group. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Okay, maybe you can give us an answer later if we're not prepared, and I don't want to ask the general counsel to start talking about something that may sound like attorney/client privileged advice, but what I was really just asking is a technical question about the rules and the regs, and so that is does it matter, you know, if there's something in the strategic plan, but it's not listed as a strategic goal, can I still cite to it and develop annual performance plans around that? That's really my question. Do you know I don't know if you know the answer off the top of your head. MR. SKLAR: My gut answer is yes, in that certainly there's a lot of leeway as we develop our	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array} $	and relabel it so that it would be usable in the performance appraisal system. MR. BIGGINS: It might make more sense to do that because it's my understanding that the OPM guidance is specific to the goals of the agency, so we wouldn't we probably would not match the nomenclature used by OPM if we left items in a under a heading of operational guidance versus a strategic goal. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Okay, thank you. Ms. Connery? MS. CONNERY: So, I'll just note the first draft of this I set it up to comply with OMB guidance. Several Board members decided that they didn't like the nomenclature and edited them. So that's why you have what you have today as I was trying to accommodate the views strong views in some cases of Board members who didn't like the term "strategic goal," didn't like the term "goal," didn't like the term "objective," didn't want to put operational issues in that part of the strategic plan.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	say certainly we'll take as much guidance as we can get. Certainly today we are hopeful to get some operational guidance from this group as well, your thoughts about where we need to be, where we need to go. Certainly written is best, but we'll take whatever guidance we can get from this group. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Okay, maybe you can give us an answer later if we're not prepared, and I don't want to ask the general counsel to start talking about something that may sound like attorney/client privileged advice, but what I was really just asking is a technical question about the rules and the regs, and so that is does it matter, you know, if there's something in the strategic plan, but it's not listed as a strategic goal, can I still cite to it and develop annual performance plans around that? That's really my question. Do you know I don't know if you know the answer off the top of your head. MR. SKLAR: My gut answer is yes, in that certainly there's a lot of leeway as we develop our performance plans, but again, I'll defer to legal for a technical response maybe on the record. MR. BIGGINS: I would add to that, Mr. Chairman,	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	and relabel it so that it would be usable in the performance appraisal system. MR. BIGGINS: It might make more sense to do that because it's my understanding that the OPM guidance is specific to the goals of the agency, so we wouldn't we probably would not match the nomenclature used by OPM if we left items in a under a heading of operational guidance versus a strategic goal. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Okay, thank you. Ms. Connery? MS. CONNERY: So, I'll just note the first draft of this I set it up to comply with OMB guidance. Several Board members decided that they didn't like the nomenclature and edited them. So that's why you have what you have today as I was trying to accommodate the views strong views in some cases of Board members who didn't like the term "strategic goal," didn't like the term "goal," didn't like the term "objective," didn't want to put operational issues in that part of the strategic plan. MS. ROBERSON: Mr. Chairman?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	say certainly we'll take as much guidance as we can get. Certainly today we are hopeful to get some operational guidance from this group as well, your thoughts about where we need to be, where we need to go. Certainly written is best, but we'll take whatever guidance we can get from this group. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Okay, maybe you can give us an answer later if we're not prepared, and I don't want to ask the general counsel to start talking about something that may sound like attorney/client privileged advice, but what I was really just asking is a technical question about the rules and the regs, and so that is does it matter, you know, if there's something in the strategic plan, but it's not listed as a strategic goal, can I still cite to it and develop annual performance plans around that? That's really my question. Do you know I don't know if you know the answer off the top of your head. MR. SKLAR: My gut answer is yes, in that certainly there's a lot of leeway as we develop our performance plans, but again, I'll defer to legal for a technical response maybe on the record. MR. BIGGINS: I would add to that, Mr. Chairman, if I may. So, the Congressional intent behind GPRA and	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	and relabel it so that it would be usable in the performance appraisal system. MR. BIGGINS: It might make more sense to do that because it's my understanding that the OPM guidance is specific to the goals of the agency, so we wouldn't we probably would not match the nomenclature used by OPM if we left items in a under a heading of operational guidance versus a strategic goal. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Okay, thank you. Ms. Connery? MS. CONNERY: So, I'll just note the first draft of this I set it up to comply with OMB guidance. Several Board members decided that they didn't like the nomenclature and edited them. So that's why you have what you have today as I was trying to accommodate the views strong views in some cases of Board members who didn't like the term "strategic goal," didn't like the term "goal," didn't like the term "objective," didn't want to put operational issues in that part of the strategic plan. MS. ROBERSON: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Yes? MS. ROBERSON: Having been one of those Board members, I just want to make sure we're going to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	say certainly we'll take as much guidance as we can get. Certainly today we are hopeful to get some operational guidance from this group as well, your thoughts about where we need to be, where we need to go. Certainly written is best, but we'll take whatever guidance we can get from this group. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Okay, maybe you can give us an answer later if we're not prepared, and I don't want to ask the general counsel to start talking about something that may sound like attorney/client privileged advice, but what I was really just asking is a technical question about the rules and the regs, and so that is does it matter, you know, if there's something in the strategic plan, but it's not listed as a strategic goal, can I still cite to it and develop annual performance plans around that? That's really my question. Do you know I don't know if you know the answer off the top of your head. MR. SKLAR: My gut answer is yes, in that certainly there's a lot of leeway as we develop our performance plans, but again, I'll defer to legal for a technical response maybe on the record. MR. BIGGINS: I would add to that, Mr. Chairman,	$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	and relabel it so that it would be usable in the performance appraisal system. MR. BIGGINS: It might make more sense to do that because it's my understanding that the OPM guidance is specific to the goals of the agency, so we wouldn't we probably would not match the nomenclature used by OPM if we left items in a under a heading of operational guidance versus a strategic goal. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Okay, thank you. Ms. Connery? MS. CONNERY: So, I'll just note the first draft of this I set it up to comply with OMB guidance. Several Board members decided that they didn't like the nomenclature and edited them. So that's why you have what you have today as I was trying to accommodate the views strong views in some cases of Board members who didn't like the term "strategic goal," didn't like the term "goal," didn't like the term "objective," didn't want to put operational issues in that part of the strategic plan. MS. ROBERSON: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Yes? MS. ROBERSON: Having been one of those Board

4 (Pages 13 to 16)

	17		19
1	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: That's right, I was just	1	organized consistent with the Board's strategic plan,
2	asking for input.	2	performance goals and OTD organizational structure as
3	MS. ROBERSON: But what I want to make sure that	3	follows: Nuclear weapons programs, NWP; nuclear
4	I could ask Ms. Connery a question, since she was part	4	materials processing and stabilization, or NMPS; nuclear
5	of the presentation here. Is that okay?	5	programs and analysis, or NPA; and nuclear facility
6	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: That's fine with me.	6	design and infrastructure, or NFDI; and lastly,
7	MS. ROBERSON: You stated in your comments that	7	engineering performance, or EP.
8	you felt there were things missing, is that the thing	8	The FY 2018 overview work plan discusses the
9	you were referring to, or is there something else?	9	oversight approach and high priority work within each of
10	MS. CONNERY: I believe that that's one of the	10	these organizational areas. OTD conducted a
11	bigger items. I think we need to be able to if you	11	comprehensive and oversight strategic planning for
12	go strictly off the statute, the statute already exists,	12	FY 2018 resulting in 38 plans. The plans define the
13	we don't need to in my view we don't need to	13	strategy for conducting nuclear oversight of Department
14 15	regurgitate it. We need to give the staff guidance and	14	of Energy sites, projects and safety management
15	I think we need to give the staff guidance not only in terms of what we'll do with regards to the technical	15 16	programs. The plans also include internal OTD initiatives to improve execution of the Board's mission.
10	work plan, but kind of broader guidance of how we want	17	Based on the oversight plans, the technical staff
18	the agency to operate, and this includes efficiency and	18	identified approximately 280 work activities for
19	effectiveness and it includes, you know, some of the	19	FY 2018.
20	other items that were struck from the original document	20	I would like to take some time now to thank the
21	that we had before.	21	OTD staff involved in identifying all of the candidate
22	MS. ROBERSON: Thank you.	22	work activities, and the engineering performance staff
23	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Are there any other	23	who helped develop them into a feasible work plan.
24	questions from Board members before we proceed?	24	Specifically, I would like to thank Mr. Todd Davis,
25	(No response.)	25	Ms. Frances Sunderland, Ms. Katie Sullivan and Mr. Peter
	18		20
1	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: If not, thank you,	1	Foster for their outstanding efforts in producing this
2	Mr. Sklar, and Ms. Connery, and we will now go on to the	2	work plan.
3	presentation of the Office of the Technical Director's	3	The OTD leadership team reviewed and adjusted
4	work plan. So, Dr. Adam Poloski will start the	4	the work plan until the focus or the work focus was
5	presentation.	5	consistent with the Board's priorities and the resource
6 7	So, while we're working on this, on the presentation, this presentation and questions are	6 7	loads were appropriate for the available technical staff. The FY 2018 work plan loading addresses
8	scheduled to go until 10:35, but to keep us on schedule,	8	activities to emerging activities, including Board
9	I would like to take at least a five-minute break after	9	initiatives, operational safety issues and changes in
10	this before we move on, so if we can finish by 10:30,	10	the DOE defense nuclear activities.
11	that would be good.	11	Slide 4, please. Each year, OTD has improved
12	MR. POLOSKI: Good morning, my name is Adam	12	the planning tools and processes to help drive the best
13	Poloski, I am the technical director for performance.	13	oversight strategies and execution of the Board's
14	Slide 2, please. Here is an outline of today's	14	highest priority work. For FY 2018, OTD implemented
15	discussion. The discussion is broken into two pieces.	15	improvements in the following areas: The first is staff
16	I am here to present and discuss the first piece on how	16	resource loading; second is prioritization; and then the
17	we develop the technical staff's fiscal year 2018 work	17	third is cross-cutting review identification.
18	plan. Mr. Richard E. Tontodonato, the Board's technical	18	I will now talk about each one of these areas in
19	director for engineering operations, will discuss the	19	further detail. For staff resources, OTD revised the
20	second piece, which covers the highest priority work	20	planning system to allow tailoring individual staff
21	plan for FY 2018.	21	members' loadings for use.
22	Slide 3, please. The Office of the Technical	22	Slide 6, please. For prioritization, OTD issued
23	Director, or OTD, developed the fiscal year or FY 2018	23	a standing order in June 2017 that included new guidance
24	OTD work plan based on the Defense Nuclear Facilities	24	on work priorities for the FY 2018 work plan. The
25	Safety Board's oversight mission. The work plan is	25	standing order defines the following work activities as

23

24

21 nondiscretionary: These include actions directed by the 1 2 Board, such as approved requests for Board action; Board 3 commitments based on response to Inspector General 4 reports; Board activities, including site visits, public 5 hearings and reports to Congress, for example the annual 6 report; activities consistent with Board policy and 7 procedures, such as design and construction reviews, 8 directives reviews, review of implementation plan 9 deliverables for recommendations, annual correspondence 10 on open recommendations, and, lastly, actions needed to 11 meet annual performance goals for the agency. 12 The technical staff prioritizes other OTD work 13 based on the type of activity; that is, safety bases 14 reviews, safety management program reviews, or directive reviews, and a safeguard score. The safeguard score 15 16 indicates or includes criteria associated with people, 17 facility and equipment, operations and design, and 18 safety bases. The process results in a priority score 19 of 1 to 6, with 1 being the highest priority. The 20 technical staff may adjust the priority when warranted; 21 for example, in response to an operational event or 22 based on the Board's interest. 23 Slide 7, please. For cross-cutting reviews, OTD 24 conducted a series of oversight planning meetings in key 25 review areas. For example, fire protection, electrical

1 the constraints and major uncertainties of the FY 2018 2 work plan. The work plan for 2018 has two principle 3 constraints: One, the resources were taken to be the 4 total number and capability of the technical staff, 5 resident inspector and supervisory work was not included 6 in the work plan; two, the work plan activities are 7 based on our understanding of current DOE plans for work 8 activities in FY 2018. 9 Uncertainties associated with the scope of work 10 in the OTD FY 2018 plan are largely tied to potential schedule changes on the part of DOE and the National 11 12 Nuclear Security Administration, or NMSA. Some review 13 activities are dependent on DOE and NMSA producing 14 specific documents and achieving milestones prior to the review. Emergent DOE and NMSA activities can also drive 15 16 the need to perform additional oversight activities that 17 impact the work plan. OTD will adjust schedules and 18 tasks to reflect the Board's priorities and maintain the 19 quality of each review. 20 Additional items that could affect the OTD FY 21 2018 work plan include internal and external assessments 22 of work processes and activities, including the 23 Inspector General audits. OTD resources are needed to 24 support these assessments and develop corrective 25 actions. Staff attrition and new staff hires also

22

1 1 systems, ventilation, quality assurance, criticality, 2 seismic and geotechnical. These meetings provided a 2 3 forum to discuss potential reviews across the defense 3 4 nuclear complex, the key aspects of each review and 4 5 5 potential cross-cutting areas. 6 6 The meetings also encouraged cognizant engineers 7 7 to discuss the priority of each review with staff 8 experts, and help ensure consistent prioritization in 8 9 9 disciplines where technical staff resources are limited. 10 During the meetings and subsequent discussions 10 with the OTD leadership team, the technical staff 11 11 12 identified the following areas where cross-cutting 12 13 reviews were completed in FY 2018: Safety control 13 14 implementation, readiness review process and 14 15 maintenance/infrastructure management. 15 16 OTD also added two additional reviews based on 16 discussions with Board members following a preliminary 17 17 18 briefing on the draft FY 2018 work plan. These reviews 18 19 include: One, a comprehensive review of the 19 20 effectiveness of DOE actions to implement currently open 20 21 Board recommendations; and two, an evaluation of Board 21 22 safety issues in the DOE defense nuclear complex that 22 23 the OTD staff has considered open for more than two 23 24 24 vears. 25 Slide 8, please. Now I would like to focus on 25

impact the technical staff's capability and capacity.
New staff members typically do not have the same level of technical and DOE oversight experience as the staff members that depart. New hires also require training that limits their work and impacts staff members involved in their training.
Slide 9, please. With constraints and uncertainties discussed, I would like to speak about the strategic review by the OTD leadership team. The OTD leadership team uses oversight plan input from the technical staff, along with other information on potential issues and problems at defense nuclear facilities to evaluate whether appropriate reviews were

planned for FY 2018. Based on this effort, the team adjusts the plan to focus on the most important perceived oversight areas.

In addition, the OTD leadership confirms the areas for cross-cutting reviews. At that point in the process, one of the challenges facing the leadership team is that the technical staff has identified work that exceeds the staff capacity. The OTD leadership team then needs to distribute the identified work appropriately among staff over the entire fiscal year.

The OTD leadership team works with the staff leads to adjust the work plan by adjusting the work

For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board

9/28/2017

	25		27
1	scope, review team composition, and changing review	1	treat as proportional to the amount of work that a
2	schedules.	2	person can do in a year. It's a figure of merit that we
3	Slide 10, please. In July 2017, the Board	3	tweaked until we were satisfied that we were
4	approved Policy Statement 6, a policy statement on	4	realistically representing how much work we could plan
5	oversight of design/construction of defense nuclear	5	to do during FY '18.
6	facilities. OTD adjusted the work plan consistent with	6	This next chart is the last overview I'm going
7	the policy to include appropriate design and	7	to show. It shows how our planned allocation of
8	construction reviews that are specified at logical	8	resources among the OTD mission focus areas has changed
9	points in the process.	9	from FY '16 to FY '18. You can see there really haven't
10	The work plan includes technical staff work to	10	been any tectonic shifts from year to year. The decline
11	support formal reports to the Board for the following	11	in work that we've categorized in the nuclear programs
12	design and construction phases: Conceptual design,	12	and analysis mission area isn't a sign that we have less
13	final design, construction and commissioning.	13	interest in nuclear safety programs or safety analysis,
14	This concludes my prepared remarks. At this	14	it reflects the fact that we have been associating a
15	time I would like to turn over to Mr. Richard	15	larger proportion of those reviews with either the
16	Tontodonato, the Board's deputy technical director for	16	nuclear weapons program's mission area or the nuclear
17	operations, to describe the work activities in greater	17	materials processing stationary mission area. Those are
18	detail.	18	the areas that encompass safety oversight of the
19	MR. TONTODONATO: Good morning. For the record,	19	facilities that implement those safety programs.
20	I am Richard E. Tontodonato, I am the staff's technical	20	Before I discuss the highest priority work
21	director for engineering operations.	21	proposed for each OTD mission area, I would like to
22	Now that Dr. Poloski has presented the approach	22	emphasize that this is just a plan. We review and
23 24	that OTD used to develop our work plan, I will present the highest priority work plan for fiscal year 2018.	23 24	adjust the work schedule on a regular basis to respond
24 25	As shown on this overview chart, our proposed	24 25	to changes in DOE's plans and activities, emerging nuclear safety issues, new direction from the Board,
	As shown on this overview chart, our proposed	23	nuclear safety issues, new direction from the board,
	26		28
1	work plan includes 280 activities derived from 38	1	changes in the duration of staff activities, and changes
2	oversight plans. Now, I use the term "activities"	2	in the available resources. We will update the Board
3	instead of "safety reviews" because the work plan	3	periodically on the status of high priority reviews and
4	includes activities such as developing training	4	significant changes to the work plan.
5	programs, executing our internal control program and	5	As Dr. Poloski mentioned, the OTD work plan is
6	other activities that aren't safety oversight reviews.	6	organized along the programmatic areas defined in the
7	We build all that into deciding how much safety	7	agency's strategic goals and objectives as they were
8	oversight we can do.	8	established in the Board's strategic plan for fiscal
9	Focusing on the highest priority activities, our	9	years '14 through '18. Goal 1 is concerned with the
10	work plan proposes 69 nondiscretionary activities, seven	10	safety of defense nuclear facility operations.
11	priority 1 reviews and 33 priority 2 reviews. The small	11	Accordingly, goal 1 encompasses the oversight activities
12	number of priority 1 reviews is the result of two main	12	in OTD's nuclear weapons program mission area, and the
13	considerations: First, we did make a concerted effort	13	nuclear materials processing stabilization mission area.
14	this year to make sure our prioritization methodology	14	So, beginning with the nuclear weapons program's
15 16	was more discerning than it was in past years. Simply	15 16	mission area, this is responsible for oversight of the
16 17	put, if everything is a number 1 priority, then nothing is really a number 1 priority. And secondly, a	10	safety of the operations regarding maintenance of the nuclear weapons stockpile and weapons related to
17	significant proportion of the high priority reviews met	17	research development and testing. This mission area
18	our criteria for being treated as nondiscretionary, so	10	supports the Board in notifying NMSA of potential safety
20	they didn't count as a priority 1.	20	issues at NMSA nuclear facilities and nuclear explosive
20	Our planning process recognized that different	20	operations and will contain near continuous oversight
<u>~1</u>	Sar planning process recognized that different		operations and will contain near continuous oversight

Our planning process recognized that different 21 activities require different amount of resources. 22 23 Instead of counting activities, this chart counts the 24 relative allocation of resources by group and priority 25 as proposed in the work plan. So the y axis, you can

7 (Pages 25 to 28)

presence through our resident inspectors at Los Alamos,

For Los Alamos, and it's reflected in here, we

Y 12 National Security Complex and the Pantex Lab.

developed an integrated oversight plan that includes

22

23

24

25

Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board

	29		31
1	both NMSA and DOE environmental management activities,	1	mission area encompasses oversight of the development,
2	because they have extensive work going on in both of	2	implementation and maintenance of DOE's regulations,
3	those areas.	3	requirements, and guidance for providing adequate
4	The nuclear weapon programs activities represent	4	protection of public health and safety at defense
5	about 26 percent of the resource allocation in this work	5	nuclear facilities, and also the establishment and
6	plan. The scope covers the entire range of facilities	6	implementation of safety programs at defense nuclear
7	at the seven sites where NSA conducts nuclear	7	facilities.
8	activities. This chart shows the distribution of work	8	Our efforts to support those objectives at
9	among the different sites. You can see that Los Alamos	9	individual defense nuclear facilities are primarily
10	and Pantex are the two largest pieces of effort and that	10	encompassed in the oversight plans that we developed for
11	is consistent with the scope and hazard activities of	11	the NWP and NMPS mission areas. The NPA mission area
12	those sites.	12	includes programmatic reviews including criticality
13	This next chart is very busy, but it is in the	13	safety, quality assurance and emergency management
14	work plan, and it lists the reviews that we categorized	14	across the DOE defense nuclear complex. These reviews
15	as either nondiscretionary or priority 2. By our	15	address the Board's overarching mandate to ensure
16	scoring system, none of the NWP activities scored as	16	adequate protection of public health and safety.
17	priority 1. So, as I said, that is in the plan for	17	The activities that we found in the NPA mission
18	closer scrutiny.	18	area are about 16 percent of the resource allocation in
19	Continuing with strategic goal 1, the nuclear	19	this work plan. One thing that I will point out in this
20	material processing and stabilization mission area is	20	is that the safety culture wedge there is larger than we
21	responsible for oversight of the safety of operations	21	intended it to be. When we were incorporating the Board
22	and cleanup of legacy defense nuclear waste test	22	member feedback that we got on the preliminary version
23	facilities. NMPS will support the Board in notifying	23	of the plan, we incorporated a review of open
24	DOE of potential safety issues as DOE disposes of excess	24	recommendations which we instead of merging with the
25	radioactive materials, cleans up surplus defense nuclear	25	safety culture review that was doing the exact same
	30		32
1	facilities, and begins operations of new facilities at	1	thing, we added on. So as of Monday, that wedge was 4
2	the EM sites. NMPS, again, with our resident	2	percent, not 12 percent, and it's going to go back to
3	inspectors, will maintain oversight at Savannah River	3	something like 4 percent once we correctly account for
4	and Hanford.	4	the things.
5	So, NMPS activities represent approximately 25	5	And you'll see in the list I'm about to put up
6	percent of the resource allocation in our plan. This	6	that we kind of triple counted it. So that we did not
7	scope covers more than 70 defense nuclear facilities at	7	discover that in time to fix it in what we handed to the
8	five EM sites. The work plan focuses on facility	8	Board in the Yellow Folder, but I just wanted to make
9	operations, in particular safety bases, adequacy and	9	sure that I highlighted that.
10	implementation, operations safety and processes and	10	So, apart from that, you'll see that we have a
11	programs relied upon for safety.	11	strong emphasis on nuclear criticality safety programs
12	So this chart shows the distribution of work	12	and emergency management. The other wedge I wanted to
13	among the EM sites, and a couple of specific Board	13	discuss a little bit is the one called Knowledge
14	recommendations that are remaining open at EM sites. As	14	Transfers. This represents an initiative we have to
15	you can see, Hanford and Savannah River get the largest	15	assign some of our senior technical experts the task of
16	share of the staff's effort, and you have to add up the	16	developing the next generation of staffers for us in
17	two separate wedges of Hanford to see that, but that	17	those fields, because these are some particularly
18	separates out the Department of Protection from the	18	high-demand fields that are hard to fill, and so we

Richland Field Office. The next table is similar to the one that I put up for NWP. These are the reviews that scored out as either nondiscretionary, priority 1, or priority 2. So, the Board's second strategic goal deals with oversight of DOE's use of safety standards at defense nuclear facilities. Our nuclear programs and analysis

8 (Pages 29 to 32)

actually have structured programs in place to train a

quality assurance and nuclear criticality safety. I

believe that is discussed in our staffing plan that

because we had enough numbers of priority 1,

we're going to get to later on in the meeting today.

So this one is actually divided into two slides

new cadre of experts in fields such as safety analysis,

9/28/2017

	33		35
1	nondiscretionary, and priority 2 reviews for NPA. So	1	associated with the Office of the Technical Director.
2	this slide is the nondiscretionary and priority 1, and	2	So this includes the Board's strategic objective to
3	you can see the multiple appearances of recommendation	3	improve management controls to achieve the Board's
4	2011-1 there. We are going to be merging those back,	4	mission efficiently and effectively, to improve and
5	and it will represent the effort that we've said we're	5	sustain effective transparent communications between the
6	going to do, it's just kind of triple counted in the	6	Board and its stakeholders on safety issues and the DOE
7	method right now. And these are the priority 2 reviews.	7	defense nuclear complex, and on the Board's operations.
8	And again, you'll see criticality safety and directives	8	This mission area represents about 11 percent of the
9	are leading the way in that section.	9	resource allocation in this work plan.
10	All right, so the Board's third strategic goal	10	Performance monitoring and development and
11	from its current strategic plan deals with safety and	11	maintenance of internal controls both represent
12 13	design of new defense nuclear facilities and major	12 13	significant levels of effort, as you can see on this
13	modifications to existing facilities. So, as Adam	13	chart, but the largest activity right now in that area is work that we have under way to develop the structured
14	mentioned, Policy Statement 6 is guiding the way we approach the reviews in those areas, and the mission	14	training program for our office.
16	area that accomplishes that for us is nuclear facility	16	And this final table lists the activities that
17	design and infrastructure. These reviews support the	17	we categorized as nondiscretionary for this area. Since
18	Board in recommending and promoting safety of design and	18	this area doesn't go do safety reviews, there aren't
19	construction of new and modified defense nuclear	19	priority 1 and priority 2 activities. These are
20	facilities, and they represent about 22 percent of the	20	activities aimed at improving our performance as an
21	resource allocation in this work plan.	21	element of the agency.
22	So this chart shows clearly the magnitude of the	22	And that concludes my presentation.
23	oversight effort that we have under way for the Hanford	23	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Okay, thank you. So, at
24	Waste Treatment and Immobilization Project. It also	24	this point, Board members, questions?
25	shows the smaller but still significant effort that we	25	Ms. Roberson?
		1	
	34		36
1		1	
1 2	34 have planned for the Y12 Uranium Processing Facility Project and the waste isolation pilot plant's permanent	1 2	36 MS. ROBERSON: One question. During the preliminary review, OTD had estimated about just under
	have planned for the Y12 Uranium Processing Facility		MS. ROBERSON: One question. During the
2	have planned for the Y12 Uranium Processing Facility Project and the waste isolation pilot plant's permanent ventilation system. And again, this next slide lists our	2	MS. ROBERSON: One question. During the preliminary review, OTD had estimated about just under
2 3	have planned for the Y12 Uranium Processing Facility Project and the waste isolation pilot plant's permanent ventilation system. And again, this next slide lists our nondiscretionary reviews in this mission area. Again,	2 3 4 5	MS. ROBERSON: One question. During the preliminary review, OTD had estimated about just under 31 FTEs working with actual oversight in the plan. Has that changed as a result of Board member comments and adjustments, up or down?
2 3 4 5 6	have planned for the Y12 Uranium Processing Facility Project and the waste isolation pilot plant's permanent ventilation system. And again, this next slide lists our nondiscretionary reviews in this mission area. Again, as Adam said, we treat reviews driven by Board policy as	2 3 4 5 6	MS. ROBERSON: One question. During the preliminary review, OTD had estimated about just under 31 FTEs working with actual oversight in the plan. Has that changed as a result of Board member comments and adjustments, up or down? MR. POLOSKI: So, we went through in effort, we
2 3 4 5 6 7	have planned for the Y12 Uranium Processing Facility Project and the waste isolation pilot plant's permanent ventilation system. And again, this next slide lists our nondiscretionary reviews in this mission area. Again, as Adam said, we treat reviews driven by Board policy as nondiscretionary, so the reviews that we view as driven	2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. ROBERSON: One question. During the preliminary review, OTD had estimated about just under 31 FTEs working with actual oversight in the plan. Has that changed as a result of Board member comments and adjustments, up or down? MR. POLOSKI: So, we went through in effort, we added those additional reviews, we kept the number of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	have planned for the Y12 Uranium Processing Facility Project and the waste isolation pilot plant's permanent ventilation system. And again, this next slide lists our nondiscretionary reviews in this mission area. Again, as Adam said, we treat reviews driven by Board policy as nondiscretionary, so the reviews that we view as driven by Policy Statement 6 get binned as nondiscretionary.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. ROBERSON: One question. During the preliminary review, OTD had estimated about just under 31 FTEs working with actual oversight in the plan. Has that changed as a result of Board member comments and adjustments, up or down? MR. POLOSKI: So, we went through in effort, we added those additional reviews, we kept the number of FTEs the number of resources constant, and we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	have planned for the Y12 Uranium Processing Facility Project and the waste isolation pilot plant's permanent ventilation system. And again, this next slide lists our nondiscretionary reviews in this mission area. Again, as Adam said, we treat reviews driven by Board policy as nondiscretionary, so the reviews that we view as driven by Policy Statement 6 get binned as nondiscretionary. And this next slide are the priority 2 reviews that we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. ROBERSON: One question. During the preliminary review, OTD had estimated about just under 31 FTEs working with actual oversight in the plan. Has that changed as a result of Board member comments and adjustments, up or down? MR. POLOSKI: So, we went through in effort, we added those additional reviews, we kept the number of FTEs the number of resources constant, and we releveled the plan in order to try to make the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	have planned for the Y12 Uranium Processing Facility Project and the waste isolation pilot plant's permanent ventilation system. And again, this next slide lists our nondiscretionary reviews in this mission area. Again, as Adam said, we treat reviews driven by Board policy as nondiscretionary, so the reviews that we view as driven by Policy Statement 6 get binned as nondiscretionary. And this next slide are the priority 2 reviews that we have planned.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. ROBERSON: One question. During the preliminary review, OTD had estimated about just under 31 FTEs working with actual oversight in the plan. Has that changed as a result of Board member comments and adjustments, up or down? MR. POLOSKI: So, we went through in effort, we added those additional reviews, we kept the number of FTEs the number of resources constant, and we releveled the plan in order to try to make the adjustments of adding the reviews, but we had to rescope
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	have planned for the Y12 Uranium Processing Facility Project and the waste isolation pilot plant's permanent ventilation system. And again, this next slide lists our nondiscretionary reviews in this mission area. Again, as Adam said, we treat reviews driven by Board policy as nondiscretionary, so the reviews that we view as driven by Policy Statement 6 get binned as nondiscretionary. And this next slide are the priority 2 reviews that we have planned. It is worth noting that the Board's PS-6	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. ROBERSON: One question. During the preliminary review, OTD had estimated about just under 31 FTEs working with actual oversight in the plan. Has that changed as a result of Board member comments and adjustments, up or down? MR. POLOSKI: So, we went through in effort, we added those additional reviews, we kept the number of FTEs the number of resources constant, and we releveled the plan in order to try to make the adjustments of adding the reviews, but we had to rescope and take some items off. So we tried to keep it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	have planned for the Y12 Uranium Processing Facility Project and the waste isolation pilot plant's permanent ventilation system. And again, this next slide lists our nondiscretionary reviews in this mission area. Again, as Adam said, we treat reviews driven by Board policy as nondiscretionary, so the reviews that we view as driven by Policy Statement 6 get binned as nondiscretionary. And this next slide are the priority 2 reviews that we have planned. It is worth noting that the Board's PS-6 requires OTD to "execute independent oversight by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. ROBERSON: One question. During the preliminary review, OTD had estimated about just under 31 FTEs working with actual oversight in the plan. Has that changed as a result of Board member comments and adjustments, up or down? MR. POLOSKI: So, we went through in effort, we added those additional reviews, we kept the number of FTEs the number of resources constant, and we releveled the plan in order to try to make the adjustments of adding the reviews, but we had to rescope and take some items off. So we tried to keep it constant.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	have planned for the Y12 Uranium Processing Facility Project and the waste isolation pilot plant's permanent ventilation system. And again, this next slide lists our nondiscretionary reviews in this mission area. Again, as Adam said, we treat reviews driven by Board policy as nondiscretionary, so the reviews that we view as driven by Policy Statement 6 get binned as nondiscretionary. And this next slide are the priority 2 reviews that we have planned. It is worth noting that the Board's PS-6 requires OTD to "execute independent oversight by performing reviews with defined scope and durations as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. ROBERSON: One question. During the preliminary review, OTD had estimated about just under 31 FTEs working with actual oversight in the plan. Has that changed as a result of Board member comments and adjustments, up or down? MR. POLOSKI: So, we went through in effort, we added those additional reviews, we kept the number of FTEs the number of resources constant, and we releveled the plan in order to try to make the adjustments of adding the reviews, but we had to rescope and take some items off. So we tried to keep it constant. MS. ROBERSON: So is it about the same estimate?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	have planned for the Y12 Uranium Processing Facility Project and the waste isolation pilot plant's permanent ventilation system. And again, this next slide lists our nondiscretionary reviews in this mission area. Again, as Adam said, we treat reviews driven by Board policy as nondiscretionary, so the reviews that we view as driven by Policy Statement 6 get binned as nondiscretionary. And this next slide are the priority 2 reviews that we have planned. It is worth noting that the Board's PS-6 requires OTD to "execute independent oversight by performing reviews with defined scope and durations as specified and in logical points in the process, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. ROBERSON: One question. During the preliminary review, OTD had estimated about just under 31 FTEs working with actual oversight in the plan. Has that changed as a result of Board member comments and adjustments, up or down? MR. POLOSKI: So, we went through in effort, we added those additional reviews, we kept the number of FTEs the number of resources constant, and we releveled the plan in order to try to make the adjustments of adding the reviews, but we had to rescope and take some items off. So we tried to keep it constant. MS. ROBERSON: So is it about the same estimate? MR. POLOSKI: I think it was 30.9 instead of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	have planned for the Y12 Uranium Processing Facility Project and the waste isolation pilot plant's permanent ventilation system. And again, this next slide lists our nondiscretionary reviews in this mission area. Again, as Adam said, we treat reviews driven by Board policy as nondiscretionary, so the reviews that we view as driven by Policy Statement 6 get binned as nondiscretionary. And this next slide are the priority 2 reviews that we have planned. It is worth noting that the Board's PS-6 requires OTD to "execute independent oversight by performing reviews with defined scope and durations as specified and in logical points in the process, and document the review results in four formal reports to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. ROBERSON: One question. During the preliminary review, OTD had estimated about just under 31 FTEs working with actual oversight in the plan. Has that changed as a result of Board member comments and adjustments, up or down? MR. POLOSKI: So, we went through in effort, we added those additional reviews, we kept the number of FTEs the number of resources constant, and we releveled the plan in order to try to make the adjustments of adding the reviews, but we had to rescope and take some items off. So we tried to keep it constant. MS. ROBERSON: So is it about the same estimate? MR. POLOSKI: I think it was 30.9 instead of 30.5.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	have planned for the Y12 Uranium Processing Facility Project and the waste isolation pilot plant's permanent ventilation system. And again, this next slide lists our nondiscretionary reviews in this mission area. Again, as Adam said, we treat reviews driven by Board policy as nondiscretionary, so the reviews that we view as driven by Policy Statement 6 get binned as nondiscretionary. And this next slide are the priority 2 reviews that we have planned. It is worth noting that the Board's PS-6 requires OTD to "execute independent oversight by performing reviews with defined scope and durations as specified and in logical points in the process, and document the review results in four formal reports to the Board." So those four formal reports are the	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ \end{array} $	MS. ROBERSON: One question. During the preliminary review, OTD had estimated about just under 31 FTEs working with actual oversight in the plan. Has that changed as a result of Board member comments and adjustments, up or down? MR. POLOSKI: So, we went through in effort, we added those additional reviews, we kept the number of FTEs the number of resources constant, and we releveled the plan in order to try to make the adjustments of adding the reviews, but we had to rescope and take some items off. So we tried to keep it constant. MS. ROBERSON: So is it about the same estimate? MR. POLOSKI: I think it was 30.9 instead of 30.5. MS. ROBERSON: 30.9?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	have planned for the Y12 Uranium Processing Facility Project and the waste isolation pilot plant's permanent ventilation system. And again, this next slide lists our nondiscretionary reviews in this mission area. Again, as Adam said, we treat reviews driven by Board policy as nondiscretionary, so the reviews that we view as driven by Policy Statement 6 get binned as nondiscretionary. And this next slide are the priority 2 reviews that we have planned. It is worth noting that the Board's PS-6 requires OTD to "execute independent oversight by performing reviews with defined scope and durations as specified and in logical points in the process, and document the review results in four formal reports to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. ROBERSON: One question. During the preliminary review, OTD had estimated about just under 31 FTEs working with actual oversight in the plan. Has that changed as a result of Board member comments and adjustments, up or down? MR. POLOSKI: So, we went through in effort, we added those additional reviews, we kept the number of FTEs the number of resources constant, and we releveled the plan in order to try to make the adjustments of adding the reviews, but we had to rescope and take some items off. So we tried to keep it constant. MS. ROBERSON: So is it about the same estimate? MR. POLOSKI: I think it was 30.9 instead of 30.5.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	have planned for the Y12 Uranium Processing Facility Project and the waste isolation pilot plant's permanent ventilation system. And again, this next slide lists our nondiscretionary reviews in this mission area. Again, as Adam said, we treat reviews driven by Board policy as nondiscretionary, so the reviews that we view as driven by Policy Statement 6 get binned as nondiscretionary. And this next slide are the priority 2 reviews that we have planned. It is worth noting that the Board's PS-6 requires OTD to "execute independent oversight by performing reviews with defined scope and durations as specified and in logical points in the process, and document the review results in four formal reports to the Board." So those four formal reports are the nondiscretionary type of actions that I talked about in	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ \end{array} $	MS. ROBERSON: One question. During the preliminary review, OTD had estimated about just under 31 FTEs working with actual oversight in the plan. Has that changed as a result of Board member comments and adjustments, up or down? MR. POLOSKI: So, we went through in effort, we added those additional reviews, we kept the number of FTEs the number of resources constant, and we releveled the plan in order to try to make the adjustments of adding the reviews, but we had to rescope and take some items off. So we tried to keep it constant. MS. ROBERSON: So is it about the same estimate? MR. POLOSKI: I think it was 30.9 instead of 30.5. MS. ROBERSON: 30.9? MR. POLOSKI: Yeah, so just slightly higher.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	have planned for the Y12 Uranium Processing Facility Project and the waste isolation pilot plant's permanent ventilation system. And again, this next slide lists our nondiscretionary reviews in this mission area. Again, as Adam said, we treat reviews driven by Board policy as nondiscretionary, so the reviews that we view as driven by Policy Statement 6 get binned as nondiscretionary. And this next slide are the priority 2 reviews that we have planned. It is worth noting that the Board's PS-6 requires OTD to "execute independent oversight by performing reviews with defined scope and durations as specified and in logical points in the process, and document the review results in four formal reports to the Board." So those four formal reports are the nondiscretionary type of actions that I talked about in this slide. So, the Board's fourth and last strategic goal, and this actually ties back to a little of the	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array} $	MS. ROBERSON: One question. During the preliminary review, OTD had estimated about just under 31 FTEs working with actual oversight in the plan. Has that changed as a result of Board member comments and adjustments, up or down? MR. POLOSKI: So, we went through in effort, we added those additional reviews, we kept the number of FTEs the number of resources constant, and we releveled the plan in order to try to make the adjustments of adding the reviews, but we had to rescope and take some items off. So we tried to keep it constant. MS. ROBERSON: So is it about the same estimate? MR. POLOSKI: I think it was 30.9 instead of 30.5. MS. ROBERSON: 30.9? MR. POLOSKI: Yeah, so just slightly higher. MS. ROBERSON: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	have planned for the Y12 Uranium Processing Facility Project and the waste isolation pilot plant's permanent ventilation system. And again, this next slide lists our nondiscretionary reviews in this mission area. Again, as Adam said, we treat reviews driven by Board policy as nondiscretionary, so the reviews that we view as driven by Policy Statement 6 get binned as nondiscretionary. And this next slide are the priority 2 reviews that we have planned. It is worth noting that the Board's PS-6 requires OTD to "execute independent oversight by performing reviews with defined scope and durations as specified and in logical points in the process, and document the review results in four formal reports to the Board." So those four formal reports are the nondiscretionary type of actions that I talked about in this slide. So, the Board's fourth and last strategic goal, and this actually ties back to a little of the discussion that happened at the end of the new strategic	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array} $	MS. ROBERSON: One question. During the preliminary review, OTD had estimated about just under 31 FTEs working with actual oversight in the plan. Has that changed as a result of Board member comments and adjustments, up or down? MR. POLOSKI: So, we went through in effort, we added those additional reviews, we kept the number of FTEs the number of resources constant, and we releveled the plan in order to try to make the adjustments of adding the reviews, but we had to rescope and take some items off. So we tried to keep it constant. MS. ROBERSON: So is it about the same estimate? MR. POLOSKI: I think it was 30.9 instead of 30.5. MS. ROBERSON: 30.9? MR. POLOSKI: Yeah, so just slightly higher. MS. ROBERSON: Thank you. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Mr. Santos? MR. SANTOS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. You mentioned there's a total of 280 activities
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	have planned for the Y12 Uranium Processing Facility Project and the waste isolation pilot plant's permanent ventilation system. And again, this next slide lists our nondiscretionary reviews in this mission area. Again, as Adam said, we treat reviews driven by Board policy as nondiscretionary, so the reviews that we view as driven by Policy Statement 6 get binned as nondiscretionary. And this next slide are the priority 2 reviews that we have planned. It is worth noting that the Board's PS-6 requires OTD to "execute independent oversight by performing reviews with defined scope and durations as specified and in logical points in the process, and document the review results in four formal reports to the Board." So those four formal reports are the nondiscretionary type of actions that I talked about in this slide. So, the Board's fourth and last strategic goal, and this actually ties back to a little of the discussion that happened at the end of the new strategic plan, is called currently achieve excellence in	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array} $	MS. ROBERSON: One question. During the preliminary review, OTD had estimated about just under 31 FTEs working with actual oversight in the plan. Has that changed as a result of Board member comments and adjustments, up or down? MR. POLOSKI: So, we went through in effort, we added those additional reviews, we kept the number of FTEs the number of resources constant, and we releveled the plan in order to try to make the adjustments of adding the reviews, but we had to rescope and take some items off. So we tried to keep it constant. MS. ROBERSON: So is it about the same estimate? MR. POLOSKI: I think it was 30.9 instead of 30.5. MS. ROBERSON: 30.9? MR. POLOSKI: Yeah, so just slightly higher. MS. ROBERSON: Thank you. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Mr. Santos? MR. SANTOS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. You mentioned there's a total of 280 activities planned. Out of those 280, what percentage you will say
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	have planned for the Y12 Uranium Processing Facility Project and the waste isolation pilot plant's permanent ventilation system. And again, this next slide lists our nondiscretionary reviews in this mission area. Again, as Adam said, we treat reviews driven by Board policy as nondiscretionary, so the reviews that we view as driven by Policy Statement 6 get binned as nondiscretionary. And this next slide are the priority 2 reviews that we have planned. It is worth noting that the Board's PS-6 requires OTD to "execute independent oversight by performing reviews with defined scope and durations as specified and in logical points in the process, and document the review results in four formal reports to the Board." So those four formal reports are the nondiscretionary type of actions that I talked about in this slide. So, the Board's fourth and last strategic goal, and this actually ties back to a little of the discussion that happened at the end of the new strategic plan, is called currently achieve excellence in management and communication of stakeholders. Work plan	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	MS. ROBERSON: One question. During the preliminary review, OTD had estimated about just under 31 FTEs working with actual oversight in the plan. Has that changed as a result of Board member comments and adjustments, up or down? MR. POLOSKI: So, we went through in effort, we added those additional reviews, we kept the number of FTEs the number of resources constant, and we releveled the plan in order to try to make the adjustments of adding the reviews, but we had to rescope and take some items off. So we tried to keep it constant. MS. ROBERSON: So is it about the same estimate? MR. POLOSKI: I think it was 30.9 instead of 30.5. MS. ROBERSON: 30.9? MR. POLOSKI: Yeah, so just slightly higher. MS. ROBERSON: Thank you. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Mr. Santos? MR. SANTOS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. You mentioned there's a total of 280 activities planned. Out of those 280, what percentage you will say are safety reviews?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	have planned for the Y12 Uranium Processing Facility Project and the waste isolation pilot plant's permanent ventilation system. And again, this next slide lists our nondiscretionary reviews in this mission area. Again, as Adam said, we treat reviews driven by Board policy as nondiscretionary, so the reviews that we view as driven by Policy Statement 6 get binned as nondiscretionary. And this next slide are the priority 2 reviews that we have planned. It is worth noting that the Board's PS-6 requires OTD to "execute independent oversight by performing reviews with defined scope and durations as specified and in logical points in the process, and document the review results in four formal reports to the Board." So those four formal reports are the nondiscretionary type of actions that I talked about in this slide. So, the Board's fourth and last strategic goal, and this actually ties back to a little of the discussion that happened at the end of the new strategic plan, is called currently achieve excellence in	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array} $	MS. ROBERSON: One question. During the preliminary review, OTD had estimated about just under 31 FTEs working with actual oversight in the plan. Has that changed as a result of Board member comments and adjustments, up or down? MR. POLOSKI: So, we went through in effort, we added those additional reviews, we kept the number of FTEs the number of resources constant, and we releveled the plan in order to try to make the adjustments of adding the reviews, but we had to rescope and take some items off. So we tried to keep it constant. MS. ROBERSON: So is it about the same estimate? MR. POLOSKI: I think it was 30.9 instead of 30.5. MS. ROBERSON: 30.9? MR. POLOSKI: Yeah, so just slightly higher. MS. ROBERSON: Thank you. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Mr. Santos? MR. SANTOS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. You mentioned there's a total of 280 activities planned. Out of those 280, what percentage you will say

39

40

	37
1	the FTE percentage, and based on that, if you subtract
2	out 11 percent of the EP group, that would result in
3	89 percent being focused on the mission areas that Rich
4	went over. If you want to do a count of the number of
5	activities, which would be another way to do it, I would
6	have to pull up a we have a pivot table that we could
7	actually easily derive that information, I can provide
8	it to you later, but I don't have it off the top of my
9	head.
10	MR. SANTOS: Mr. Chairman, for the record, can
11	we table this question so they can provide a precise
12	counting of the safety reviews?
13	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So noted. Do you have other
14	questions?
15	MR. SANTOS: Yes. You mentioned the activities
16	consistent with Board policies are nondiscretionary.
17	Why is that? Like, for example, you mentioned Policy
18	Statement 6?
19	MR. POLOSKI: The thought process on Policy
20	Statement 6 was that the reviews that were identified or
21	the activities that were identified to support that
22	policy, we consider to be that we are obligated to do to
23	try to maintain our work consistent with the Board's
24	policy, so that's why it was categorized as
25	nondiscretionary.

38

1

25

1 So things that went above and beyond that, 2 activities that went above and beyond the activities 3 defined in the policy statement would be considered 4 discretionary and would be prioritized appropriately. 5 MR. SANTOS: If the Board were to have a policy 6 on standards, will those then become nondiscretionary? 7 MR. POLOSKI: It would depend on the content of 8 the policy statement, but if it was constructed in a 9 similar manner to the Policy Statement 6, we would 10 probably interpret it the same way. I would anticipate it that way. 11 12 MR. SANTOS: Thank you. Can you go to slide 13? 13 Oh, look at that. That was interesting. 14 Those are the plan charts throughout the years. 15 Do you have a similar chart of the actual outputs or 16 completed work for previous years so we can compare the planned activities versus the completed activities for a 17 given year? 18 19 MR. POLOSKI: So part of the process in 20 developing the work plan is we analyze the metrics for 21 the previous year. So we do have those charts for 22 FY '17, and we could produce them for FY '16 when we 23 started -- and for the last couple of years when we 24 started tracking work activities. So that is a -- that 25 information is available and can be provided.

2	record, Mr. Chairman?
3	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So noted.
4	MR. SANTOS: And the last question I have, can
5	you describe the process that generates the oversight
6	plans? My understanding is that every review and
7	everything flows from you mentioned 38 oversight plans.
8	Can you briefly describe what goes into developing an
9	oversight plan?
10	MR. POLOSKI: Sure. So, oversight plan is
11	broken into a couple of different pieces. The first
12	piece is really really focuses in on the strategy for
13	the year. So we have a horizon that we look out of 18
14	months. We try to focus in on our understanding of
15	DOE's work activities. So each oversight plan is
16	associated with a site, a project, or a program that's
17	significant. We try to identify what DOE is doing in
18	that area, what the safety oversight objectives would
19	be, and then the strategies for us to try to achieve
20	those oversight objectives.
21	We describe that in a plan and then we derive
22	work activities to actually implement those strategies.
23	And those work activities are the reviews that we have
24	presented in the work plan. So it's broken into two

MR. SANTOS: Can we take note also for the

pieces, a strategy piece and then an execution piece.

1	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Ms. Roberson?
2	MS. ROBERSON: I'm not like Mr. Santos, I don't
3	remember what slide it was, but you had one slide where
4	you identified several items that were communicated as
5	Board interest, and I recognized those, so I wanted to
6	understand how those were dispositioned, because I
7	suspect they will be topics of discussion this
8	afternoon.
9	MR. POLOSKI: So
10	MS. ROBERSON: How you handle them in the work
11	plan.
12	MR. POLOSKI: So, there were some comments that
13	were made in the Orange Folder process from a Board
14	member that we tried to implement some of the activities
15	that were described there through the Orange Folder
16	change process. So we did end up adding I believe six
17	reviews that corresponded to those two topics that were
18	discussed, and those were captured I think the
19	comments were to score them as a priority 1 activity.
20	So several of them are actually shown on the tables, but
21	we didn't highlight them or
22	MR. TONTODONATO: Right, I mean, I put up the
23	NMPS slide here as an example. If you look under
24	priority 1s, you'll see the progress reviews, further
25	recommendations 2012-1 and 2012-2. That's an example of

Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board

	41		43
1	two of those. So that actually helped as we went from I	1	that I should add to that.
2	think two priority 1 reviews to seven as a result of	2	MR. DWYER: The other thing is Pantex is
3	incorporating those into the plan.	3	artificially inflated because we were directed to move
4	So basically we and this is part of the	4	all of the NES oversight into Pantex.
5	reason why we ended up with the triple counted	5	MR. TONTODONATO: Yeah, I'll explain that one a
6	recommendation 11-1 work is in the last few days we	6	little, then. So, in a previous iteration of this, we
7	crashed through, went through, put those in the plan,	7	had a separate wedge called nuclear explosive safety
8	put staff on them, re-allocated other staff, and	8	that encompassed work around the complex that supported
9	reproduced all the slides and charts and everything to	9	work at Pantex. So it included a weapon response
10	make sure that we now were still staffing everything we	10	development that's done at the National Laboratories,
11	said we were going to staff.	11	high explosive testing that's done at the National
12	So basically they're in the plan and they're	12	Laboratories, testing of electronic, you know,
13	turned on.	13	instrumentation that's supplied to nuclear weapons at
14	MS. ROBERSON: Thank you.	14	Pantex. All those things were binned in a different
15	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: If I can ask you to go back	15	wedge.
16	to slide 14. And you may need the assistance if you	16	We've gone back and rebinned them so we don't
17	need the assistance of the NWP associate technical	17	have a separate program area for nuclear explosive
18	director to answer this question, please feel free to	18	safety, and that also went and increased the size of the
19	bring him up. But I'm looking at the Y12 number, and	19	wedge for Pantex, the wedge for Sandia, the wedge for
20	saying 10 percent seems low. In the presentation you	20	Livermore and the wedge for LANL, as all those sites
21	explained why the pieces of the pie for LANL and Pantex	21	were involved in supporting nuclear explosive work at
22	were large based on risk, and I understand that uranium	22	Pantex. And Y12 doesn't, so they didn't benefit from
23	is not as risky as plutonium or actual warhead assembly	23	that rebinning either.
24	or disassembly, but then I'm looking at Sandia, which at	24	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Thank you for the
25	12 percent, and my personal assessment of the risk at	25	answer. I think I understand better.
	42		44
1	defense nuclear facilities at Sandia is pretty low. So		My next question goes to your next slide, which
2	a lot more happens at Y12, certainly the magnitude of	2	is 15. So, under priority 2, the fourth item I'm
3	operations there are considerably larger than, say, at	3	sorry, the third item. Yeah, the third item down. I'm
4	Sandia, or for that matter, Lawrence Livermore National	45	looking at a different is this different than the one
5	Laboratory. So, the question is, why is Y12 so low? MR. TONTODONATO: Well, I can address a little	6	that I have in my Yellow Folder? There's a Chief of Defense Biennial NNSA Los Alamos Review. Is that not in
6 7	bit of why Sandia and Livermore, and even Nevada look	7	here?
8	larger, it's because we do not have site reps at		MR. TONTODONATO: That's listed first in this
9	those or resident inspectors at those sites. So we	9	chart, first under priority 2.
10	have dedicated person at headquarters from each of those	10	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So you have a different
11	sites who goes out and makes regular visits to the site	11	slide than what I have in my what I was given in
12	to provide some degree of operational awareness so that	12	Yellow Folder. Is that correct?
13	things don't get rolling out there that we don't know	13	MR. POLOSKI: Apparently so. The content should
14	about. So that tends to inflate the scores for those	14	be the same, and we will do a double check to make sure.
15	sites because Y12 has two resident inspectors at it	15	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: All right. Having resolved
16	full-time that don't appear in that pie chart.	16	that, so your first item in the table which says
17	I would also say Y12, a lot of their effort gets	17	priority 2, and my question is going to be, when I get
18	binned into other bins, so the criticality oversight we	18	to it, what's actually in there in terms of a review for
19	do there is the principal hazard we worry about is	19	the staff? And the reason I'm asking this question is
20	uranium, criticality safety that's done under NPA. The	20	if this were assigned to me, I understand that there
21	effort to design and build the uranium processing	21	will be a a Chief Defense Nuclear Safety Assessment
		22	Report of Corrective Actions, and I would read it, with
22	facility is done under NFDI. I don't think Mr. Dwyer is	22	Report of Concentre Actions, and I would read it, with
22 23	facility is done under NFDI. I don't think Mr. Dwyer is in the audience here.	23	interest, it might take me an hour, and then I would set
23 24	in the audience here. MR. DWYER: Yes, he is.	23 24	interest, it might take me an hour, and then I would set it aside, and anything in the future that might happen
23	in the audience here.	23	interest, it might take me an hour, and then I would set

11 (Pages 41 to 44)

	45		47
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\end{array} $	assessment. So what is the staff going to do with respect to that report? MR. TONTODONATO: All right. I mean, the intent of that item is the CDNS did a review at LANL that came up with a series of pretty significant findings that were worth following up on, and it is the staff's effort to see what is done to address them, and if we find that there are elements of it that aren't getting addressed properly, to bring that to the Board's attention. So, it's we take information wherever it will come, and as far as we're concerned, the CDNS review is a very good source of safety relevant information, and when they come up with problems, we do our own check to see if the problems are getting resolved correctly and adequately. So that may end up not being a huge effort. It may end up being, like you said, we read it and we follow along and they resolve everything, you know, to a high degree of completeness, and all we do is report that to the Board in an information paper, but it is something that is worth doing in our opinion. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: All right. Thank you. Can we jump to your slide 19, which is another	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\end{array} $	3009. So the staff is going to look at the results of the Department of Energy's evaluation from the previous 3009 to the new 3009 as they go through and look at the facilities and what the new standard will apply to and what it won't. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Thank you. My next question, which I think is my last question, is on your slide 21. And the question has to do with the whole left part of that pie, which is WTP at 50 percent. Last year we had a similar pie and it listed WTP at 29 percent, 50 percent of NFDI. If I understand some of your earlier tables and charts represents about three and a half to four FTE, which as we discussed earlier, that's like since there's only about 30 FTE in the entire review, that's a big chunk. And most of WTP is years away from operation. So, is this something that we're going to have to do every year is dedicating a large portion of our review plan just to the waste treatment plant in order to do what needs to be done under the statute? MR. POLOSKI: So, no, sir. Every year when we prepare our oversight plans, we factor in DOE's activities for each project and site. This year, if you go actually to the next slide, there are a number of
25	table. This is the it's probably actually your slide	25	nondiscretionary activities that are listed for WTP, and
	46		48
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	20, I think you broke this up into two. All right, so we'll see if this is the same. And it looks to be the same. So the fourth item from the bottom says directives, and it's on DOE-OE-1:2015 review. So, there's five line items total on this chart that say Directives and all the others are the same. So this is like Sesame Street, which one is not like the others? All the others say we're going to do	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	several of those have to do with new safety bases documents that are going to be produced for I believe the LAW and the HLW facilities. Those take a significant amount of staff effort and that's not a yearly activity that would occur. So when those documents come in, consistent with the policy statement, we would review those documents and prepare a report. Like I said, next year, those
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	this directive to review and we're going to look at the draft, and this one says, we're going to review and comment on the I'm sorry, we're going to it says, "we're going to review the facility screening and evaluation results." So, somebody please tell me, what is that? What are we looking at? MR. POLOSKI: I'm looking for Chris. Do you	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	documents won't be produced again, I wouldn't anticipate. So as the project matures, they're going to the activity is going to ebb and flow and so would our oversight. Another thing is that there are I think 17 open Board safety issues. We're anticipating getting closure responses for several of those this year, and again,
16 17 18 19 20 21	want to come up? CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So now we're going to call up Chris Roscetti, who is the associate technical director responsible for this part of the work plan. Mr. Roscetti? MR. ROSCETTI: For the record, my name is Chris	13 16 17 18 19 20 21	that would require staff effort to analyze those documents as they come in and we're planning on doing that. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: All right. Can you give me subsequently, for the record, some input on what percentage of the WTP work what percentage of the
22 23 24 25	Roscetti. The fourth line from the bottom, Directives DOE-OE-1:2015 Review, it's a holdover from the Department of Energy's implementation plan for Board Recommendation 2009-1 when they revised DOE standard	22 23 24 25	nondiscretionary give it to me in FTE. How many FTE are doing nondiscretionary WTP work and what is that work? As I understand what you're saying, this comes from the policy statement which the Board could provide

12 (Pages 45 to 48)

. -

	1		
	49		51
an excepti	ion to if it so desires.	1	on, safety bases activities that are going on at both of
	POLOSKI: Certainly.	2	those facilities, and we have corresponding oversight
	IRMAN SULLIVAN: And if they answer, if they	3	activities to take a look at those to make sure that DOE
	response, some of these Board issues that	4	requirements are being followed appropriately and that
	some response are several years old, so if it	5	ultimately there will be a DSA that provides adequate
	several years to develop the response, do we	6	protection to the public.
	d to jump on it right away? Or could some of	7	MR. SANTOS: One last question, going back to
	bushed into next year if we just are trying to	8	the safety reviews, if you can provide I don't need
	oad of what we're doing with respect to the	9	it right now, so it can be for the record, a percentage
	atment plant?	10	of safety reviews that are independent of DOE
	's really what I'm trying to figure out	11	evaluations and schedules or deliverables. You know,
	hat we don't necessarily have this very	12	meaning work that the staff just considered that they
	project which will take many more years to come	13	wanted to perform independently of a particular DOE
	and actual operation for most of it. Do we	14	activity or review or document that is being generated
	edicate a large chunk of time of our staff this	15	by the Department. Do you understand what I'm
	at? That's what I'm trying to figure out.	16	questioning?
	POLOSKI: Okay, yeah, we can certainly	17	MR. POLOSKI: Yeah. So, those we do have a
	nat information to you, and yeah, in terms	18	couple of categories called timed and untimed. Timed
	ting, you know, a significant amount of staff	19	activities are typically derived off of DOE schedule;
	by-year basis in resolving the issues, in my	20	untimed activities are things that we could do at any
	here's a window of opportunity where things	21	point in time. And most it would be relatively easy
	er where we can review items to see how	22	for us to categorize those and present the data and that
	they are at for controls at preventing	23	should give you a good sense of the breakdown of those
	and mitigating accidents, as opposed to when	24	type of activities.
	into concrete and steel and that window of	25	MR. SANTOS: Mr. Chairman, can we take that
5			
	50		52
opportuni	ity closes, it's much more difficult to have an	1	answer for the record?
adequate	system.	2	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So noted.
CHA	AIRMAN SULLIVAN: Oh, I understand. If you	3	MR. SANTOS: Thank you.
	rovide the information, and then also provide	4	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Other questions? Board
any of yo	our own opinion on whether or not any of it	5	members?
makes ser	nse to defer, we would be happy to take that,	6	(No response.)
too. That	nk you.	7	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: All right, if not, I think
	ve no other questions. Would any other	8	we are done, and we're a little bit ahead of schedule,
Board me	embers like to ask questions?	9	so if we could take a 10-minute break and reconvene just
Mr.	Santos?	10	after 10:30. We're off the record.
	SANTOS: I would like to ask a similar	11	(Whereupon, there was a recess in the
-	to one you asked earlier on slide 21, please.	12	proceedings.)
•	n if you look at the WIPP permanent	13	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: All right, we're back on the
	on system compared to UPF, for example, they	14	record, and the next thing on our agenda is a
	e very similar percentage yet the scopes are	15	presentation from the general manager on the general
orders of	magnitude different. Can you explain some of	16	manager's work plan.
that?		17	Mr. Sklar?
	POLOSKI: So, both of those projects are	18	MR. BIGGINS: Excuse me, Mr. Chairman, before he
	ough design evolution, both are nearing the end	19	begins, Mr. Roscetti asked for a clarification of the
of their de	esign, so both of I guess UPF is 50 percent	20	record. He indicated in his discussion of the NPA
higher, so	o I think that in my opinion is significantly	21	director's review of the OE-1 that he referenced
more, but	t you could debate 10 or 15 percent being	22	recommendation 2009-1 when it was actually 2010-1. So I
	nt, if you look at it. It depends on your	23	wanted to get that clarification on the record.
perspectiv		24	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Okay, thank you.
		0.5	

Now, Mr. Sklar?

13 (Pages 49 to 52)

So there are significant design activities going

quickly and appropriately so that we can bring on -- not

also individuals that do not need specialty clearance,

so we can move those through quickly, including

only have DOE move our classified personnel through, but

•			,,_0,_0
	53		55
	MR. SKLAR: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.	1	contractors.
	So, in our FY '18 OGM work plan, we build around	2	If I could flip to the information technology
	several themes and I would like to highlight a few of	3	piece, I'm going to linger here a bit, because we have a
	those. The first is top-notch support to the Board and	4	lot at play in the IT realm. The first is data
	everybody else sitting in this room; second is	5	strategy. That's something we really want to do across
	modernization, we will try to modernize wherever we can,	6	the enterprise is come up with a comprehensive data
	including staying electronic; third, try to build a	7	strategy so that whenever hands are on keyboards we at
	secure DNFSB, both from a physical security standpoint,	8	least have the capability, if we want to, to capture
	from our physical infrastructure, as well as our IT	9	that information, analyze, display and then store as
	infrastructure as well; and, finally, compliant with all	10	metadata.
	new mandates that are coming out, and there are many,	11	We will be obviously working with the Board and
	but staying nimble enough so that we don't shut down the	12	with our fellow component partners as we come up with a
	organization as we comply with all of those mandates.	13	comprehensive data strategy. We don't have one now, but
	So we've broken our work plan into four big	14	we are designing one and FY '18 hopefully will be our
	segments: Operational services, IT, HR, and budget and	15	year for implementation.
	acquisitions. And those are enumerated, of course, at	16	Another piece of this strategy involves personal
	tab 5 at our work plan, and I will quickly move through	17	drives for everybody in this room. There's obviously a
	our work plan. We don't have a lot of time here, but I	18	single point of failure if your hard drive crashes
	want to highlight a few areas because we think they're	19	that's not a good thing, so we would like to come up
	particularly important.	20	with a segmented secure place on the network where you
	So in the operational services category, I would	21	can make your work available, a redundant second copy,
	like to highlight the records management area. We	22	and that will be safe and secure. We want to, of
	certainly closed a lot of ground here. There are really	23	course, balance that against the need for collaboration
	important mandates that came down to make email records,	24	as well. We want to make sure that both aim to
	both permanent and temporary email records, make	25	recognize that you have a private, secure place to store
	both permanent and temporary eman records, make		······································
	54		56
	those preserve those for the appropriate	1	your information, but that you also have the capability
	recordkeeping time, and we have moved very aggressively	2	to collaborate with others when necessary.
	to implement what is called the Capstone approach.	3	Another big area of emphasis in the IT realm is
	We've briefed the Board multiple times. We are	4	cloud. As the world moves to the cloud, we need to
	well-positioned to do that, and in FY '18 we will do	5	certainly look closely at all aspects where the cloud
	whatever we need to do to make sure Capstone is fully	6	can help us be more redundant, where our COOP plan, our
	implemented, including spending time with each and every	7	disaster recovery, and all those pieces can benefit from
	Board member so that you're comfortable with exactly	8	being up in the cloud. Obviously security is paramount,
	what happens to your records, and that's important.	9	we don't want to sacrifice that.
	A second area of emphasis is in cyber threat.	10	Additional projects, skipping ahead to 3 on that
	Tremendous progress was made prior to my arrival in OGM	11	list, we are putting in place an elaborate defense
	in this area. We've been recognized by outside entities	12	in-depth strategy for cyber. We are well along our way,
	as very well positioned for insider threat, but we want	13	we will continue to build on that. Obviously I am not
	to continue to build there and we will continue to	14	going to get any deeper than that in this room, as this
	deploy resources to the cyber threat. We think it's	15	is an open meeting.
	incredibly important for us.	16	The correspondence management system is well
	Another area of emphasis, of course, is physical	17	under way. This is a project that began last year. It
	and personnel security. We continue to upgrade certain	18	certainly was well under way when I arrived. A piece of
	areas certain pieces of our physical security,	19	this project was demonstrated to the Board, the voting
	including our security system. We will continue to make	20	module. There are two additional modules that we would
	sure that our personnel security processing moves	21	like to demonstrate to the Board, both the
		1 22	1 , 1 , 1

correspondence management piece, as well as the acquisitions module as well. To be clear, we're obviously talking to our

counterparts in OTD, so we're not tripping over each

14 (Pages 53 to 56)

	57		5
1 0	other as to how we do our work. We obviously want to	1	Management, it's something that's relatively
	make sure that this correspondence management system is	2	inexpensive, and will put us in good stead certainly in
	value-added, it is not redundant of something else	3	this realm.
	that's happening right now at DNFSB, or in OTD.	4	As we move to a fully electronic performance
5	We'll also continue to upgrade SharePoint. We	5	system, there will need to be some conforming changes
6 v	will probably jump ahead a couple of generations of	6	made. We'll need to certainly do some training. We
7 5	SharePoint technology, assuming we have support from the	7	obviously are not going to roll something out where
8 1	Board, in FY '18.	8	people aren't comfortable or people aren't ready or
9	Phone replacement, something near and dear to	9	people aren't confident that it's going to work right.
10 6	everybody in this room. I am pleased to report that the	10	And one of the areas we'll probably need to tackle is a
11 ı	new contract has been signed, that we will have a at	11	new appraisal template in the DN realm, mainly because
12 1	least a new sub for phones, and that hopefully every	12	we're moving to a new performance a new platform. S
13	Thursday our phone service will still be functional, and	13	lots of work ahead here, if we decide to go down that
14 t	today is Thursday. By January of FY '18, we will roll	14	route, but I would strongly recommend it.
15 o	out with new equipment and the new provider.	15	We're also developing a handbook for executive
16	Finally, on this list is something called the	16	development. That's certainly something that's being
17 t	test environment. It is fairly typical and most IT	17	encouraged by the Office of Personnel Management.
18 e	environments have the opportunity to test new pieces of	18	Jumping ahead to budget, finance and
19 s	software or other peripheral devices, or IT any type	19	acquisitions. There are a couple of key points here,
20 d	of IT functionality in a test environment where you do	20	and then I'll stop, and certainly welcome questions. So
21 1	not jeopardize the operational sanctity of the	21	we are taking a hard look right now at how we do our
22 o	organization.	22	accounting at DNFSB, not that it's not accurate, but it
23	In other words, we don't want to bring down the	23	is done by an outside entity. So the question is, do we
24 6	entire organization every time we test something new, so	24	need to rely on this outside service provider, or is
25 t	this would give us that capability. We do think that's	25	this something that we can move in-house and do locally
	58		6
1 0	quite important and it's something we don't have right	1	To address that challenge, we will be employing a
-	now.	2	contractor to end to end look at how we do our
3	Just a couple of high-level points on cyber.	3	accounting here, and make a recommendation to us as t
4 0	Obviously many of the mandates coming down are, indeed	4	which way, which direction we should go.
5 1	mandates, they are mandatory. We have the President's	5	The second point I'd like to make is about the
6 6	executive order to comply with. We are literally	6	chief financial officer position. This has been a
	receiving new things to do weekly. We have FISMA	7	little bit controversial. We do think it's something
0	obligations as the financial statement audit is done,	8	that could make us better, to have a properly
8 0	and as they continue to look at all our systems. We	9	credentialed and titled chief financial officer at our
	and as mey continue to rook at an our systems. We		
9 a	have a lot of FISMA requirements to meet around cyber.	10	organization.
9 a 10 l		10 11	
9 a 10 1 11	have a lot of FISMA requirements to meet around cyber.		organization.
9 a 10 1 11 12 s	have a lot of FISMA requirements to meet around cyber. And I would finally add that our average IT	11	organization. One of the key points here is to decouple two things. We do find it a little bit troublesome that the GS-15 in charge of these areas, who has done a great
9 a 10 1 11 12 s 13 c 14 c	have a lot of FISMA requirements to meet around cyber. And I would finally add that our average IT spending, we do try to benchmark against other small entities and we are within range. And certainly certainly no more extravagant than any other small	11 12 13 14	organization. One of the key points here is to decouple two things. We do find it a little bit troublesome that the GS-15 in charge of these areas, who has done a great job, is both in charge of the budget and in charge of
9 a 10 1 11 12 s 13 c 14 c 15 a	have a lot of FISMA requirements to meet around cyber. And I would finally add that our average IT spending, we do try to benchmark against other small entities and we are within range. And certainly certainly no more extravagant than any other small agency that we benchmarked against.	11 12 13 14 15	organization. One of the key points here is to decouple two things. We do find it a little bit troublesome that the GS-15 in charge of these areas, who has done a great job, is both in charge of the budget and in charge of acquisitions. And typically, those two functions are
9 a 10 1 11 12 s 13 c 14 c 15 a 16	have a lot of FISMA requirements to meet around cyber. And I would finally add that our average IT spending, we do try to benchmark against other small entities and we are within range. And certainly certainly no more extravagant than any other small agency that we benchmarked against. Turning to human resources, we have put a	11 12 13 14 15 16	organization. One of the key points here is to decouple two things. We do find it a little bit troublesome that the GS-15 in charge of these areas, who has done a great job, is both in charge of the budget and in charge of acquisitions. And typically, those two functions are separate in most organizations.
9 a 10 1 11 2 s 13 c 14 c 15 a 16 17 1	have a lot of FISMA requirements to meet around cyber. And I would finally add that our average IT spending, we do try to benchmark against other small entities and we are within range. And certainly certainly no more extravagant than any other small agency that we benchmarked against. Turning to human resources, we have put a premium on moving to a fully electronic DNFSB. We took	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	organization. One of the key points here is to decouple two things. We do find it a little bit troublesome that the GS-15 in charge of these areas, who has done a great job, is both in charge of the budget and in charge of acquisitions. And typically, those two functions are separate in most organizations. So one of the solutions, obviously, would be to
9 a 10 1 11 1 12 s 13 c 14 c 15 a 16 1 17 1 18 a	have a lot of FISMA requirements to meet around cyber. And I would finally add that our average IT spending, we do try to benchmark against other small entities and we are within range. And certainly certainly no more extravagant than any other small agency that we benchmarked against. Turning to human resources, we have put a premium on moving to a fully electronic DNFSB. We took a big step in that direction this fiscal year, moving to	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	organization. One of the key points here is to decouple two things. We do find it a little bit troublesome that the GS-15 in charge of these areas, who has done a great job, is both in charge of the budget and in charge of acquisitions. And typically, those two functions are separate in most organizations. So one of the solutions, obviously, would be to bring in a properly credentialed and titled CFO.
9 a 10 1 11 12 s 13 c 14 c 15 a 16 17 1 18 a 19 a	have a lot of FISMA requirements to meet around cyber. And I would finally add that our average IT spending, we do try to benchmark against other small entities and we are within range. And certainly certainly no more extravagant than any other small agency that we benchmarked against. Turning to human resources, we have put a premium on moving to a fully electronic DNFSB. We took a big step in that direction this fiscal year, moving to a fully electronic SES performance system. We	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	organization. One of the key points here is to decouple two things. We do find it a little bit troublesome that the GS-15 in charge of these areas, who has done a great job, is both in charge of the budget and in charge of acquisitions. And typically, those two functions are separate in most organizations. So one of the solutions, obviously, would be to bring in a properly credentialed and titled CFO. Certainly there's a counterargument. The
9 a 10 1 11 12 s 13 c 14 c 15 a 16 17 1 18 a 19 a 20 a	have a lot of FISMA requirements to meet around cyber. And I would finally add that our average IT spending, we do try to benchmark against other small entities and we are within range. And certainly certainly no more extravagant than any other small agency that we benchmarked against. Turning to human resources, we have put a premium on moving to a fully electronic DNFSB. We took a big step in that direction this fiscal year, moving to a fully electronic SES performance system. We appreciate the support from the Board. Everybody, my	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	organization. One of the key points here is to decouple two things. We do find it a little bit troublesome that the GS-15 in charge of these areas, who has done a great job, is both in charge of the budget and in charge of acquisitions. And typically, those two functions are separate in most organizations. So one of the solutions, obviously, would be to bring in a properly credentialed and titled CFO. Certainly there's a counterargument. The counterargument would be that we're small, and that no
9 a 10 1 11 11 12 s 13 c 14 c 15 a 16 17 18 a 19 a 20 a 21 c	have a lot of FISMA requirements to meet around cyber. And I would finally add that our average IT spending, we do try to benchmark against other small entities and we are within range. And certainly certainly no more extravagant than any other small agency that we benchmarked against. Turning to human resources, we have put a premium on moving to a fully electronic DNFSB. We took a big step in that direction this fiscal year, moving to a fully electronic SES performance system. We appreciate the support from the Board. Everybody, my colleagues, the chair, who has certainly been willing to	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	organization. One of the key points here is to decouple two things. We do find it a little bit troublesome that the GS-15 in charge of these areas, who has done a great job, is both in charge of the budget and in charge of acquisitions. And typically, those two functions are separate in most organizations. So one of the solutions, obviously, would be to bring in a properly credentialed and titled CFO. Certainly there's a counterargument. The counterargument would be that we're small, and that no all CFO not all smaller agencies have a CFO, so we
9 a 10 1 11 11 12 s 13 c 14 c 15 a 16 17 18 a 19 a 20 a 21 c 22 1	have a lot of FISMA requirements to meet around cyber. And I would finally add that our average IT spending, we do try to benchmark against other small entities and we are within range. And certainly certainly no more extravagant than any other small agency that we benchmarked against. Turning to human resources, we have put a premium on moving to a fully electronic DNFSB. We took a big step in that direction this fiscal year, moving to a fully electronic SES performance system. We appreciate the support from the Board. Everybody, my colleagues, the chair, who has certainly been willing to learn new things as we move to this new SES system. And	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	organization. One of the key points here is to decouple two things. We do find it a little bit troublesome that the GS-15 in charge of these areas, who has done a great job, is both in charge of the budget and in charge of acquisitions. And typically, those two functions are separate in most organizations. So one of the solutions, obviously, would be to bring in a properly credentialed and titled CFO. Certainly there's a counterargument. The counterargument would be that we're small, and that no all CFO not all smaller agencies have a CFO, so we are going to undertake a benchmarking exercise, we wi
9 a 10 1 11 12 s 13 c 14 c 15 a 16 17 1 18 a 19 a 20 a 21 c 22 1 23 t	have a lot of FISMA requirements to meet around cyber. And I would finally add that our average IT spending, we do try to benchmark against other small entities and we are within range. And certainly certainly no more extravagant than any other small agency that we benchmarked against. Turning to human resources, we have put a premium on moving to a fully electronic DNFSB. We took a big step in that direction this fiscal year, moving to a fully electronic SES performance system. We appreciate the support from the Board. Everybody, my colleagues, the chair, who has certainly been willing to learn new things as we move to this new SES system. And this is a warm-up act, we hope, for moving the DN system	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	organization. One of the key points here is to decouple two things. We do find it a little bit troublesome that the GS-15 in charge of these areas, who has done a great job, is both in charge of the budget and in charge of acquisitions. And typically, those two functions are separate in most organizations. So one of the solutions, obviously, would be to bring in a properly credentialed and titled CFO. Certainly there's a counterargument. The counterargument would be that we're small, and that no all CFO not all smaller agencies have a CFO, so we are going to undertake a benchmarking exercise, we wi come back to the Board, but that's something that we
9 2 10 1 11 1 12 2 13 6 14 6 15 2 16 1 17 1 18 2 20 2 21 6 22 1 23 1 24 1	have a lot of FISMA requirements to meet around cyber. And I would finally add that our average IT spending, we do try to benchmark against other small entities and we are within range. And certainly certainly no more extravagant than any other small agency that we benchmarked against. Turning to human resources, we have put a premium on moving to a fully electronic DNFSB. We took a big step in that direction this fiscal year, moving to a fully electronic SES performance system. We appreciate the support from the Board. Everybody, my colleagues, the chair, who has certainly been willing to learn new things as we move to this new SES system. And	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	organization. One of the key points here is to decouple two things. We do find it a little bit troublesome that the GS-15 in charge of these areas, who has done a great job, is both in charge of the budget and in charge of acquisitions. And typically, those two functions are separate in most organizations. So one of the solutions, obviously, would be to bring in a properly credentialed and titled CFO. Certainly there's a counterargument. The counterargument would be that we're small, and that no all CFO not all smaller agencies have a CFO, so we are going to undertake a benchmarking exercise, we wi

15 (Pages 57 to 60)

Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board

	61		63
1	it's something we wanted to bring to your attention.	1	will be individuals who do not go through DOE. So we
2	And, finally, in the acquisitions area, as	2	wanted to make sure that we had the proper
3	mentioned previously, we are interested in moving to a	3	infrastructure in place, and we do now, to process
4	more electronic environment for acquisitions, and really	4	whatever needs to be processed here in terms of
5	trying to restart as we do a new hire in this area, in a	5	adjudications and so forth.
6	fully electronic environment.	6	The other issue is we want to make sure that
7	So those are the high points. I don't want to	7	things move timely. We do have from time to time
8	take up the entire time. I want to give folks the	8	contractor turnover, and we want to make sure, since DOE
9	opportunity for questions. So I'll stop there.	9	is not processing those, that those do not sit, they do
10	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: All right, I'll ask the	10	not backlog, and that we can continue to give you the
11	first question, Mr. Sklar. The first two sections you	11	support you need when you need it.
12	talked about, which were operational services and	12	So this is really a no-cost item. It sounds
13	information technology, have some items in them listed	13	like we need to go out and do a great deal of things.
14	as mandatory, and the second two that you talked about	14	Most of these things are in place, but we just wanted to
15	which was human resources, budget, finance and	15	bring it to the Board's attention.
16	acquisitions, nothing is labeled mandatory, meaning that	16	MR. SANTOS: So to be clear, you will process
17	there's nothing mandatory to be done in those areas?	17	all security clearances less than Q in-house? I'm a bit
18	How do I interpret "mandatory" as it appears in your	18	confused.
19	work plan?	19	MR. SKLAR: We're not going to process any
20	MR. SKLAR: That's a fair question. There are	20	clearances here, but there are issues that need to be
21	mandatory requirements, in fact many, many, many	21	adjudicated. If there's a question about somebody's
22	mandatory requirements in the HR realm. Just note that	22	truthfulness in a statement on a particular item, and
23	those particular projects did not track with any new	23	they're not somebody that DOE clears, what I can do is
24	initiative coming down from the Administration, but some	24	instead of getting into the complexities of the
25	of these are discretionary; for example, moving to an	25	clearance process, we'll be happy to provide additional
	62		64
		1	04
1	electronic performance management system. But to be	1	information for the record. I do see that this was a
	electronic performance management system. But to be clear, that is correct, there are many obligatory things		information for the record. I do see that this was a
1 2 3	electronic performance management system. But to be clear, that is correct, there are many obligatory things we must do in the HR realm, and we will do those.	1 2 3	information for the record. I do see that this was a bit of a confusing writeup in that this is largely
2	clear, that is correct, there are many obligatory things	2	information for the record. I do see that this was a bit of a confusing writeup in that this is largely something that's in place now that we wanted to bring to
2 3	clear, that is correct, there are many obligatory things we must do in the HR realm, and we will do those.	2 3	information for the record. I do see that this was a bit of a confusing writeup in that this is largely
2 3 4	clear, that is correct, there are many obligatory things we must do in the HR realm, and we will do those. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Mr. Santos?	2 3 4	information for the record. I do see that this was a bit of a confusing writeup in that this is largely something that's in place now that we wanted to bring to your attention, and is also a no-cost item.
2 3 4 5	clear, that is correct, there are many obligatory things we must do in the HR realm, and we will do those. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Mr. Santos? MR. SANTOS: Yeah, a follow-up on the mandatory	2 3 4 5	information for the record. I do see that this was a bit of a confusing writeup in that this is largely something that's in place now that we wanted to bring to your attention, and is also a no-cost item. MR. SANTOS: I appreciate that. We can take
2 3 4 5 6	clear, that is correct, there are many obligatory things we must do in the HR realm, and we will do those. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Mr. Santos? MR. SANTOS: Yeah, a follow-up on the mandatory as it relates to 4(b). CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: In what section are you referring?	2 3 4 5 6	information for the record. I do see that this was a bit of a confusing writeup in that this is largely something that's in place now that we wanted to bring to your attention, and is also a no-cost item. MR. SANTOS: I appreciate that. We can take that for the record, I mean, if you can add in that, what aspects are mandatory. MR. SKLAR: Absolutely.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	clear, that is correct, there are many obligatory things we must do in the HR realm, and we will do those. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Mr. Santos? MR. SANTOS: Yeah, a follow-up on the mandatory as it relates to 4(b). CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: In what section are you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	information for the record. I do see that this was a bit of a confusing writeup in that this is largely something that's in place now that we wanted to bring to your attention, and is also a no-cost item. MR. SANTOS: I appreciate that. We can take that for the record, I mean, if you can add in that, what aspects are mandatory. MR. SKLAR: Absolutely. MR. SANTOS: In your explanation. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	clear, that is correct, there are many obligatory things we must do in the HR realm, and we will do those. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Mr. Santos? MR. SANTOS: Yeah, a follow-up on the mandatory as it relates to 4(b). CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: In what section are you referring? MR. SANTOS: On page 3, Operational Services. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	information for the record. I do see that this was a bit of a confusing writeup in that this is largely something that's in place now that we wanted to bring to your attention, and is also a no-cost item. MR. SANTOS: I appreciate that. We can take that for the record, I mean, if you can add in that, what aspects are mandatory. MR. SKLAR: Absolutely.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 clear, that is correct, there are many obligatory things we must do in the HR realm, and we will do those. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Mr. Santos? MR. SANTOS: Yeah, a follow-up on the mandatory as it relates to 4(b). CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: In what section are you referring? MR. SANTOS: On page 3, Operational Services. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Thank you. MR. SANTOS: Could you explain more detail of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 information for the record. I do see that this was a bit of a confusing writeup in that this is largely something that's in place now that we wanted to bring to your attention, and is also a no-cost item. MR. SANTOS: I appreciate that. We can take that for the record, I mean, if you can add in that, what aspects are mandatory. MR. SKLAR: Absolutely. MR. SANTOS: In your explanation. Thank you. Mr. Chairman, can we take that for the record? CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Yes, we can.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 clear, that is correct, there are many obligatory things we must do in the HR realm, and we will do those. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Mr. Santos? MR. SANTOS: Yeah, a follow-up on the mandatory as it relates to 4(b). CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: In what section are you referring? MR. SANTOS: On page 3, Operational Services. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Thank you. MR. SANTOS: Could you explain more detail of the personnel security processing, what is entailed and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 information for the record. I do see that this was a bit of a confusing writeup in that this is largely something that's in place now that we wanted to bring to your attention, and is also a no-cost item. MR. SANTOS: I appreciate that. We can take that for the record, I mean, if you can add in that, what aspects are mandatory. MR. SKLAR: Absolutely. MR. SANTOS: In your explanation. Thank you. Mr. Chairman, can we take that for the record? CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Yes, we can. All right. I had one other question regarding
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 clear, that is correct, there are many obligatory things we must do in the HR realm, and we will do those. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Mr. Santos? MR. SANTOS: Yeah, a follow-up on the mandatory as it relates to 4(b). CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: In what section are you referring? MR. SANTOS: On page 3, Operational Services. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Thank you. MR. SANTOS: Could you explain more detail of the personnel security processing, what is entailed and what aspects of it is mandatory and what is the source 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 information for the record. I do see that this was a bit of a confusing writeup in that this is largely something that's in place now that we wanted to bring to your attention, and is also a no-cost item. MR. SANTOS: I appreciate that. We can take that for the record, I mean, if you can add in that, what aspects are mandatory. MR. SANTOS: In your explanation. Thank you. Mr. Chairman, can we take that for the record? CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Yes, we can. All right. I had one other question regarding your pitch for the chief financial officer. You know,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 clear, that is correct, there are many obligatory things we must do in the HR realm, and we will do those. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Mr. Santos? MR. SANTOS: Yeah, a follow-up on the mandatory as it relates to 4(b). CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: In what section are you referring? MR. SANTOS: On page 3, Operational Services. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Thank you. MR. SANTOS: Could you explain more detail of the personnel security processing, what is entailed and what aspects of it is mandatory and what is the source of that? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 information for the record. I do see that this was a bit of a confusing writeup in that this is largely something that's in place now that we wanted to bring to your attention, and is also a no-cost item. MR. SANTOS: I appreciate that. We can take that for the record, I mean, if you can add in that, what aspects are mandatory. MR. SKLAR: Absolutely. MR. SANTOS: In your explanation. Thank you. Mr. Chairman, can we take that for the record? CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Yes, we can. All right. I had one other question regarding your pitch for the chief financial officer. You know, we get to the staffing plan later, but since you brought
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 clear, that is correct, there are many obligatory things we must do in the HR realm, and we will do those. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Mr. Santos? MR. SANTOS: Yeah, a follow-up on the mandatory as it relates to 4(b). CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: In what section are you referring? MR. SANTOS: On page 3, Operational Services. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Thank you. MR. SANTOS: Could you explain more detail of the personnel security processing, what is entailed and what aspects of it is mandatory and what is the source of that? MR. SKLAR: Sure. So, perhaps the writeup makes 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 information for the record. I do see that this was a bit of a confusing writeup in that this is largely something that's in place now that we wanted to bring to your attention, and is also a no-cost item. MR. SANTOS: I appreciate that. We can take that for the record, I mean, if you can add in that, what aspects are mandatory. MR. SKLAR: Absolutely. MR. SANTOS: In your explanation. Thank you. Mr. Chairman, can we take that for the record? CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Yes, we can. All right. I had one other question regarding your pitch for the chief financial officer. You know, we get to the staffing plan later, but since you brought it up, will this require an additional FTE to decouple
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 clear, that is correct, there are many obligatory things we must do in the HR realm, and we will do those. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Mr. Santos? MR. SANTOS: Yeah, a follow-up on the mandatory as it relates to 4(b). CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: In what section are you referring? MR. SANTOS: On page 3, Operational Services. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Thank you. MR. SANTOS: Could you explain more detail of the personnel security processing, what is entailed and what aspects of it is mandatory and what is the source of that? MR. SKLAR: Sure. So, perhaps the writeup makes it sound slightly more complicated than it actually is, 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ \end{array} $	 information for the record. I do see that this was a bit of a confusing writeup in that this is largely something that's in place now that we wanted to bring to your attention, and is also a no-cost item. MR. SANTOS: I appreciate that. We can take that for the record, I mean, if you can add in that, what aspects are mandatory. MR. SKLAR: Absolutely. MR. SANTOS: In your explanation. Thank you. Mr. Chairman, can we take that for the record? CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Yes, we can. All right. I had one other question regarding your pitch for the chief financial officer. You know, we get to the staffing plan later, but since you brought it up, will this require an additional FTE to decouple these things that you talked about, or is this a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 clear, that is correct, there are many obligatory things we must do in the HR realm, and we will do those. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Mr. Santos? MR. SANTOS: Yeah, a follow-up on the mandatory as it relates to 4(b). CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: In what section are you referring? MR. SANTOS: On page 3, Operational Services. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Thank you. MR. SANTOS: Could you explain more detail of the personnel security processing, what is entailed and what aspects of it is mandatory and what is the source of that? MR. SKLAR: Sure. So, perhaps the writeup makes it sound slightly more complicated than it actually is, and that's on us. All security clearances, Q 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ \end{array} $	 information for the record. I do see that this was a bit of a confusing writeup in that this is largely something that's in place now that we wanted to bring to your attention, and is also a no-cost item. MR. SANTOS: I appreciate that. We can take that for the record, I mean, if you can add in that, what aspects are mandatory. MR. SKLAR: Absolutely. MR. SANTOS: In your explanation. Thank you. Mr. Chairman, can we take that for the record? CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Yes, we can. All right. I had one other question regarding your pitch for the chief financial officer. You know, we get to the staffing plan later, but since you brought it up, will this require an additional FTE to decouple these things that you talked about, or is this a reshuffling of responsibilities within the Office of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 clear, that is correct, there are many obligatory things we must do in the HR realm, and we will do those. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Mr. Santos? MR. SANTOS: Yeah, a follow-up on the mandatory as it relates to 4(b). CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: In what section are you referring? MR. SANTOS: On page 3, Operational Services. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Thank you. MR. SANTOS: Could you explain more detail of the personnel security processing, what is entailed and what aspects of it is mandatory and what is the source of that? MR. SKLAR: Sure. So, perhaps the writeup makes it sound slightly more complicated than it actually is, and that's on us. All security clearances, Q clearances, are run through DOE. We don't get involved 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 information for the record. I do see that this was a bit of a confusing writeup in that this is largely something that's in place now that we wanted to bring to your attention, and is also a no-cost item. MR. SANTOS: I appreciate that. We can take that for the record, I mean, if you can add in that, what aspects are mandatory. MR. SKLAR: Absolutely. MR. SANTOS: In your explanation. Thank you. Mr. Chairman, can we take that for the record? CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Yes, we can. All right. I had one other question regarding your pitch for the chief financial officer. You know, we get to the staffing plan later, but since you brought it up, will this require an additional FTE to decouple these things that you talked about, or is this a reshuffling of responsibilities within the Office of the General Manager such that you don't need any more bodies
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 clear, that is correct, there are many obligatory things we must do in the HR realm, and we will do those. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Mr. Santos? MR. SANTOS: Yeah, a follow-up on the mandatory as it relates to 4(b). CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: In what section are you referring? MR. SANTOS: On page 3, Operational Services. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Thank you. MR. SANTOS: Could you explain more detail of the personnel security processing, what is entailed and what aspects of it is mandatory and what is the source of that? MR. SKLAR: Sure. So, perhaps the writeup makes it sound slightly more complicated than it actually is, and that's on us. All security clearances, Q clearances, are run through DOE. We don't get involved with that, we don't want to get involved with that, but 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 information for the record. I do see that this was a bit of a confusing writeup in that this is largely something that's in place now that we wanted to bring to your attention, and is also a no-cost item. MR. SANTOS: I appreciate that. We can take that for the record, I mean, if you can add in that, what aspects are mandatory. MR. SKLAR: Absolutely. MR. SANTOS: In your explanation. Thank you. Mr. Chairman, can we take that for the record? CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Yes, we can. All right. I had one other question regarding your pitch for the chief financial officer. You know, we get to the staffing plan later, but since you brought it up, will this require an additional FTE to decouple these things that you talked about, or is this a reshuffling of responsibilities within the Office of the General Manager such that you don't need any more bodies to do it?
$\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \end{array}$	 clear, that is correct, there are many obligatory things we must do in the HR realm, and we will do those. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Mr. Santos? MR. SANTOS: Yeah, a follow-up on the mandatory as it relates to 4(b). CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: In what section are you referring? MR. SANTOS: On page 3, Operational Services. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Thank you. MR. SANTOS: Could you explain more detail of the personnel security processing, what is entailed and what aspects of it is mandatory and what is the source of that? MR. SKLAR: Sure. So, perhaps the writeup makes it sound slightly more complicated than it actually is, and that's on us. All security clearances, Q clearances, are run through DOE. We don't get involved with that, we don't want to get involved with that, but there are some individuals who will no longer have a Q 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\\end{array} $	 information for the record. I do see that this was a bit of a confusing writeup in that this is largely something that's in place now that we wanted to bring to your attention, and is also a no-cost item. MR. SANTOS: I appreciate that. We can take that for the record, I mean, if you can add in that, what aspects are mandatory. MR. SKLAR: Absolutely. MR. SANTOS: In your explanation. Thank you. Mr. Chairman, can we take that for the record? CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Yes, we can. All right. I had one other question regarding your pitch for the chief financial officer. You know, we get to the staffing plan later, but since you brought it up, will this require an additional FTE to decouple these things that you talked about, or is this a reshuffling of responsibilities within the Office of the General Manager such that you don't need any more bodies to do it? MR. SKLAR: Like every action says, it depends,
$\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 21 \end{array}$	 clear, that is correct, there are many obligatory things we must do in the HR realm, and we will do those. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Mr. Santos? MR. SANTOS: Yeah, a follow-up on the mandatory as it relates to 4(b). CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: In what section are you referring? MR. SANTOS: On page 3, Operational Services. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Thank you. MR. SANTOS: Could you explain more detail of the personnel security processing, what is entailed and what aspects of it is mandatory and what is the source of that? MR. SKLAR: Sure. So, perhaps the writeup makes it sound slightly more complicated than it actually is, and that's on us. All security clearances, Q clearances, are run through DOE. We don't get involved with that, we don't want to get involved with that, but there are some individuals who will no longer have a Q clearance. That was a recommendation that we had by 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	 information for the record. I do see that this was a bit of a confusing writeup in that this is largely something that's in place now that we wanted to bring to your attention, and is also a no-cost item. MR. SANTOS: I appreciate that. We can take that for the record, I mean, if you can add in that, what aspects are mandatory. MR. SKLAR: Absolutely. MR. SANTOS: In your explanation. Thank you. Mr. Chairman, can we take that for the record? CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Yes, we can. All right. I had one other question regarding your pitch for the chief financial officer. You know, we get to the staffing plan later, but since you brought it up, will this require an additional FTE to decouple these things that you talked about, or is this a reshuffling of responsibilities within the Office of the General Manager such that you don't need any more bodies to do it? MR. SKLAR: Like every action says, it depends, but at the moment, I believe this would be a reshuffling
$\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ 22 \end{array}$	 clear, that is correct, there are many obligatory things we must do in the HR realm, and we will do those. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Mr. Santos? MR. SANTOS: Yeah, a follow-up on the mandatory as it relates to 4(b). CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: In what section are you referring? MR. SANTOS: On page 3, Operational Services. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Thank you. MR. SANTOS: Could you explain more detail of the personnel security processing, what is entailed and what aspects of it is mandatory and what is the source of that? MR. SKLAR: Sure. So, perhaps the writeup makes it sound slightly more complicated than it actually is, and that's on us. All security clearances, Q clearances, are run through DOE. We don't get involved with that, we don't want to get involved with that, but there are some individuals who will no longer have a Q clearance. That was a recommendation that we had by some of the oversight entities that came to DNFSB and 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	 information for the record. I do see that this was a bit of a confusing writeup in that this is largely something that's in place now that we wanted to bring to your attention, and is also a no-cost item. MR. SANTOS: I appreciate that. We can take that for the record, I mean, if you can add in that, what aspects are mandatory. MR. SKLAR: Absolutely. MR. SANTOS: In your explanation. Thank you. Mr. Chairman, can we take that for the record? CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Yes, we can. All right. I had one other question regarding your pitch for the chief financial officer. You know, we get to the staffing plan later, but since you brought it up, will this require an additional FTE to decouple these things that you talked about, or is this a reshuffling of responsibilities within the Office of the General Manager such that you don't need any more bodies to do it? MR. SKLAR: Like every action says, it depends, but at the moment, I believe this would be a reshuffling of personnel, in that if you do look at the resource
$\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 23 \end{array}$	 clear, that is correct, there are many obligatory things we must do in the HR realm, and we will do those. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Mr. Santos? MR. SANTOS: Yeah, a follow-up on the mandatory as it relates to 4(b). CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: In what section are you referring? MR. SANTOS: On page 3, Operational Services. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Thank you. MR. SANTOS: Could you explain more detail of the personnel security processing, what is entailed and what aspects of it is mandatory and what is the source of that? MR. SKLAR: Sure. So, perhaps the writeup makes it sound slightly more complicated than it actually is, and that's on us. All security clearances, Q clearances, are run through DOE. We don't get involved with that, we don't want to get involved with that, but there are some individuals who will no longer have a Q clearance. That was a recommendation that we had by some of the oversight entities that came to DNFSB and make recommendations as to why all 115 employees have Q 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	 information for the record. I do see that this was a bit of a confusing writeup in that this is largely something that's in place now that we wanted to bring to your attention, and is also a no-cost item. MR. SANTOS: I appreciate that. We can take that for the record, I mean, if you can add in that, what aspects are mandatory. MR. SKLAR: Absolutely. MR. SANTOS: In your explanation. Thank you. Mr. Chairman, can we take that for the record? CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Yes, we can. All right. I had one other question regarding your pitch for the chief financial officer. You know, we get to the staffing plan later, but since you brought it up, will this require an additional FTE to decouple these things that you talked about, or is this a reshuffling of responsibilities within the Office of the General Manager such that you don't need any more bodies to do it? MR. SKLAR: Like every action says, it depends, but at the moment, I believe this would be a reshuffling of personnel, in that if you do look at the resource requests in the staffing plan, and I don't want to jump
$\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ 22 \end{array}$	 clear, that is correct, there are many obligatory things we must do in the HR realm, and we will do those. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Mr. Santos? MR. SANTOS: Yeah, a follow-up on the mandatory as it relates to 4(b). CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: In what section are you referring? MR. SANTOS: On page 3, Operational Services. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Thank you. MR. SANTOS: Could you explain more detail of the personnel security processing, what is entailed and what aspects of it is mandatory and what is the source of that? MR. SKLAR: Sure. So, perhaps the writeup makes it sound slightly more complicated than it actually is, and that's on us. All security clearances, Q clearances, are run through DOE. We don't get involved with that, we don't want to get involved with that, but there are some individuals who will no longer have a Q clearance. That was a recommendation that we had by some of the oversight entities that came to DNFSB and 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	 information for the record. I do see that this was a bit of a confusing writeup in that this is largely something that's in place now that we wanted to bring to your attention, and is also a no-cost item. MR. SANTOS: I appreciate that. We can take that for the record, I mean, if you can add in that, what aspects are mandatory. MR. SKLAR: Absolutely. MR. SANTOS: In your explanation. Thank you. Mr. Chairman, can we take that for the record? CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Yes, we can. All right. I had one other question regarding your pitch for the chief financial officer. You know, we get to the staffing plan later, but since you brought it up, will this require an additional FTE to decouple these things that you talked about, or is this a reshuffling of responsibilities within the Office of the General Manager such that you don't need any more bodies to do it? MR. SKLAR: Like every action says, it depends, but at the moment, I believe this would be a reshuffling of personnel, in that if you do look at the resource

16 (Pages 61 to 64)

9/28/2017

	65		67
1	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Okay, thank you.	1	after a very rigorous testing is done by GSA and other
2	Ms. Roberson, do you have a question?	2	entities that everybody is confident that the data is
3	MS. ROBERSON: I do, I have a couple of	3	secure.
4	questions real quick. On item 4, physical and personnel	4	MR. SANTOS: And I guess you will provide some
5	security, I have a question about the no-cost. I mean,	5	kind of cost benefit between that and having it
6	someone else was doing it before, do you mean no	6	in-house?
7	additional cost or are we actually going to save money?	7	MR. SKLAR: Absolutely.
8	MR. SKLAR: I think it's no additional cost.	8	MR. SANTOS: Okay. Did you have another
9	Again, since traditionally everything was processed	9	question?
10	through DOE, we didn't have to think about this, but as	10	MS. ROBERSON: I'm done.
11	we begin to draw down, and obviously we will save money	11	MR. SANTOS: Another question on page 5, section
12	by not having folks carrying clearance that they	12	3, projects, the test environment. How are we defining
13	shouldn't carry. Perhaps there's a little bit of	13	the scope of that effort and the boundaries of that
14	savings there.	14	effort? I'm familiar with test environments that can be
15	MS. ROBERSON: Okay. And then the other	15	very big, very large.
16	question I had was on the IT. Do you recall, who did we	16	MR. SKLAR: That's a fair question, and once
17	benchmark against, do you recall?	17	again, I just wanted to introduce the concept and the
18	MR. SKLAR: Yeah, I believe it was FERC and	18	idea and what we can do is have our CIO, Mr. Floyd,
19	Millennium Challenge Corporation and perhaps one other	19	provide more information about the test environment for
20	entity, but we certainly can go deeper if the Board was	20	the record. I'm sure it's pretty technical.
20	interested as well, and we can make that we have that	21	MR. SANTOS: My preference is once you have
21	document and we can make that available to you this	22	defined scopes or cost benefit analysis that I'll get a
23	afternoon.	23	briefing on it, and other Board members that might be
24	MS. ROBERSON: Okay. Thank you.	24	interested. I'm a little bit concerned with the scope.
25	MR. SKLAR: Thank you.	25	MR. SKLAR: Okay.
	66		68
1	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Are there any other	1	MR. SANTOS: Help me a little bit about the test
2	questions for the general manager?	2	environment.
3	MR. SANTOS: Yes, Mr. Chairman.	3	MR. SKLAR: Fair point. So certainly we could
4	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Mr. Santos?	4	do a cost benefit on the cloud and on the test
5	MR. SANTOS: On information technology, page 4,	5	environment as well.
6	section on data environment, D, cloud capability. What	6	
7	is your empirison that the data our Carrennes and data	1	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So, just for clarification,
7	is your ambition that the data our Government data	7	you're not requesting a cost benefit be done to be
8	will be like? Is it going to be on a Government cloud	7 8	you're not requesting a cost benefit be done to be included in the record?
8 9	will be like? Is it going to be on a Government cloud or some private company will now have the Government	7 8 9	you're not requesting a cost benefit be done to be included in the record? MR. SANTOS: That is correct.
8 9 10	will be like? Is it going to be on a Government cloud or some private company will now have the Government data? Can you explain what "cloud" means?	7 8 9 10	you're not requesting a cost benefit be done to be included in the record? MR. SANTOS: That is correct. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Okay, so what are you asking
8 9 10 11	will be like? Is it going to be on a Government cloud or some private company will now have the Government data? Can you explain what "cloud" means? MR. SKLAR: Yeah, I'm going to explain at the	7 8 9 10 11	you're not requesting a cost benefit be done to be included in the record? MR. SANTOS: That is correct. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Okay, so what are you asking for as part of this record?
8 9 10 11 12	 will be like? Is it going to be on a Government cloud or some private company will now have the Government data? Can you explain what "cloud" means? MR. SKLAR: Yeah, I'm going to explain at the surface, and then I'm going to defer to my CIO, who will 	7 8 9 10 11 12	you're not requesting a cost benefit be done to be included in the record? MR. SANTOS: That is correct. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Okay, so what are you asking for as part of this record? MR. SANTOS: That the scope of the test
8 9 10 11 12 13	 will be like? Is it going to be on a Government cloud or some private company will now have the Government data? Can you explain what "cloud" means? MR. SKLAR: Yeah, I'm going to explain at the surface, and then I'm going to defer to my CIO, who will give a more detailed answer behind me, but anything we 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	you're not requesting a cost benefit be done to be included in the record? MR. SANTOS: That is correct. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Okay, so what are you asking for as part of this record? MR. SANTOS: That the scope of the test environment be better defined. So an expansion on the
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	will be like? Is it going to be on a Government cloud or some private company will now have the Government data? Can you explain what "cloud" means? MR. SKLAR: Yeah, I'm going to explain at the surface, and then I'm going to defer to my CIO, who will give a more detailed answer behind me, but anything we do would be federally certified. Obviously things like	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 you're not requesting a cost benefit be done to be included in the record? MR. SANTOS: That is correct. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Okay, so what are you asking for as part of this record? MR. SANTOS: That the scope of the test environment be better defined. So an expansion on the description currently provided on 3(e).
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 will be like? Is it going to be on a Government cloud or some private company will now have the Government data? Can you explain what "cloud" means? MR. SKLAR: Yeah, I'm going to explain at the surface, and then I'm going to defer to my CIO, who will give a more detailed answer behind me, but anything we do would be federally certified. Obviously things like Amazon Cloud, there are, or Microsoft, there are pieces 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 you're not requesting a cost benefit be done to be included in the record? MR. SANTOS: That is correct. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Okay, so what are you asking for as part of this record? MR. SANTOS: That the scope of the test environment be better defined. So an expansion on the description currently provided on 3(e). CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So noted. Other questions?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	will be like? Is it going to be on a Government cloud or some private company will now have the Government data? Can you explain what "cloud" means? MR. SKLAR: Yeah, I'm going to explain at the surface, and then I'm going to defer to my CIO, who will give a more detailed answer behind me, but anything we do would be federally certified. Obviously things like Amazon Cloud, there are, or Microsoft, there are pieces that are approved for use by the Government, that GSA	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 you're not requesting a cost benefit be done to be included in the record? MR. SANTOS: That is correct. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Okay, so what are you asking for as part of this record? MR. SANTOS: That the scope of the test environment be better defined. So an expansion on the description currently provided on 3(e). CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So noted. Other questions? Ms. Roberson?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	will be like? Is it going to be on a Government cloud or some private company will now have the Government data? Can you explain what "cloud" means? MR. SKLAR: Yeah, I'm going to explain at the surface, and then I'm going to defer to my CIO, who will give a more detailed answer behind me, but anything we do would be federally certified. Obviously things like Amazon Cloud, there are, or Microsoft, there are pieces that are approved for use by the Government, that GSA certifies, and multiple Government entities are using	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 you're not requesting a cost benefit be done to be included in the record? MR. SANTOS: That is correct. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Okay, so what are you asking for as part of this record? MR. SANTOS: That the scope of the test environment be better defined. So an expansion on the description currently provided on 3(e). CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So noted. Other questions? Ms. Roberson? MS. ROBERSON: One more follow-up question to my
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	will be like? Is it going to be on a Government cloud or some private company will now have the Government data? Can you explain what "cloud" means? MR. SKLAR: Yeah, I'm going to explain at the surface, and then I'm going to defer to my CIO, who will give a more detailed answer behind me, but anything we do would be federally certified. Obviously things like Amazon Cloud, there are, or Microsoft, there are pieces that are approved for use by the Government, that GSA certifies, and multiple Government entities are using these secure environments. So we would only use	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 you're not requesting a cost benefit be done to be included in the record? MR. SANTOS: That is correct. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Okay, so what are you asking for as part of this record? MR. SANTOS: That the scope of the test environment be better defined. So an expansion on the description currently provided on 3(e). CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So noted. Other questions? MS. ROBERSON: One more follow-up question to my question on the IT. When you guys benchmarked, and I
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	will be like? Is it going to be on a Government cloud or some private company will now have the Government data? Can you explain what "cloud" means? MR. SKLAR: Yeah, I'm going to explain at the surface, and then I'm going to defer to my CIO, who will give a more detailed answer behind me, but anything we do would be federally certified. Obviously things like Amazon Cloud, there are, or Microsoft, there are pieces that are approved for use by the Government, that GSA certifies, and multiple Government entities are using these secure environments. So we would only use something that was Fed ramp certified, but to go deeper	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 you're not requesting a cost benefit be done to be included in the record? MR. SANTOS: That is correct. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Okay, so what are you asking for as part of this record? MR. SANTOS: That the scope of the test environment be better defined. So an expansion on the description currently provided on 3(e). CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So noted. Other questions? MS. ROBERSON: One more follow-up question to my question on the IT. When you guys benchmarked, and I know you will provide that, when I think about FERC,
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	will be like? Is it going to be on a Government cloud or some private company will now have the Government data? Can you explain what "cloud" means? MR. SKLAR: Yeah, I'm going to explain at the surface, and then I'm going to defer to my CIO, who will give a more detailed answer behind me, but anything we do would be federally certified. Obviously things like Amazon Cloud, there are, or Microsoft, there are pieces that are approved for use by the Government, that GSA certifies, and multiple Government entities are using these secure environments. So we would only use something that was Fed ramp certified, but to go deeper than that, actually I would need to call on Mr. Floyd.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 you're not requesting a cost benefit be done to be included in the record? MR. SANTOS: That is correct. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Okay, so what are you asking for as part of this record? MR. SANTOS: That the scope of the test environment be better defined. So an expansion on the description currently provided on 3(e). CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So noted. Other questions? MS. ROBERSON: One more follow-up question to my question on the IT. When you guys benchmarked, and I know you will provide that, when I think about FERC, they have a fairly extensive document control and
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	will be like? Is it going to be on a Government cloud or some private company will now have the Government data? Can you explain what "cloud" means? MR. SKLAR: Yeah, I'm going to explain at the surface, and then I'm going to defer to my CIO, who will give a more detailed answer behind me, but anything we do would be federally certified. Obviously things like Amazon Cloud, there are, or Microsoft, there are pieces that are approved for use by the Government, that GSA certifies, and multiple Government entities are using these secure environments. So we would only use something that was Fed ramp certified, but to go deeper than that, actually I would need to call on Mr. Floyd. MR. SANTOS: So there's a potential that the	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 you're not requesting a cost benefit be done to be included in the record? MR. SANTOS: That is correct. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Okay, so what are you asking for as part of this record? MR. SANTOS: That the scope of the test environment be better defined. So an expansion on the description currently provided on 3(e). CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So noted. Other questions? MS. ROBERSON: One more follow-up question to my question on the IT. When you guys benchmarked, and I know you will provide that, when I think about FERC, they have a fairly extensive document control and docketing system, and Millennial does as well, too,
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	will be like? Is it going to be on a Government cloud or some private company will now have the Government data? Can you explain what "cloud" means? MR. SKLAR: Yeah, I'm going to explain at the surface, and then I'm going to defer to my CIO, who will give a more detailed answer behind me, but anything we do would be federally certified. Obviously things like Amazon Cloud, there are, or Microsoft, there are pieces that are approved for use by the Government, that GSA certifies, and multiple Government entities are using these secure environments. So we would only use something that was Fed ramp certified, but to go deeper than that, actually I would need to call on Mr. Floyd. MR. SANTOS: So there's a potential that the data will be in some private entity's infrastructure?	$\begin{array}{c} 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array}$	 you're not requesting a cost benefit be done to be included in the record? MR. SANTOS: That is correct. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Okay, so what are you asking for as part of this record? MR. SANTOS: That the scope of the test environment be better defined. So an expansion on the description currently provided on 3(e). CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So noted. Other questions? MS. ROBERSON: One more follow-up question to my question on the IT. When you guys benchmarked, and I know you will provide that, when I think about FERC, they have a fairly extensive document control and docketing system, and Millennial does as well, too, because of the nature of what they do.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	will be like? Is it going to be on a Government cloud or some private company will now have the Government data? Can you explain what "cloud" means? MR. SKLAR: Yeah, I'm going to explain at the surface, and then I'm going to defer to my CIO, who will give a more detailed answer behind me, but anything we do would be federally certified. Obviously things like Amazon Cloud, there are, or Microsoft, there are pieces that are approved for use by the Government, that GSA certifies, and multiple Government entities are using these secure environments. So we would only use something that was Fed ramp certified, but to go deeper than that, actually I would need to call on Mr. Floyd. MR. SANTOS: So there's a potential that the data will be in some private entity's infrastructure? MR. SKLAR: Again, other large parts of the	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 you're not requesting a cost benefit be done to be included in the record? MR. SANTOS: That is correct. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Okay, so what are you asking for as part of this record? MR. SANTOS: That the scope of the test environment be better defined. So an expansion on the description currently provided on 3(e). CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So noted. Other questions? MS. ROBERSON: One more follow-up question to my question on the IT. When you guys benchmarked, and I know you will provide that, when I think about FERC, they have a fairly extensive document control and docketing system, and Millennial does as well, too, because of the nature of what they do. Did we identify best practices we're trying to
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	will be like? Is it going to be on a Government cloud or some private company will now have the Government data? Can you explain what "cloud" means? MR. SKLAR: Yeah, I'm going to explain at the surface, and then I'm going to defer to my CIO, who will give a more detailed answer behind me, but anything we do would be federally certified. Obviously things like Amazon Cloud, there are, or Microsoft, there are pieces that are approved for use by the Government, that GSA certifies, and multiple Government entities are using these secure environments. So we would only use something that was Fed ramp certified, but to go deeper than that, actually I would need to call on Mr. Floyd. MR. SANTOS: So there's a potential that the data will be in some private entity's infrastructure?	$\begin{array}{c} 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array}$	 you're not requesting a cost benefit be done to be included in the record? MR. SANTOS: That is correct. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Okay, so what are you asking for as part of this record? MR. SANTOS: That the scope of the test environment be better defined. So an expansion on the description currently provided on 3(e). CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So noted. Other questions? MS. ROBERSON: One more follow-up question to my question on the IT. When you guys benchmarked, and I know you will provide that, when I think about FERC, they have a fairly extensive document control and docketing system, and Millennial does as well, too, because of the nature of what they do.

17 (Pages 65 to 68)

Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board

9/28/2017

Deler	ise inuclear racilities safety board		9/20/2017
	69		71
1	Have we identified practices and actions that they have	1	that you're going to have to go external and find
2	taken that would benefit us?	2	somebody with those credentials, but you said that you
3	MR. SKLAR: That's a fair question that I	3	were going to be shuffling people in-house. So are you
4	believe is more of a financial exercise, in that we	4	thinking that you're going to take somebody that you
5	literally looked at their financials and tried to figure	5	have and credential, or are you thinking that you are
6	it out. Those two entities were chosen because they had	6	just going to have a slot and that you are going to
7	isolated the financials, or FTEs, so it was easy to find	7	bring in a CFO and then typically CFOs are at least a 15
8	it, but we certainly could benefit from best practice	8	or an SES. So, what would be the tradeoff there?
9	discussions with other similarly sized entities.	9	MR. SKLAR: The thought process is that this
10	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Mr. Santos again?	10	would be a GS-15 position, and we certainly have made no
11	MR. SANTOS: Back to the page 9 on budget,	11	type of decision, internal, external, or possibly both.
12	finance and acquisition, in item 2 on the chief	12	We certainly wouldn't want to isolate anyone internally
13	financial officer, we are not a CFO Act agency, correct?	13	who could take over those functions.
14	MR. SKLAR: Correct.	14	MS. CONNERY: I guess I'm referring to the term
15	MR. SANTOS: If we were to bring a CFO, the fact	15	"credentialing" and what it means as it's very specific
16	that we have if we have a CFO, does that mean that we	16	for a CFO, for instance.
17	need to start now complying with CFO Act requirements,	17	MR. SKLAR: At this point I think it would be
18	or is that just a naming nomenclature, or are we	18	unfair to answer that question with any specificity, but
19	bringing requirements and functionality because we're	19	I think we will be researching do we need an MBA, do we
20	moving to having a CFO? Do you understand what I'm	20	need an accountant, and those types of credentials or
21	asking?	21	not. I will say, though, that many of the CFOs in
22	MR. SKLAR: I do, and again, I'll defer to	22	today's world are just really good managers, so that's
23	legal. Certainly Mr. Biggins can correct me if I go	23	something that's really a trend away from an
24	astray, but I assume CFO Act agency is a very specific	24	accountant-type background to somebody who is an
25	thing and identifies maybe 24 CFO Act agencies. I'm not	25	exceptional manager who understands the organization.
	70		72
1	aware that by using that titling that we subject	1	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Any other questions for
2	ourselves to that scrutiny, but again, I defer to	2	Mr. Sklar?
3	Mr. Biggins.	3	(No response.)
4	MR. BIGGINS: I think it would require specific	4	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: All right. Let's move,
5	analysis of the statute, but my recollection of the	5	then, to the next item on the agenda, which is the
6	wording of the statute is that it applies to specific	6	Office of General Counsel work plan, and so Mr. General
7	agencies; however, much of the guidance or data data	7	Counsel?
8	requests that come out of the White House administration	8	MR. BIGGINS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, Vice
9	OMB, those could be expanded beyond just the statutory	9	Chairman and Board members.
10	definition of CFO Act agencies. So it would probably	10	Since the start of fiscal year 2016, I have been
11	result in a case-by-case review of each data request to	11	working to establish the tracking of OGC's workload to
12	see if they are specifically referring to a CFO Act	12	improve planning and accountability for the office in
13	agency, or if they're referring to agencies that have a	13	its supporting role in this agency. While the first
14	CFO.	14	iteration of our tracking system was accrued as
15	MR. SANTOS: And follow-on question, General	15	imperfect as a spreadsheet capture of support request,
16	Counsel, if we then create a CFO, will there be an	16	it was certainly an improvement over no tracking.
17	expectation by outside oversight that we then need to be	17	For FY 2017, excluding ethics support requests,
18	in compliance with CFO Act requirements?	18	OGC processed approximately 185 legal review and support
19	MR. BIGGINS: I don't think it would trigger	19	requests. This is in addition to support for
20	those requirements absent the statutory requirement.	20	approximately 90 Board gatherings, an estimated 50 Board
21	MR. SANTOS: Thank you.	21	briefings, five Board meetings and one Board hearing.
22	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Ms. Connery?	22	This does not include the support for rescheduled or
23	MS. CONNERY: Just a quick follow-up on that,	23	cancelled meetings and hearings for which OGC also
24	for the general manager, you had said that you wanted a	24	provided support.
25	properly credentialed and titled CFO. And so that means	25	OGC has also supported several complex
		1	

18 (Pages 69 to 72)

9/28/2017

	73		75
1	employment law cases, many untracked ethics issues, 15	1	In the next category, OGC review of technical
2	public financial disclosure reports and 28 confidential	2	documents. OTD is presenting a goal of 38 oversight
3	financial disclosure reports.	3	plans in its work plan. The resultant technical
4	Under Chairman Connery and under Chairman	4	documents of these reviews are reviewed by OGC for
5	Sullivan, the general counsel, and for a short time the	5	analysis of applicable legal and regulatory
6	deputy general counsel, also supported dozens of office	6	requirements, assurance of correctly interpreted and
7	director meetings and one-on-one briefings with Board	7	stated standards stemming from those requirements, and
8	members.	8	logical support for staff conclusions.
9	Our small but mighty Office of the General	9	In the next category, contracts. During
10	Counsel will continue this work under the proposed	10	FY 2016 pardon me, during FY 2017, OGC has
11	FY 2018 work plan. I have organized the work plan into	11	coordinated with OGM to begin the review of agency
12	two sections: Section 1 deals with direct support	12	contracts. While we initially started reviewing all
13	services; section 2 deals with OGC planned discretionary	13	contracting matters, after an initial period, we
14	work.	14	examined with OGM the efficacy of 100 percent review,
15	This proposed work plan lays out the important	15	and as a result, have now set a threshold parameter or
16	supporting role OGC will continue to meet in the coming	16	parameters to review only those contracts likely to
17	fiscal year. The discretionary work will provide	17	present the greatest risk to the agency.
18	important improvements and enhancements to the work flow	18	In the next category, ethics. This is an area
19	and office practices that will make OGC more responsive	19	of support that is not transparent to the rest of the
20	and accessible to agency needs.	20	agency. OGC processes numerous requests each month for
21	For example, updating and compiling OGC legal	21	employees that are seeking outside employment
22	opinions will enhance Board member and staff access to	22	opportunities. On that, directly proportional to the
23	the historic and current interpretation of the legal	23	agency attrition rate, if each employee who leaves the
24	authorities and responsibilities of the agency. What	24	agency explores employment with three potential
25	this proposed work plan does not include is emergent	25	employers, OGC will continue to remain busy researching
	74		76
1	work. The work plan combined with the requested	1	conflicts and preparing recusal agreements for employees
2	staffing level for the office should provide the needed	2	currently on board.
3	flexibility for the office to support the agency in any	3	The ethics arena also includes many other work
4	emerging challenges.	4	tasks, such as advising on speaking engagements,
5	For example, an influx of FOIA requests, an	5	political activities, and replacement of filtered water
6	increase in employment cases stemming from conduct,	6	systems. Yes, thank you. In order to provide the Board
7	performance or security matters, or questions about	7	with an opportunity to explore OGC's proposed plan, I
8	unique or new DOE practices in managing defense nuclear	8	yield the floor back for questions.
9	facilities have the potential to disrupt the planned	9	MS. CONNERY: You just snuck that in there.
10	workload if resilience is not built into the office.	10	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: All right, questions for the
11	Turning now to the specifics of the proposed	11	general counsel?
12	FY 2018 work plan, I will highlight a few particular	12	(No response.)
13	work planning items for Board consideration rather than	13	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: I'm going to lead with a
14	describing each item in the work plan that has already	14	question. Your section 2 is labeled Planned
15	been provided to the Board in writing in the Yellow	15	Discretionary Work, and your section 1 is Direct Support Services. And so my question is, under section 1, is
16 17	Folder. Under the first category, Board Nominations.	16 17	everything nondiscretionary?
17	With the approach of October 18, the Board will have	17	MR. BIGGINS: Mr. Chairman, it's not so much
18	four out of five sitting members with expired terms. I	19	that each particular item is discretionary or
20	anticipate that the President's administration will	20	nondiscretionary, in many cases it's often a matter of
20	present nominations or possible renominations this	20	degree. In other words, how we perform the work is
22	fiscal year. This will result in an intense effort to	22	likely discretionary. The extent of effort that is

23 expended on each work item is discretionary.

So, for example, under Support to the Board, the 24 25 first item is Hearings. Obviously OGC's role in a

25 and ethics agreements.

process the nomination and potential confirmation of

four appointees, including their financial disclosures

23

24

19 (Pages 73 to 76)

Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board

77		79
hearing is discretionary with respect to the Board	1	requirements at the contractor DOE contractor level,
unless a quorum is present, which would require the	2	could cause potential problems, and to assist the staff
presence of at least one attorney. The amount of work	3	in identifying those issues and conveying those to the
that OGC does to help prepare for a hearing has	4	Board for consideration.
fluctuated during my tenure here with the agency where	5	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Thank you. And the last
OGC was previously primarily responsible for much of the	6	item I'm going to ask you to expound upon is under the
coordination of hearings; that changed, a lot of that	7	next section, which is Support to the General Manager
was transferred to OGM. They now do much of that work	8	and the Staff, and it's labeled Security. I'll just
and do a good job with that.	9	read it, your description is "support the processing of
And so it is rather the level of effort or the	10	security matters for personnel security, facility
amount of OGC involvement that is the discretionary	11	security and information security." What is the general
piece of those items than to say that each item	12	counsel's role with respect to security?
independently is completely discretionary or completely	13	MR. BIGGINS: With respect to security, over the
mandatory.	14	last year, or even longer, it's probably best to explain
CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Thank you. On your Support	15	that with a few examples. At the time that the
to the Technical Staff, you have Technical Documents.	16	significant review of security handling of classified
Is that all technical documents that OGC reviews? Many	17	documents here at the agency occurred, OGC was closely
documents produced by the technical staff are	18	coordinating with OGM in ensuring that the appropriate
information papers which remain internal to the agency.	19	requirements for marking and handling of classified
Does OGC review those?	20	information were identified. Those applicable
MR. BIGGINS: OGC does not review every	21	requirements were captured in guidance here at the
technical document prepared by the technical staff. In	22	guidance and staff operating procedures here at the
fact, many technical documents don't go through formal	23	agency, and the concerns were adequately conveyed to the
concurrence at all. And so we primarily review the	24	authorities that were conducting the reviews; in other
documents that are presented as official work product to	25	words, the IG and DOE's security office itself.
78		80

1	the Board for its consideration of safety issues that go	1	In addition to that, with respect to personnel
2	through the formal concurrence process.	2	security, OGC is often involved in coordination of
3	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Thank you. DOE directives,	$\overline{3}$	personnel security matters where, for example,
4	you have Review of DOE Directives of Interest to the	4	information indicated that employees or contractors were
5	Board. The Board has a list of DOE directives of	5	not forthcoming or completely honest on their
6	interest to the Board which was generated, I don't know	6	application materials, and that the agency was taking
7	how many decades ago, but it's a very lengthy list, so		appropriate action in response to those security
8	what does your office actually do there? Can you	8	concerns.
9	explain that just in more detail?	9	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Thank you.
10	MR. BIGGINS: Certainly. OGC has traditionally	10	Are there other questions for the general
11	reviewed DOE directives to ensure that the way that DOE	11	counsel?
12	uses its directive system in order to pass along	12	Ms. Connery?
12	regulatory requirements and safety standards to its	13	MS. CONNERY: So, I just want to I guess it's
14	contractors is sufficiently designed that those	14	under your Planned Discretionary Work, at one point in
15	standards and requirements are clear, that the staff	15	time you were speaking about cataloguing all of the
16	here at the Board has a clear understanding of what	16	decisions and opinions of the Office of General Counsel
10	those mean, and also that the directives carry forward	17	for electronic review, and I believe there was an IT
18	the appropriate legal and regulatory requirements to the	18	challenge to that, and so it kind of got put off for a
10	contractors in the execution of safety at DOE sites.	19	while until whatever that IT challenge was corrected. I
20	So I'll contrast that with the technical staff's	20	just wanted to know is that something that you're going
20	role where the technical staff is reviewing the actual	20	to have the staff to do in FY '18 or is that going to
21	safety issues associated with implementation of the DOE	$21 \\ 22$	get pushed further?
23	directives, OGC's role is to identify any instances	23	MR. BIGGINS: So, the technical challenge has
23 24	where vagaries or other lack of continuity between	23	
24 25	÷ ,		been worked on by OGM, they've been diligent recently in
25	regulatory requirements and implementation of those	25	trying to follow through on questions related to the DMZ

20 (Pages 77 to 80)

			· · · · · · · ·
	81		83
1	requirement for the IT system in order to support this,	1	vacant, through no fault of your own, you have a
2	and have met with us multiple times recently to try and	2	surprise departure. So, to get to 115, we're shooting
3	finalize the issues concerning the IT system support.	3	for 122.
4	With respect to your specific question as to	4	MS. CONNERY: We thought that was a
5	sufficient staffing, I'll defer discussion of that to	5	justification for the CFO.
6	the staffing plan, but I do believe that the requested	6	MR. SKLAR: The second point, just to emphasize
7	resources in the staffing plan would allow the office to	7	what a highly educated workforce we have. It's unusual.
8	process that information beginning this year, FY '18,	8	And extraordinary. And obviously we want to continue
9	this coming fiscal year, and initiate the upload of most	9	that.
10	of the OGC prior legal opinions and memoranda into the	10	And the last point of emphasis is that we have a
11	system and make it accessible to the Board and to the	11	huge number of retirement-eligible folks in this
12	staff.	12	organization. And that's why succession planning is so
13	And so a large part of that effort will be	13	critical, that's why having a thoughtful staffing plan
14	capturing things that are already electronic, but also	14	is so important, and why I really will make a plug and a
15	scanning in documents that only exist in paper form, and	15	pitch to get a group staffing plan for FY '18.
16	we will start with the more recent documents first, but	16	So at this point, I'm going to turn it back to
17	go back and capture some of the historic documents so	17	my colleagues, we will each take our turn as the
18	that the agency doesn't lose that knowledge over time.	18	document evolves, and then we will save time at the end
19	So it will be an ongoing effort, and there will	19	for some questions. And I believe it's back to
20	be a large portion of the work that I will try to get	20	Mr. Biggins, who is first in the draft FY '18 staffing
21	done in FY '18, if the Board supports this task, but I	21	plan.
22	do believe based on the staffing request that I	22	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Is there confusion here as
23	submitted to the Board for its consideration that we	23	to who's talking?
24	will be able to start that.	24	MR. SANTOS: No, that's fine.
25	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Other questions.	25	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Mr. Biggins?
	82		84
1	(No response.)	1	MR. BIGGINS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. The
2	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: All right. Hearing none,	2	current low staffing level of OGC hinders our efforts to
3	let's move on to the last presentation, which is the	3	provide support services, support internal control
4	staffing plan, and so, again, we call on Mr. Sklar.	4	assessment and corrective action, and support business
5	MR. SKLAR: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.	5	process improvements. I will continue to work with HR
6	The goal of the FY '18 staffing plan is a highly	6	to pursue multiple avenues for recruiting high-quality
7	skilled and motivated workforce. We're also trying to	7	legal support personnel; however, low agency Fed scores
8	create a framework for a strategic hiring, and that's	8	and agency ranking make it difficult to recruit talented
9	why it is so important to have a staffing plan so that	9	attorneys who often do research into the agency before
10	we can look ahead, we can be clever and strategic and	10	either applying or interviewing.
11	thoughtful about where we look, and make sure we bring	11	Just as important, the public view of the Board
12	the very best talent back to this organization.	12	and how it functions can affect the public perception
13	Before we break into individual component	13	for potential employees. The market for the legal
14	discussions, and I think that's appropriately where the	14	support is strong, and our public reputation makes
15	bulk of this should reside, I just want to draw your	15	recruiting difficult or results in poorly qualified
16	attention to a couple of issues that might trip us up in	16	applicants.
17	advance, just so we don't get tripped up.	17	Over the past year, this has been compounded by
18	Under our FY '18 budget request, we did request	18	the hiring freeze instituted by the administration, and
19	120 FTE, that is comprised of 115 staff, plus five Board	19	delays from OPM for trying to fill the OGC vacant
20	members, for a total of 120. Again, 115 plus 5 equals	20	positions. The result of any continued diminished
21	120.	21	competitiveness will be fewer and less qualified
22	Now we are shooting in this plan for 122. Why	22	applicants and potentially higher salary costs in order
23	is that? To get to the magic number of 115, you need to	23	to bring people on board.
24	overshoot sometimes. Principally because you'll often	24	I do not want to sacrifice the direct legal
25		25	support to the Board in exchange for hiring the wrong
25	go long periods of time in a CR where positions are just	23	support to the Board in exchange for mining the wrong

	85		87
1	person into the Office of General Counsel. With respect	1	A paralegal in the Office of General Counsel has
2	to the deputy position in the office, the plan is to	2	several long-standing presented based on several
3	fill the deputy position at the SES level. This	3	long-standing needs for updating the organization that
4	position is critical to the consistent operation of OGC,	4	depend on staff availability. The paralegal position is
5	the fulfillment of the competing demands of support to	5	intended to provide direct research support to the
6	the Board, and the operation of the Office of the	6	office, as well as to take the lead on office
7	General Counsel, and to the continued development of OGC	7	improvements.
8	staff.	8	Generally speaking, the office staffing level is
9	OGM, due to Board-level disagreement over the	9	something that I put much thought into. The workload of
10	deputy position, has delayed the posting of this	10	the office is a heavy burden on the current skeleton
11	critical position, and I believe was seeking clear	11	crew and will quickly result in burnout. The one
12	direction from the Board with respect to filling this	12	benefit to the current situation is that it provided me
13	position, which I also share a request for clear	13	with a strong understanding of the level of work that
14	direction.	14	the office can support at different staffing levels in
15	I would request that in improving the staffing	15	the context of the demands of the agency.
16	plan, the Board explicitly provide for direction with	16	Over the two years of my tenure, I have at
17	respect to its wishes for the deputy position.	17	different times had one, two, three and four attorneys,
18	As to the secretary, I am requesting permission	18	and have been able to observe the relative workload at
19	to fill the OGC secretary position as a Federal	19	each staffing level. During this time, the agency has
20	employee. This position is critical for OGC workflow	20	often employed the assistance of contracted legal
21	and daily organization. While the office has relied on	21	support for employment law matters. My insights gained
22	a contract secretary for the past two years, there were	22	through the lens of experience here lends me to
23	instances where in my opinion contractors' interests	23	propose leads me to propose what I believe is the
24	complicated with the interests of the agency. The	24	optimal level of staffing for the agency at its current
25	result was the loss of a secretary who was devoted to	25	size, and anticipated mission and support workloads.
	86		88
1	the mission of the agency, was effective in her job, and	1	Because OGC is primarily a support office, our
2	had excellent credentials as a paralegal. If	2	workload is a function of the agency's size and
3	supervision of the employee was vested in the office, I	3	workload. The OGC input to the staffing plan represents

4

5

6

7

4 am certain that all issues concerning conduct or

5 performance would have been handled in the best

interests of the agency, and would not have necessarily 6

7 resulted in the cost to the agency of replacing an 8 employee.

9 I would note that I understand the current plan 10 for the OGC and OTD secretaries is to move them under the supervision of the Board's new special assistant. I 11 12 personally oppose this plan. The break in the chain of 13 command will likely result in additional confusion about

14 approval of schedules, leave requests, work assignments 15 and priorities. 16 I ask the Board to consider other alternatives

17 to this proposed plan, and I personally find it odd that 18 it would be necessary for the already-important position 19 of the Board's executive secretary to be a supervisory 20 position in order to justify filling the position at a 21 GS-15 level. There must surely be better options, more 22 consistent with OPM principles, for making such a 23 crucial position a GS-15, and to move the supervision 24 potentially in name only, of other secretaries under 25 that position.

workload. The OGC input to the staffing plan represents my best estimate of OGC staffing levels to best support the agency needs. Thank you. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Mr. Sklar, who's next? MR. SKLAR: Mr. Chairman, I would just like to make some brief remarks. I think the enduring quality

8 9 of our submission is that it's short and brief, and my 10 comments will likewise be short and brief. Primarily 11 because we're at a steady state environment. OGM is not 12 making a substantial staff request. In fact, we're 13 largely asking to stay in place. I'll just recite a few 14 numbers historically which should give some perspective, 15 and then hopefully yield the remainder of my time to the Office of the Technical Director. 16

17 So in 2015, we had 22 FTEs on board; in 2016, 18 OGM had 23; in 2017, we now have 23, even though we were 19 authorized up to 26; and in 2018, we are requesting 24, 20 with a request from the Board that the additional FTE 21 being a request from the Board for a special assistant 22 position. So we are largely steady state.

23 Obviously, we do get support from other Federal 24 entities. We're strong believers in shared services. 25 We rely on the USDA for certain functions, we rely on

22 (Pages 85 to 88)

	89		91
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\\25\end{array} $	OPM for certain functions. We're always out looking for additional entities that might be able to assist us at reasonable costs, and obviously we are responsible for two large contracts that service the entire entity, both the IT contract and the admin contract. So with that, I'm going to yield the remainder of my time to the Office of the Technical Director, because there's not a huge amount of time for this segment. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So, we are back now with Dr. Poloski. MR. POLOSKI: For the record, my name is Adam Poloski, I am the board's deputy technical director for engineering performance. I am here to present and discuss the fiscal year 2018 staffing plan for the Office of the Technical Director. We evaluated the technical staff's ability to execute the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board's nuclear safety oversight mission and identified 12 OTD vacancies. We did this through establishing a baseline for OTD staff for the beginning of FY 2017. Since that time, three engineers have transitioned into new supervisory positions, and eight engineers were reassigned to support the engineering performance activities. I'll note that the eight engineers that	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\\24\\25\end{array} $	technical experts; and two, programs to train current staff. If needed, OTD will also consider the use of outside experts to supplement staff capability in these areas. For training, OTD is developing a comprehensive technical staff training program that will include annual training focused on staff-wide topics, including safety bases, technical writing, issue analysis, agenda and interview techniques, and other key areas. In addition, the program will develop training guides to improve technical expertise in specialty areas, including safety bases, nuclear criticality safety, confinement ventilation and quality assurance. Technical staff training is also ongoing in many other areas to improve staff capability and capacity. The next topic of discussion is our knowledge transfer efforts. Within the highly experienced technical staff levels that include BAM-5, 16 of 32 staff members are eligible to retire within the next five years. Because of this, OTD is aggressively pursuing all its transfer activities of the current group of staff experts to other less experienced staff. Technical staff members are performing knowledge transfer activities in the following specialty areas: Nuclear criticality, confinement ventilation, electrical
	c c		
	90		92
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $		$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	92 distribution, quality assurance, and safety bases. Next we would like to discuss the professional development program. OTD will continue the use of the professional development program as a structured career path for employees with a bachelor's degree. This program allows junior technical staff members to gain agency experience before earning their master's degrees and gaining external experience. This program has been successful in attracting and retaining highly skilled and motivated candidates straight out of college and the model can potentially be broadened in creative ways at all stages and levels of their career. By doing so, the agency is able to improve the staff's current skill set with the disciplines and experience most needed to help the agency fulfill its mission. Lastly, OTD is requesting external resources in the following areas: One, administrative support. For administrative support, OTD plans to continue using contractor support totaling the equivalent of five full-time employees. This includes four in secretarial support roles and one in a technical editor role.

with the Office of the General Manager on two fronts: support. OTD requests using additional contractor support of two full-time employees. These employees

One is recruitment and/or retention of highly qualified

23 (Pages 89 to 92)

Public Business Meeting ities Safety Board

Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board

	93		95
1	would serve as a short-term solution to enable staff	1	guys consider the mobility that's occurring in the
2	engineers who are currently developing, assessing and	2	organization?
3	maintaining our internal controls to return to nuclear	3	MR. POLOSKI: So, the way that we designed our
4	safety oversight work.	4	training program, there were three levels associated
5	Three, technical contractor support. The	5	with it. One was a basic level that everybody would
6	technical staff uses outside expertise via technical	6	apply to everybody, and had a core set of training
7	contractor support for, one, evaluation of site	7	associated with it. The second level got into a
8	characterization, seismic hazard, geotechnical	8	different role, which was a review lead, which we hope
9	engineering and structural design at various defense	9	people would aspire to, but it should be pretty
10	nuclear facilities; two, review of maintenance and	10	universal across the staff, especially the more senior
11	operations at DOE facilities bases, safety bases; and	11	staff. And then the third level really got into
12	three, review of nuclear criticality safety issues.	12	project-cognizant engineer roles, resident inspectors
12	This concludes my prepared remarks. I would	12	and some of the more specialized areas.
13 14	like to take a moment to thank several key staff who	14	So we had training components designed around
15	were involved in preparing the OTD staffing plan,	15	each one of those and we're trying to promote a career
16	specifically Mr. Todd Davis, Mr. Robert Oberreuter and	16	path where people would get experience in each one of
10	Mr. Ray Daniels. At this time I would like to answer	17	those roles.
18	any questions you may have.	18	MS. ROBERSON: So a follow-up question. Let's
19	One thing that we did have in our table that I	19	just take the lead reviewer. Does that mean every
20	would like to point out for looking at vacancies for the	20	employee in OTD will need to complete that training?
20	next year, was we did have two Federal administrative	20	MR. POLOSKI: So, the answer is yes. So we
21	support staff listed, and we would like to continue the	21	broke it out into two pieces, training versus
22	current organizational arrangement and kind of parallel	22	qualification. New employees will have to be qualified,
23	with what Mr. Biggins had mentioned.	23	trained and qualified; current employees will be
25	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Questions?	25	trained, and the concept is that they are already
23	Chimilania Solla viat. Questons.	25	funce, and the concept is that they are aready
	94		96
1	MR. SANTOS: Point of order. Are we going to go	1	qualified to do their jobs because they've been doing it
2	in the order that they're presented or to any questions?	1 2	for several years already, but additional training as a
3	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: I had no plan.	3	discretionary based well, I guess it is mandatory,
4	MR. SANTOS: No plan, okay.	4	but without the qualification component seems like a
5	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So fire away.	5	good solution here.
6	MS. CONNERY: Have at it.	6	MS. ROBERSON: Thank you.
7	MS. ROBERSON: So, my question is to for OTD.	7	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Mr. Santos, did you have a
8	And I applaud you guys for your developing	8	question?
9	development and training initiatives. I think the Board	9	MR. SANTOS: Yes, thank you, Mr. Chairman.
10	definitely hires the smartest people out there, but we	10	OTD, you have a vacant senior leader. For how
11	have not necessarily been that good at ensuring we	11	long that has been vacant?
12	invested in their development when it came to doing	12	MR. POLOSKI: I believe two years, sir.
13	effective oversight, knowing how to apply that, those	13	MR. SANTOS: Now, how many supervisors are
14	smarts. So I applaud what you guys are doing.	14	currently in OTD? Are employees performing a
15	One of the things that concerns me, and I'm	15	supervisory role, total?
16	asking you if it's something you guys consider, is	16	MR. POLOSKI: I believe that there's nine.
17	balancing the priority of the mission needs with	17	MR. SANTOS: Nine? Okay.
18	discretionary development opportunities. We see we	18	MR. POLOSKI: Roughly.
19	have a lot of mobility in OTD, and everybody should	19	MR. TONTODONATO: Right now it's 9.
20	I'm not saying we don't want to afford opportunities of	20	MR. POLOSKI: Yeah, nine.
20	everybody to support that, but I'm wondering how you	20	MS. ROBERSON: Nine filled?
22	guys consider that in identifying your staffing needs,	22	MR. TONTODONATO: Yes, nine people right now
23	or in your training needs. Is there a minimum line that	23	doing it.
24	all employees must have certain skills and capabilities	24	MS. ROBERSON: Okay, but you have a vacancy,
		25	right?
25	so that they can be mobile from need to need? Did you	23	ngnt:

24 (Pages 93 to 96)

Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board

support. You can take it for the record, but I would

like to kind of get a sense of the total body support of

people, both feds and contractors, for the past maybe,

a -- there's direct contract support and then we have

interagency agreements, so some of our functions are

done by other agencies and we just pay those agencies

for them, but those would translate to FTE if we were

as shared services, that's what I called it. I'll defer

to the general manager how he wants to present the

you are saying, also. I'm just trying to get a total

information and any clarification to accommodate what

sense of the amount of people involved in supporting

and I believe the request was for the last two fiscal

this agency, both Federal, contractors, shared services.

MR. SKLAR: Absolutely. We can provide that,

MR. SANTOS: Correct, that's what I recognized

And you can break it down per office.

trying to do them ourselves.

years, so that's FY '16 and '17?

you know, two years. And how that trend has changed.

CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: If I can add, there's also

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

9/28/2017

	97		99
1	MR. TONTODONATO: Right, we have a vacant SES	1	MR. SANTOS: Yes.
2	position, yes. The person doing that is also one of the	2	MR. SKLAR: Okay, yes.
3	supervisors that we didn't replace for his supervisory	3	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Ms. Connery?
4	role.	4	MS. CONNERY: The first question is for
5	MS. ROBERSON: Is that nine SESs? Is that what	5	Dr. Poloski. When you talk about the engineering
6	you're counting?	6	performance group support, you note that it's not
7	MR. TONTODONATO: No, no, no.	7	currently reflected in the FY '18 budget. You didn't
8	MS. ROBERSON: You're counting the SESs as well?	8	say whether or not the technical contractor support, the
9	MR. TONTODONATO: That includes everybody, SES	9	second iteration of that, was currently reflected in the
10	and not SES.	10	contract cost. Is that is it just the one that's not
11	MS. ROBERSON: Okay.	11	reflected or are they both not reflected?
12	MR. TONTODONATO: So, two of those are not SES.	12	MR. POLOSKI: I'm sorry, what two are you
13	MR. POLOSKI: There's three DN-5 supervisors.	13	talking about?
14	MR. TONTODONATO: Right, one of them is acting.	14	MS. CONNERY: So, you've mentioned the
15	MR. POLOSKI: Okay.	15	engineering performance group program support, two FTEs
16	MR. TONTODONATO: We'll just say who the people	16	to help with
17	are, technical director, two deputies and three	17	MR. POLOSKI: You mean the Federal hires versus
18	assistant technical associate technical directors, so	18	the contractors?
19	currently six in place with one vacant in the SES	19	MS. CONNERY: The contractor, we're talking
20	positions.	20	about the contractor, you said it's not in the budget
21	MR. SANTOS: Mr. Sklar, this might be a hard	21	right now.
22	question to ask because of how things are transforming	22	MR. POLOSKI: Right.
23	and translating, but I want to ask it anyhow. You	23	MS. CONNERY: And then you talked about the
24	mentioned the 122 FTE, those are Federal FTE, correct?	24	technical contractor support, which is outside expertise
25	MR. SKLAR: Yes, sir.	25	that you hope we can do to get your criticality safety
	98		100
1	MR. SANTOS: Is there a way I know we have	1	and your seismic hazards.
2	shared services, and I know we have some contractors	2	MR. POLOSKI: Um-hmm.
3	that do specific projects that have a defined scope, but	3	MS. CONNERY: You didn't mention whether or not
4	there's others that provide more of a continuous	4	that was reflected in the budget. Is it?
-		-	

reflected in the budget. Is it? 5 MR. POLOSKI: That's a continuation of an 6 existing contract, so yes, it would be included. The 7 new component would be the engineering -- the 8 engineering performance support, yes, ma'am.

9 MS. CONNERY: Okay. My next question is for 10 Mr. Biggins. So, I guess my question has to do, I know 11 that you put a lot of thought into your staffing plan. 12 My question is did you think about since at one point in time you were using your direct hire secretary also as a 13 14 paralegal, is there a way to combine those functions 15 into one individual if you were able to recruit one, so that you would have one FTE reporting to you that 16 wouldn't necessarily strictly be a secretary and 17 18 therefore a paralegal would naturally have to report to 19 you? 20 MR. BIGGINS: So the experience that we have in 21 the office is having a contract secretary, and for a 22 short period of time a paralegal at the same time, and 23 they performed different functions. And when the

paralegal, for personal reasons, that I won't go into in

25 a public meeting, ended up being absent from the office

			,,_0,_0,
	101		103
1	for a period of time, and then ultimately leaving the	1	on board and 12 vacancies. And your external support is
2	agency, the problem that I had was the workload on the	2	four secretarial support, one tech editor, two full-time
3	secretary, the contract secretary, was essentially a	$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\3 \end{vmatrix}$	equivalents instead of four for the engineering
4	full-time workload, and that person was not able to,	4	performance group support, and the rest of the technical
5	even though she had a paralegal certificate, was not	5	contract support of \$500,000 and \$100,000 is the same.
6	able to spend time performing the paralegal function or	6	So that I mean, I'm just doing the math, I'm
7	taking care of the other office initiatives that I had	7	looking at 10 more bodies in the Office of the Technical
8	assigned to the paralegal. So having one person to	8	Director than what you said you needed last year. Can
9	combine both jobs, I wasn't able to accomplish the	9	you elaborate on why that is true, if it is true?
10	things that we were trying to accomplish.	10	MR. POLOSKI: Sure. I think I gave a pretty
11	MS. CONNERY: Okay, thank you.	11	comprehensive background in the remarks that I went
12	And the last question is for Mr. Sklar. So, you	12	through earlier of how we broke it down for baselining
13	indicated that you are not looking for any additional	13	it off of pay period 4 staffing levels, so we're looking
14	people, you have two vacancies, does that mean that	14	at potentially a different snapshot in time versus what
15	you're not going to fill those? On the chart you said	15	we were using as a baseline of what we considered was a
16	you have two vacancies, but they're vacancies without	16	good level of oversight.
17	assignment. They're like floaters? I'm just not sure	17	The numbers with the reassignments from the
18	what their jobs are and whether or not you are asking us	18	engineering into the engineering performance roles
19	to fill them.	19	and the loss of the engineering the management
20	MR. SKLAR: Yeah, that's correct, we do not	20	analyst contract, coupled with the reorganization and
21	intend to fill those vacancies.	21	engineers transferring from nuclear safety oversight
22	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: All right, so I have a	22	roles into supervisory roles all contributed to that
23	question for Mr. Sklar. We heard from the other two	23	number. And training as well is a component for focus
24	speakers about a tentative plan to move consolidation	24	on the engineering management or performance group.
25	support under the general manager, they spoke in	25	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Okay, so let's just ask my
			y,
	102		104
1	opposition, but we didn't hear from you.	1	simpler question: Am I reading this correctly, is the
2	MR. SKLAR: So we do have a plan to hire a	2	technical staff saying they need 10 more bodies than
3	special assistant GS-15 to support the Board and the	3	they said a year ago?
4	Board's functions, and it's certainly possible to or	4	MR. POLOSKI: If that's the way that the math
5	desirable to have that individual supervise the admin	5	works out from year to year, we're taking a fresh look
6	support across the enterprise. So we certainly have no	6	at where we would like to be this year, and that's what
7	objection, and, frankly, at least in my personal	7	the number said.
8	opinion, it would seem to make sense to consolidate	8	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Thank you.
9	those functions in one place.	9	Any other questions for
10	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Okay, thank you. And for	10	MS. ROBERSON: I do. I have a question.
11	the technical staff I'm sorry, Ms. Connery, did you	11	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Ms. Roberson?
12	want to jump in?	12	MS. ROBERSON: I think for Mr. Sklar, I think
13	MS. CONNERY: No.	13	you're probably the candidate. So we're estimating
14	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: All right, so for the	14	creating in total, if we took everybody's request, we
15	technical staff, looking at last year's plan, it	15	would be hiring about 17 people, right? That's our
16	stated this, again, was the input from the technical	16	plan. And that includes our attrition rate. Is that
17	staff that you had 85 people on board, you had two	17	assumption that where attrition occurs, we will do a
18	positions to be filled, Federal positions. At the time	18	one-for-one replacement? I know we can't know where
19	you had external resources, four secretarial support,	19	somebody might leave, but I'm just wondering, do we have
20	one tech editor, four assurance group program support,	20	a process to evaluate our needs based on some priority
21	technical contractor support submitted as \$500,000 and	21	rather than assuming one-for-one replacement?
22	other contractor support not to exceed \$100,000.	22	MR. SKLAR: I guess if you look at the chart on
23	This year's plan says that you have 83 people on	23	page 5, so it does it starts out at current we're at
24	board, and in addition to that. So I mean, again, last	24	114 and today we're actually at 114, but on next Monday,
25	year it was 81 on board with two to fill, this year, 83	25	on Monday, the start of the fiscal year, we will be at

26 (Pages 101 to 104)

22

23

24

25

get that right?

ourselves this afternoon to match our requests. Did I

MR. SANTOS: Yes. Okay.

CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: We have work to do, yes.

9/28/2017

	105		107
1	113, for what that's worth.	1	MR. BIGGINS: I would like to address the
2	This does include your projected losses, you see	2	question as well, Mr. Chairman.
3	the minus 9. So at that point, there would be 17 hires	3	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Certainly.
4	to get back up to where we would need to be.	4	MR. BIGGINS: So, with respect to the OGC
5	MS. ROBERSON: So yes? I mean, that's probably	5	request for six additional people, when you look at that
6	all we can do is assume one-to-one since we don't know	6	in terms of FTE for a fiscal year FTE count, we are
7	when people will leave. Do you know offhand what's the	7	coming up on October 1st, very quickly here, it is
8	most the Board has been able to hire in a year?	8	unlikely that even if the Board approved filling all of
9	MR. SKLAR: I'm sure that's available.	9	these positions, that it would equal six additional FTE
10	Obviously there's been much more aggressive hiring in	10	for FY '18 because of the lag time of getting people on
11	prior years. I know last year, I don't have the number	11	board and the potential attrition just within OGC.
12	in front of me, but it was quite sizeable the number of	12	So, my estimate is that even though that six
13	people we brought on in one fiscal year. Somewhere	13	positions that I'm requesting be authorized by the Board
14	between a dozen and two dozen.	14	to be filled, that the FTE number would actually be less
15	MS. ROBERSON: I don't think it was 17. But if	15	than that for FY '18.
16	you can check, I would love to have that for the record.	16	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Thank you. And I understand
17	MR. SKLAR: Yeah, we can do that, sure.	17	that. But if we were successful, we would end up the
18	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So, let me follow up. I do	18	year with, just in your case, nine people in the Office
19	think there is a disconnect between the table 5 and then	19	of the General Counsel, if we approve this plan. And
20	the subsequent tables presented by each office. That is	20	similarly with the Office of the Technical Director, we
21	the math on table 5 would have eight additional FTE	21	could end up with 12 more people at the end of the year,
22	above where we are now, Federal FTE, but as general	22	which presents a question of that next year going on, if
23	counsel pointed out, he's significantly undermanned, and	23	all those people are on the payroll, the question would
24	he needs six FTE to get to where he wants to be, and the	24	be whether the budget for a follow-on year would support
25	Office of the Technical Director said that they needed	25	it.
	106		108
1	12 additional Federal FTEs. So that's 18. That's a lot	1	MB DICCINS: Lagrage Mr. Chairman
2	more than six.	$\begin{vmatrix} 1\\2 \end{vmatrix}$	MR. BIGGINS: I agree, Mr. Chairman. MR. SANTOS: I know we're over time, one quick
3	MR. SKLAR: Yeah.	$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\3 \end{vmatrix}$	one for Mr. Sklar. On the Board's special assistant, is
4	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So I'm just reading this as	4	there enough functions to justify a nonsupervisory
5	a disconnect. My question is simply is there a	5	position, or is the reason that this person needs to
6	disconnect between what's on table 5 and then what's in	6	have supervisory roles because there are not enough
7	the subsequent tables?	7	functions identified? Can you expand on that job?
8	MR. SKLAR: We certainly do want to speak for	8	MR. SKLAR: Well, I can speak to the way it's
9	the components as to what their requests would be, and	9	currently written, that we did roll in those functions.
10	obviously if we honored all their requests in this	10	It's a big job. There's a lot of pieces and moving
11	particular staffing plan, we would be well above the 115	11	parts to that job, it would be all media, it would be
12	number at the end of the fiscal year.	12	Congressional relations. It's a big portfolio. The
13	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Okay, I just wanted to make	13	question is whether it's a GS-14, GS-15. Obviously we
14	that clear, because if I take that to be true, then	14	think it's an important job with a high profile, we
15	given the budget request that has already been	15	think it should be graded as a GS-15.
16	submitted, the Board necessarily has decisions to make	16	You've asked me something that I really haven't
17	in approving this plan. Okay. Thank you.	17	thought about, the executive function, could it still
18	Other questions?	18	exist at a GS-15, I have to get back to you on that.
19	MR. SANTOS: So to clarify, when we get to	19	But it is currently posted as a GS-15 position,
20	deliberations, it sounds like we might need some	20	supervisory.
21	reconciliation. We have to look at these tables	21	MR. SANTOS: Oh, it's already posted, I didn't
	1 11 0 1 = =		

MR. SANTOS: Oh, it's already posted, I didn't know that.

- MR. SKLAR: I believe so. Is it posted?
- MS. HERRERA: Yeah, we're waiting on the list,
- it's already closed.

27 (Pages 105 to 108)

22

23

24

9/28/2017

	109		111
1	MR. SANTOS: That answers it.		MS. ROBERSON: I'll start. Great. Well,
2	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: All right. Other questions?	2	actually, I kind of like what we have. I would circle
3	(No response.)	3	back around to the conversation you and Ms. Connery were
4	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Thank you to all of you for	4	having earlier about not including the whatever we
5	the presentations. So at this point in the agenda, we	5	call it, management and excellence section, and I was
6	open up for public comment. I'm going to look to one of	6	one of those Board members Ms. Connery referred to who
7	our attorneys to see if we had anybody sign up.	7	commented on removing that. And I wanted to elaborate
8	MR. BIGGINS: Mr. Chairman, no one has signed up	8	why I did that and what my views are.
9	for public comment.	9	So, my views are the Congress is very clear in
10	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: All right, so we have nobody	10	the functions it assigned to the Board, and I believe we
11	signed up for public comment. Do we have anybody in the	11	are drifting in our prioritization of those functions,
12	room who cares to make a public comment?	12	and I think the simplest way to get back to basics is to
13	(No response.)	13	get back to basics. And so that's why I proposed what I
14	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: And I'm not seeing anyone,	14	did.
15	so at this point, I think we will go into recess for	15	It wasn't to say we don't want to have
16	lunch, and we will come back at 1:00 for Board	16	excellence in management or anything else, but I think
17	deliberations. Thank you.	17	we have those because they support the functions
18	(Whereupon, at 11:54 a.m., a lunch recess was	18	Congress told us to do. So that was my thinking going
19	taken.)	19	into this exercise for the two comment cycles we've
20	,	20	done.
21		21	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Any other Board member want
22		22	to speak?
23		23	MR. SANTOS: I want to thank Ms. Connery for
24		24	taking the initiative and championing this effort. I
25		25	think what we have in front of us and what's briefly
23		25	anna what we have in none of as and what's orienty
	110		112

1	AFTERNOON SESSION	1	presented could become a good starting point, she used
2	(1:00 p.m.)	2	the word "draft" or "living document" that as we
3	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: All right, we are ready to	3	continue to work at it we can get to a state that can
4	reconvene. And before we move on with the agenda, I	4	become our new strategic plan.
5	understand from the general counsel that there's one	5	I guess I have a question, our actual deadline,
6	correction from this morning that he wants to read into	6	Mr. General Counsel, you can help me, or maybe
7	the record.	7	Mr. Sklar, but when should the Board have an approved
8	Mr. General Counsel?	8	final strategic plan?
9	MR. BIGGINS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.	9	MR. BIGGINS: I don't know that date. I would
10	In response to a question from Board Member	10	defer to the general manager for that.
11	Santos, Mr. Sklar misspoke. Apparently the response	11	MR. SKLAR: I believe the date has passed at
12	should have been that the agency will be using a	12	this point in time.
13	government-owned cloud, not a contractor-owned cloud.	13	MS. CONNERY: So my understanding was it was due
14	Thank you.	14	September 11th, if I'm not mistaken. People are
15	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: All right. Thank you. So,	15	nodding. But I also understand that the Office of
16	now we move to the portion of the agenda that broadly	16	General Manager had a conversation with OMB letting them
17	says "Board Deliberations." So we didn't have any	17	know that we were going to submit our plan for budget
18	established order. I would suggest that we try to go	18	without a strategic plan, but that we were working on
19	through deliberating in the same order as things were	19	it. So I think we have a little bit of time, but I
20	presented this morning.	20	wouldn't necessarily say we have endless time.
21	So, if there's no objection, the first thing	21	MR. SANTOS: My understanding was the September
22	that we were presented with this morning was the	22	11th date was a draft, so I think we still have time, I
23	strategic plan, and I open it up to Board members to say	23	think according to the requirements of the organization
24	whatever they want regarding the proposed strategic	24	draft.
25	plan.	25	MS. CONNERY: We actually didn't put in a draft

28 (Pages 109 to 112)

115

116

	113		
1	plan at all. We put in nothing. So we do owe them a	1	don't disagree, we have to
2	draft plan, so you could call this a draft of a draft.	2	to what end.
3	I don't think we're in submission format as of this	3	CHAIRMAN SULL
4	point in time.	4	whole concept of strategi
5	MR. SANTOS: Okay.	5	background, through the
6	MS. ROBERSON: Can I ask a question? And I'm	6	be like a five-year strateg
7	actually going to ask Ms. Connery, since you really	7	truth is I was going to go
8	coordinated this for the Board. I guess what I'm	8	told to sail it and do as go
9	starting to wonder is if we're all far enough apart,	9	orders that I was given for
10	maybe we should just consider keeping the old one until	10	I sort of see our age
11	we get someplace else with a new one. How far apart do	11	know, we're going to pro
12	you think we are on a new strategic plan?	12	of Energy, so what's our
13	MS. CONNERY: So, again, I would say that the	13	oversight at the Departme
14	original document that I started with, which is the	14	on what the Department
15	one-pager that was submitted by OGM, that one-pager, by	15	going to execute our miss
16	their definition, did not meet requirements. I think	16	plan would assume that s
17	that we could probably get to something that could be	17	agency as it exists today,
18	submitted in the next couple of months. I would say	18	somewhere different.
19	that an outsider looking at it, based on what we agree	19	If we're not going to
20	on, would say it's slightly anemic, but not dead, if it	20	then it's not really a strate
21	came out of this process.	21	to keep doing what you're
22	I would say that to your other comment with	22	do something different, o
23	regards to getting back to basics, again, I see the	23	well then we could create
24	value in a strategic plan is not only about the mission,	24	to where we want to be.
25	but also how we conduct the mission, and that's the	25	I think on that part of
	114		
1	primary purpose behind giving guidance on a strategic	1	disagreement amongst th
_			10

plan is to give direction from the Board. And my 2 2 3 personal view is that as leaders, we absolutely have to 3 4 put safety first, but we also have to understand that we 4 are guardians of the taxpayer dollars, and that we 5 5 6 should be directing our staff as to how they should 6 7 7 conduct their business and in what manner, and I believe 8 8 a vision statement put out by the Board that articulates 9 9 that is to the benefit of the Board, to the benefit of 10 the staff, to the benefit of the agency, and ultimately 10 to the Government and the U.S. citizens. 11 11 12 So that's why I'm a strong advocate for putting 12 13 this together in a more traditional sense of a strategic 13 plan. Again, I don't know if we could get there. We 14 14 15 might be able to get closer, but we would be able -- to 15 answer your question -- we would be able to get to 16 16 17 something, I believe, that we could all agree upon 17 18 within the next, you know, 60 days. 18 19 MS. ROBERSON: And I mean, just in response, I 19 20 don't disagree with anything you said, I agree we should 20 21 invest in our employees and we should have excellence in 21 22 management. My concern is to what end. It's not a goal 22 23 in and of itself, and my concern is we have not done a

23 24 good job of tying those expectations to the actual duty

25 that Congress assigned to us. That's my opinion. But I

to invest in those areas, it's LIVAN: So, I've struggled with this gic plan. As you all know my e United States Navy, this would egic plan for my ship, when the o sail my ship wherever I was good a job I could on any for a particular mission. ency being the same. You ovide oversight of the Department r five-year plan to provide nent of Energy, I suppose depends of Energy is doing. Yes, we're ssion. How you make a five-year strictly on the basis of the , that we're going to go to go anywhere different, tegic plan, it's just a plan 're doing. If you think we should or be structured differently, te a plan to go from where we are

of it, I think there is

the five Board members in terms of if we assume we were going to go somewhere else, to take the current agency structure, the way it operates, the size of the agency, and change it in some aspect, what would the new agency look like? That I'm not sure we are aligned with. So I think we might have some trouble coming up with a strategic plan. So, the other thing I always struggle with is

that we're being tasked with creating a four-year plan which if you look at the law, seems to me to make sense for those CFO agencies, the large Federal Government departments or agencies, most of which are run by a unitary person, secretary of the department, who comes in at about this time frame at the beginning of an administration and creates a four-year strategic plan that coincides with the administration.

The Board, on the other hand, has five members, and those members, as designed under the statute, serve in terms and can change regularly. So for this Board to create a strategic plan that lasts four years, well, what happens when you get new Board members and they don't agree with the strategic plan as laid out and they want to go somewhere else? 24

So for all those reasons, I'm just talking about the fact that I struggle with the concept as it is

Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board

	117		119
1	created in law and as it applies to this agency, which	1	reiterate that. I'm not from the Navy. We are a
2	is not the same as many of the other agencies in the	2	Government agency.
3	Federal Government.	3	Yes, we have five Board members, so is the NRC,
4	MS. ROBERSON: So, anybody else can jump in any	4	they managed to provide a strategic plan to guide their
5	time, but if they don't, I'll keep going. I believe a	5	staff. The idea behind strategic planning isn't the
6	strategic plan is a valuable instrument in this agency,	6	idea that it's for the five Board members or even in
7	and I believe it's a valuable instrument because we have	7	other agencies for the head of that agency, but it's for
8	five Board members who have different life experiences,	8	the staff, particularly the senior leadership, that is
9	different views, and what we communicate to the staff we	9	part of the enduring mission of the U.S. Government.
10	value is really all we can offer to drive it where we	10	They are the ones that carry on when we are not here,
11	want it to go. I believe a strategic plan is important.	11	and the "what" is clearly articulated in the statute;
12	I believe I'd rather get something right than	12	however, laws are meant to be then extrapolated and then
13	just do something. I mean, I will be honest, but I	13	executed, and we are supposed to execute what is in the
14	think it is important, and I think we don't have to	14	statute. How we execute that is what should be at the
15	be I personally, I think we have I'll call them	15	highest level in our strategic plan. How do we intend
16	regulatory, even though they're not really regulatory	16	to provide that oversight that is the "what" that is in
17	drivers. We have drivers, but what's more important to	17	the directive.
18	me is the Board, even if we can't agree on everything,	18	And I think that that's why I'm a big proponent
19	then whatever it is we can agree on, provides a message	19	of the process. And, again, if everybody buys into the
20	to the staff, and it flows into how they specify the	20	value of the process, then I think we will have a chance
21	specifics.	21	to get to something more meaningful; and if we can't,
22	I don't think we need to specify what we're	22	then I agree with Ms. Roberson, we should probably stick
23	going to look at at a site in a strategic plan. What we	23	to what we already have and then maybe have a longer
24	have to say is what we're going to value out of the work	24	process.
25	and the different duties that we have. I do think it's	25	With regards to reshaping the agency, again, you
	118		120
1	time to redo the strategic plan, but to be honest, I	1	know, in that case, I would ask the question why? To
2	would rather make sure it's going to communicate	2	what end? What part of the mission are we not doing or
3	something meaningful to what we expect rather than just	3	are we not executing well that would warrant a
4	do it. That's just my view.	4	restructuring of the agency for the restructuring sake?
5	MR. SANTOS: I agree, and to Ms. Connery's	5	Are there places where we could do better? In order to
6	point, I also agree that the Board needs to be providing	6	understand that, and in order to execute any kind of
7	a little bit more specificity of the expectations for	7	change to the structure, we have to undo the work of
8	the execution and standards of work, but that's very	8	understanding the strategic "how" we're going to be
9	hard work, and I believe that is better suited for at	9	wanting to perform our mission. Once you have the
10	the policy level.	10	"how," then perhaps you could look at are we structured
11	And if you look at our functions, we've just	11	in the right way to move in that direction.
12	done one recently on the design and construction, and	12	At this point in time, it's simply in my view
13	that took a lot of effort, but it engaged the Board and	13	change for change's sake without any strategic thought
14	now we have a Board policy. I think a similar effort	14	behind it, and I would advocate that you would have to
15	should happen for every other function, and I think	15	have a strategic planning process first in order to even
16	that's the vehicle where we can be providing that	16	begin to conceive of any kind of viewpoint as to how to
17	additional level of granularity that Ms. Connery refers	17	restructure the agency, unless your goal was simply to
18	to, but at a strategic level, I think we can probably be	18	do away with it.
19	a little bit higher and a little bit closer to our	19	MS. ROBERSON: I don't know, was that for me?
20	statutory requirements. That's just my view.	20	Everybody is looking at me.
21	MS. CONNERY: So, just to clarify, I wasn't	21	MR. SANTOS: I just want to my view, again,
22	advocating that we become more granular, because I think	22	regardless of whether to stick to this one or we go
23	that is the role of the policy, but to the point to some	23	back, my message is, we as a Board need to continue to

- 24 of the struggles that Mr. Sullivan is having, you know, 24
- 25 first of all I would say we're not a ship, I'll just

30 (Pages 117 to 120)

work in developing policies, and we have some momentum

and it kind of dropped off and we should regain that,

25

Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board

123

124

	121	
1	because regardless, that will help everybody. So,	
2	that's my viewpoint.	
3	MS. ROBERSON: Are you done?	
4	MR. SANTOS: For now.	
5	MS. ROBERSON: Well, listen, I don't really know	
6	where we are. I don't know how far apart we are, and,	
7	frankly, I don't think we have to agree on everything.	
8	And personally, when I think about the strategic goals	
9	of the agency, I don't confine it based on organization,	
10	structure or anything else. I actually look at the	
11	statute and flow from there. And in my mind, the	
12	statute is pretty specific. I personally think Congress	
13	laid out the goals.	
14	Now how we achieve them is different. That's	
15	why I supported pulling the "by specific function" from	
16	the statute. It's not really relevant how we're	
17	organized if we can't even agree on what we're going to	
18	do. That's just my opinion.	
19	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So, to be clear, I'm not	
20	sure I'm hearing anything that differs from what I	
21	actually thought I was trying to say, which is look, if	
22	the strategic plan amounts to execute the statute, and	
23	more or less keep doing what we're doing, well then	
24	fine, but I'm not sure I think we need a detailed	
25	document that says that. And I think the last strategic	
	122	\vdash

122

1	plan for us, and some of the strategic plans I've seen	1
2	for other agencies, more or less are that. They're	2
3	nice, lengthy, glossy documents that don't really say	3
4	much.	4
5	So, you know, if the idea is to produce a	5
6	document that says keep doing what we're doing, well we	6
7	can do that, but I don't think it takes a whole lot to	7
8	say that.	8
9	MS. ROBERSON: Well, Mr. Sullivan, I'm certainly	9
10	not saying that. I think we have room to improve. I	10
11	think we have room to focus. I think we have room to	11
12	make sure that the work that we do, we have room to	12
13	become a little bit more independent. I actually do	13
14	think we have room to improve. It's not structural. I	14
15	tend to believe it doesn't matter how you're structured	15
16	if you understand what the expectations are.	16
17	So I'm not really focused on the structure. I	17
18	am focused on and I think it shows, whenever we go	18
19	through this exercise, at the end of it, we often do	19
20	accept something, but it's not like we're actually	20
21	aligned behind even some subset. Like I don't have to	21
22	get everything that I want, nor does any other Board	22
23	member, but there should be some minimal subset of	23
24	expectations or goals that we can agree on.	24
25	So I do think there is room to improve and	25

-

1	enhance the Board and the rest of the organization, and
2	I would like that. I think any organization that
3	doesn't think that is drinking too much of their own
4	Kool-Aid.
5	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Thank you. So, if I
6	understood you, you said we have room to improve. How
7	does this document detail that? I mean, in other words,
8	what should the staff be taking out of this as an
9	indication of or a recognition of, all right, I see
10	where we need to improve based on the strategic plan,
11	and now I know how I should focus my improvement
12	efforts. Because I think that's what we said is the
13	whole purpose of this plan.
14	So, can you help me there? Can you show me
15	where you think this document would send a particular
16	message to the staff?
17	MS. ROBERSON: Well, that would be one
18	independence, but the other one is just take the work
19	plans and line them up to these functions. That's
20	probably the best way to do it.
21	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: All right. And so here's my
22	point. I'm looking at the last strategic plan, and it
23	had improve strategic goal one, improve safety of
24	operations, and it had an objective 1.1 and 1.2 and both
25	of them start off with the words "accomplish independent

1	and timely oversight," which are very similar to what we
2	have here under strategic goal 1. So I'm just, again,
3	I'm trying to figure out whether or not this sends any
4	signal of where we need to improve, or does this send
5	the signal of just keep doing what we're doing? And if
6	it only says keep doing what we're doing, and we
7	actually have areas to improve, that we want to
8	identify, and set as a Board, well then perhaps we
9	should spell them out in more detail under this
10	document.
11	MS. ROBERSON: So I think any of us could read
12	any of them in a way that's comfortable. I mean, we all
13	have different eyes and different glasses we're looking
14	through. The specific duties called out in the statute
15	to me are very different, and that this is very
16	generalized, and I think it does send a different
17	message as to when you look at your work planning, how
18	you prioritize, how you make decisions. I think it
19	does.
20	MS. CONNERY: So I can give a concrete example,
21	when I came to the Board as Chairman, did I look at the
22	strategic plan as to how I want to operate as a member
23	of the Board, and the strategic goal number 4 from the
24	previous plan talks about achieve excellence in
25	management and communication with stakeholders. We

31 (Pages 121 to 124)

	•		
	125		127
1	didn't have anybody assigned from this agency to have	1	could be interpreted as, well, just keep on doing the
2	conversations with Congress, with the press, or with	2	same things in a similar fashion to what we were doing
3	outside groups, and I felt that that was a big gap in	3	before.
4	how we were doing our job and how we were doing our job	4	MR. SANTOS: I can just point to one example to
5	in comparison to the strategic plan and, frankly, common	5	your point. I'm just grabbing one, that I see a
6	sense.	6	difference. Page 9, the goal says, "recommend and
7	So that is why I made the motion and had the	7	promote safety in design for new or modified defense
8	support of the Board to hire somebody whose job it was	8	nuclear facilities."
9	to do external communications. And because of that, we	9	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So you're in the old plan.
10	put some emphasis on having good conversations and	10	Is that right?
11	relations with Congress, and having meetings with	11	MR. SANTOS: Yes. So that goal right there
12	stakeholders that we didn't have previously, and	12	would be different the way our statute and/or, you know,
13	improving those communications. So I think that the	13	new policy reads, for example.
14	strategic plan actually does impact that.	14	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Show me again which one.
15	I think the same thing with improve the	15	You're talking about the old plan.
16	alignment with human capital strategies with agency and	16	MR. SANTOS: It says, "recommend safety and
17	mission goals and objectives. I think the staff has	17	design for new and modified nuclear facilities." I'm
18	been trying to do that with looking at succession	18	just highlighting different words that will lead to
19	planning and actually looking at the staffing plan in	19	different executions. To your point what are the
20	order to make sure that they have the right mix of	20	differences? And I just picked one.
21	people to address the mission at hand. And when they	21	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Again, I'm on page 9. What
22	were looking at that, at least from the briefings that I	22	goal in particular?
23	got from the Board, from the staff, they were looking at	23	MR. SANTOS: Goal 3 at the top of the page, it
24	that in terms of what they expected to happen with the	24	says, "goals."
25	Department over the next several years.	25	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: I'm sorry, I was looking at
	126		128
1	The Department's mission is not necessarily	1	the strategic objectives. So you're just looking at the
2	changing in the global sense, but they will change	2	goal?
3	emphasis, and we will have to be responsive to that	3	MR. SANTOS: Yes.
4	emphasis. And so by commenting on how we need to align	4	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Okay. All right.
5	ourselves to adapt to DOE's mission, we are signaling to	5	MR. SANTOS: So you compare that to goal number
6	the staff and to our senior managers that they have to	6	4, for example, that's a difference. I'm just
7	be able to be adept at doing so.	7	highlighting the difference.
8	So I think there's a very clear linkage and I	8	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: And if you were a staff
9	think there's a reason to go through the exercise.	9	member, what message is sent to you by that? Again,
10	MS. ROBERSON: Did you want to or were you	10	that's what I'm trying to figure out. Just take your
11	actually asking me to respond to something,	11	example. Does that mean that there's a shift in the way
12	Mr. Sullivan?	12	the agency is going to operate, or what we're trying to
13	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Again, I was trying to	13	accomplish? What is the shift?
14	figure out whether this document was that is in front	14	MR. SANTOS: You're asking me? I don't know. I
15	of us, was saying anything significantly different than	15	don't know how the staff is going to read that. I just
16	the old document, and if so, what it was. And such that	16	know that there's a difference. A likely conclusion
17	if the staff if I understood it if I could	17	could be that they could have different interpretations.
18	reasonably expect the staff to understand it, then we	18	What are those? I don't know. I'm just going strictly
19	would have some signal sent to the staff that says, oh,	19	by the change of words.
20	okay, we see from this plan that the Board wants to go	20	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: That's all right. But a
21	in a certain direction on whatever that might be. And	21	document that has a bunch of different interpretations
22	so now we know how we should be adapting our performance	22	doesn't necessarily say something. It doesn't

so now we know how we should be adapting our performation
in order to meet the goals of the strategic plan.
And it just wasn't clear to me that this

25 document actually said anything of that nature, and

32 (Pages 125 to 128)

necessarily guide the agency if everybody is going to

read it differently. So I was asking you, all right, so

words are different between the old plan and the new

23

24

	129		131
1	plan, what is your opinion of what that would translate	1	statute I think is important, and that therefore I would
2	to in terms of any change within the agency?	2	like for our new plan to be closer to what Ms. Connery
3	MR. SANTOS: Go ahead.	3	presented here.
4	MS. ROBERSON: Are you going to say anything	4	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Thank you. So nobody
5	else? I don't know if I'm going to answer your question	5	has put forward any motions or anything on this, just
6	or even try to answer your question, because I mean it's	6	before we move on, I just want to state what my sense is
7	not to set it aside, but I think it's an assumption that	7	of where we're going, which is that this document is in
8	we are going to develop the entire performance process.	8	Yellow Folder, and I think we said we were going to
9	I think our job is to set the high-level goals and	9	leave all of these documents in Yellow Folder until
10	expectations.	10	Monday. Is that right?
11	My view is very simple: We're not DOE or DHS	11	MR. SANTOS: The 5th of October.
12	that has broad programmatic goals. Congress told us	12	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Okay, which would be
13	what it wanted us to do. And, frankly, I think	13	Wednesday, I think, if that's right. So, which means
14	restating stuff in a generalized state allows all kind	14	that absent any other motions here, we have time for
15	of stuff to float in underneath whereas I believe the	15	Board members to seek to amend this document through the
16	staff focus more specifically on those goals Congress	16	amendment process. And then we would be voting on it,
17	gave us will result in a honing.	17	and all that would happen through notational voting.
18	It may not eliminate anything, but I think	18	And if there's no other
19	people will see it different. And we see it in	19	MS. CONNERY: I have a comment. So, my
20	everything they do. They roll everything up to a	20	expectation is that we're voting on, when we get to that
21	strategic goal. A strategic goal that says improve	21	process, that the outcome of that Blue Folder is still
22	safety is nebulous. That's not actually I mean, and	22	only a draft is what I would recommend.
23	that isn't what Congress told us to do. It doesn't mean	23	MS. ROBERSON: Why?
24	we can't do it, but it should roll underneath	24	MS. CONNERY: Well, I really don't believe that
25	Congress gave us specific functions. I don't even know	25	we've gone through the strategic planning process that
	130		132
1	why we have to restate them, personally. At our level.	1	is significant. One, we've talked amongst ourselves, we
2	That doesn't mean they don't become more embellished as	2	haven't gotten any feedback from staff, which would be
3	they roll down. I don't know why we need to embellish	3	helpful. People make amendments, there might be staff
4	them at this level.	4	feedback.
5	MR. SANTOS: I think we need to restate them	5	Two, normally strategic plans have at least some
6	because of what you said. If we don't have those words	6	stakeholder re-engagement. We have not engaged in any
7	and we use the old words that are different from the	7	staple engagement, other than I think a brief
8	statute, that could be an explanation of why you	8	conversation of the fact of it with OMB. We haven't
9	perceive there is any erosion. Do you see what I'm	9	talked to our OMB examiner, we haven't talked to the
10	saying?	10	Department of Energy, we haven't talked to the folks on
11	MS. ROBERSON: I do. I see what everybody is	11	the Hill.
12	saying. I hope everybody sees what I'm saying. Okay?	12	So I guess my question would be, if you want it
13	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Anybody else want to say	13	to be a draft and you want it to be final, then we will
14	anything before we move on?	14	have a strategic plan that is probably less than, and if
15 16	MS. CONNERY: No.	15 16	that's where the Board decides to go, that's fine. My
10	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: All right. So, nobody has	17	suggestion is that we get it to draft and then we continue to work on it, since we missed the first
17	made any motions for any MS_POPEPSON: Wellgo_shead	18	deadline, we have some time to make sure that it's a
18	MS. ROBERSON: Well go ahead. MR. SANTOS: I am of the strong opinion that I	18	better product.
20	like this for what Ms. Connery came up with better than	20	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Well, whether we do
20	the old one as a starting point. It needs some work, we	20	something in draft or call it a strategic plan, I'm not
21	talked about both Ms. Connery and the Chairman talked	21	aware of anything that would prohibit us from three
23	about some of the items in the operational guidance that	23	months after producing a strategic plan producing
24	would probably need to be changed or the wording or what	24	another one or changing it. And I'm not sure that
25	have you, but to restore the wording closer to the	25	there's a significant difference functionally between

33 (Pages 129 to 132)

24

25

133

Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board

135

	100
1	those two. I think we do need to know when we move into
2	the performance system what strategic plan we're
3	operating under.
4	MS. ROBERSON: So, I'm assuming Mr. Sklar will
5	know this, I think there are actually regulatory
6	requirements for public comment. Is that before
7	finalizing, do you recall?
8	MR. SKLAR: For the strategic plan?
9	MS. ROBERSON: Right.
10	MR. SKLAR: I'm not aware of any public comment.
11	MS. ROBERSON: Last time we did it, we had to
12	post it. I just don't recall if it was before or after.
13	Mr. Chairman?
14	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Mr. Tontodonato was the
15	ringleader of the last effort, do you want to say
16	something?
17	MR. TONTODONATO: The last time before we
18	finalized it, the Board posted it on the website for I
19	forget what the period was, 30 days or something, to
20	provide comment.
21	MS. ROBERSON: And my recollection was OMB
22	required us to do that.
23	MS. CONNERY: Yes, you are required to post it
24	in draft form.
25	MS. ROBERSON: So I guess what I'm wondering is,

134

if we are not sure we have to do that, we should find 1 1 2 out. I support doing it, it doesn't bother me. I think 2 3 we ought to be comfortable and accountable, internally, 3 4 externally, I don't care, but if it's going to take a 4 5 5 while, maybe we should seriously look at delaying for a 6 year and sticking to the previous, not the one here, the 6 7 previous strategic plan. 7 8 CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: All right, so I hear a lot 8 9 9 of different things as possibilities, and all I'll say 10 is that as we go through this notational vote process, I 10 think it needs to become clear what strategic plan the 11 11 12 agency will be operating under at any given moment. 12 Right now, we have one and it's expired. And so 13 13 14 whether we call it a draft and it's a draft for 30 days, 14 15 is required to get comment, or whether it's a draft but 15 16 we're going to live to it, or whether it is this is the 16 17 plan, but we might change it again some time in the near 17 future. Whatever the case may be, as long as it's clear 18 18 19 from, you know, when we begin writing the performance 19 20 plans for the next fiscal year, what strategic plan we 20 21 are writing them to. That's all. 21 22 MR. SANTOS: Chairman, if I could ask for the 22 23 23 record, if we could get the answers to Board Member 24 Roberson's process question so we can all go back to 24 25 25 this meeting and understand what would that be in terms

1	of public comment, deadlines, and everything associated
2	with that, I think it will be very helpful for
$\frac{2}{3}$	everybody.
4	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: I'm sure we can.
5	MR. SANTOS: Thank you.
6	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: All right. So, if there's
7	no other discussion on the strategic plan, let's move to
8	the work plan for the Office of the Technical Director.
9	And I invite any Board member who wants to start with
10	anything dealing with the OTD work plan to raise it.
11	MS. CONNERY: Since it's so quiet in here, I
12	will start with a couple of kudos to the staff for
13	incorporating some of the lessons learned we had from
14	the process for the scorecard. I think the
15	prioritization is a lot clearer than it was a lot
16	more clear than it was last year. I think that's
17	helpful.
18	Not with regards to the strategic plan, but for
19	the work plan for this cycle, but I think we need to
20	continue to get better at doing cross-cutting issues,
21	and I notice that there is an emphasis on a couple of
22	years during this work planning process. I know that we
23	stopped doing the deep dives on a regular basis, but I
-	

do think that cross-cutting deep dives would be very helpful to help refocus the Board on some of those

136

issues, and the staff to be able to identify issues that come up as in particular issue areas at sites where you're visiting and be able to relate them to other sites. The last thing I would note, just preliminary

remarks, is I'm encouraged to see that the training program went from 5 percent to 39 percent, and I believe that that also affected your view that you needed to hire more people for the staffing plan. Again, I'm somebody who looks forward to the next couple of years in terms of determining where we need to be and not just where we are right now, and I believe that we're going to have to have a stronger staffing effort and training effort within the next couple of years as we will be facing a lot of retirements and folks in the agency, we want to be able to bring on talent to be able to replace -- not replace the talent we lose, but to replicate the activities of those folks that are leaving. MS. ROBERSON: Well, thank you, all Board members. I, too, appreciate the work of the staff. And since, you know, we've had the opportunity to do a preliminary review of this, I mean the staff has pretty much responded to most of my questions, all the ones I felt were important, and we'll see if they survive the

34 (Pages 133 to 136)

would be the same, I'm assuming they would be. I'm

worded a little bit different, and in my view, we have

seeing the head shaking from the staff. But it would be

allowed ourselves more original thinking in closing the

We have a lot of work, but we should look at

that work and see is there something, because whether

that we intended. And so I don't want to keep it as an

anchor, I want to give the staff the broad room to look

there's some other avenue we should take on something

CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: All right. I would also

2011-1, which was the safety culture recommendation, and

So it probably is the same resources, but I

note that one of the other open recommendations is

left to do, and, in fact, the staff was planning on

my understanding was there was no IP steps that were

So, again, you may want to just look at -- I

wouldn't object to having somebody do what might

would word it a little bit different.

bringing us a closure letter.

at all the information that they have and tell us if

you think 14-1 wasn't needed or wasn't having the effect

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

recommendation.

specific.

	137		139
1	Board's vote.	1	essentially be a postmortem on that recommendation, but
2	But I did want to advise that one change in what	2	there may not be any open recommendation at the time.
3	I had commented on on the document was an evaluation of	3	So, again, if we just look at the wording that's in
4	open recommendations to determine their status, not in	4	here.
5	IP check land, but actually substantively, and their	5	MS. ROBERSON: I will include it with whatever I
6	impact on our conclusion or previous Board conclusions	6	propose. I think it's a good idea.
7	on protection.	7	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: All right. I want to bring
8	Since that time, we have voted to close a	8	the Board's attention back to one item that I asked a
9	recommendation, Recommendation 14-1. So I do plan to	9	question about earlier that's on page 9 of the plan.
10	submit a request for Board action to add, which would	10	This is the Chief of Defense Nuclear Safety Biennial
11	actually delete, the review that is captured that	11	NMSA Los Alamos Site Office Review Assessment, and as a
12	would be captured now for that recommendation, but to	12	priority 2 review, the staff team was planning to review
13	add an alternative report from the staff based off of	13	this DNS assessment report corrective actions.
14	all of their reviews and site visits and inquiries.	14	My personal opinion is that we should not be
15	The staff's response to your question two days	15	just reviewing their review. I think the staff can
16	ago, Mr. Sullivan, are there potential vulnerabilities	16	certainly read this report, and I think they can
17	the Board needs to pay attention to, whether it's at a	17	certainly send it to the Board members and the Board
18	site level or a function level.	18	members could read it, and if anybody has any questions
19	So I'll be proposing that to the Board.	19	about it, the Board could then at that time, has tasked
20	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: All right, I would note that	20	the staff to do something, but I don't see the need for
21	the work plan as it exists says calls it a progress	21	a priority 2 review to be planned simply based on the
22	review for 14-1, but it says the staff will evaluate the	22	fact that over in the NNSA, they're planning to do
23	Department of Energy actions on the recommendation that	23	something, which they should do, and it has to do with
24	as written. Would that cover what you're actually	24	their in-house stuff, and I don't think we should be
25	looking for?	25	planning on having our staff critique that until there's
	138		140
1	MS. ROBERSON: It would be very close and I	1	some obvious potential problem that might grow out of
2	think it could I don't know if the resource needs	2	it.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

it.
 So, I would be proposing that we delete this as
 a staff priority 2 review.
 MS. CONNERY: So, association I would like

MS. CONNERY: So, association I would like to respond to that, because I think perhaps this is a lexicon issue. My understanding of this review is not that it would be just looking at what it is that was given to us by the Department, but that the staff would be doing an independent look at some of the issues that were brought up, if they would reinforce some of the issues.

The reason that I state this is because after we had that last briefing, I got an issue paper from the staff that they are currently -- or sorry, I got an info paper from the staff which they are currently turning into a document that we can transmit to the Department that is specifically targeted at issues that they brought up -- that the Department brought up during that conversation we had with the site office.

So I do see that there is valuable information that is to be culled from those conversations, and my view is that this might be just a lexicon issue with the staff that perhaps you could get clarified before you made your amendment.

35 (Pages 137 to 140)

Public Business Meeting etv Board

Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board

9/28/2017

	141		143
1	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: I have something else to	1	down to something on the order of maybe 10 things that
2	talk about, if nobody else cares to comment on that	2	would be in tier 1 and 25 things that would be in tier
3	particular issue, we'll move on.	3	2. And the rest, there was an awful lot of things that
4	Mr. Santos, you had something you wanted to	4	I didn't understand why we had any interest in. Which
5	bring up?	5	would translate to a lot less work on a regular basis
6	MR. SANTOS: No, I want to make sure you go	6	for what our staff does in this area, I would presume.
7	through all your questions before I jump in.	7	Now, the policy didn't pass, and I can't speak
8	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: All right. So, the other	8	to why it didn't pass because I was one of the people
9	item I planned on bringing up for the Board to take a	9	who voted for it. I didn't you know, I had issues
10	look at has to do with the table on page 13. So this is	10	and problems with the lists that were simultaneously
11	in the NPA group of four of five directives reviews	11	being presented to us, but we never got to voting on
12	saying that the staff team will review and comment on	12	those lists. Since the policy itself didn't pass, we
13	the adequacy of the draft document.	13	never went into amendments on the lists and trying to
14	So we had a policy statement that didn't get	14	approve the lists.
15	approved, but I think in my view we should be doing a	15	MS. ROBERSON: So, I did not vote for the
16	lot less of review and comment on draft directives, that	16	policy, and the primary reason I did not vote for the
17	the Department itself has a pretty good set of	17	policy is because of the distribution of directives,
18	directives and they ought to be able to revise them.	18	standards and manuals in tier 1, tier 2. So it was
19	And after the fact, if we find a problem, we are free to	19	really a cyclic process, no matter how you look at it.
20	tell the Secretary that we found a problem, but I think	20	And as I reflect on what happened, there are
21	we do an awful lot of effort in reviewing what they plan	21	cases where you crossed off orders I was interested in
22	on changing, a lot of that ends up being editorial	22	and I crossed off orders you were interested in. And so
23	comments or other things which take up necessarily a lot	23	maybe as a Board we should discuss and give each other a
24	of our staff time, and I'm not sure it's productive.	24	little bit of leeway, recognizing we have different
25	So when I look at the staffing plan which is	25	interests. And I think the list was much shorter, but I
	142		144
1	proposing adding quite a few people to the staff, I	1	think there was no give in the list and so the staff had
2	think we need to find an alternative to find things that	2	a hard time trying to figure out how to make it work for
3	say we don't really need to be doing that. In my view	3	everybody.
4	this is one of those things. We don't really need to be	4	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: I don't know what the staff
5	reviewing all of these directives and commenting on them	5	I don't know what led to that conclusion, simply
6	when the Department is in the middle of their own	6	because we made our comments in Orange Folder on those
7	process to change directives.	7	lists, and we never got to the point where we were
8	MS. ROBERSON: Actually, I was going to ask the	8	trying to finalize them, in my view, as I recall.
0			

9

10

11

12

13

want in a policy. If we ought to reactivate that. I 14 think we should. 15 16 CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So, my recollection is of a 17 policy statement is essentially that we were going to have a tier one and tier two, and tier one was going to 18 be those things that we really did want to see whether 19 they put the signature on the final product; and tier 20 21 two was going to be those things we just asked to see 22 after they made any changes. 23 And my hope as I went through it was that the current list of directives that went to the Board, which 24 I think was seven pages long, was going to get chopped 25

question, because I meant to look this up, we did have a

policy, I think it was a two-part policy, and trying

ought to just pull the policy up and not try to connect

the list of directives and really just focus on what we

to -- and it failed. We voted and it failed. If we

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

36 (Pages 141 to 144)

But nevertheless, I'm coming back around to the

simply telegraphing that by amendment, notwithstanding

work plan, because that's where we're at, and I was

the fact that we don't have a policy, I was going to

seek to strike these things because all four of these

appear to be reviewing draft documents and I don't see

why we need to be reviewing their draft document. We ought to let them have a document and then if based on

what they produce, if we find an issue with adequate

but I also think it is still important for the Board to

have a policy, and in spite of your proposal to the

was, and I know that's the reason because the staff

talked to each one of us and I looked at the list. And

Board to strike stuff from the work plan, my comment

to the attention of the Secretary.

protection of their revised document, we can bring that

MS. ROBERSON: And I understand your main point,

	145		147
1	I so guess what I'm saying is at the end of the day, we	1	time, though.
2	can work through or pick things out or we can provide	2	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Right. Nevertheless, they
3	general guidance, and I think that policy was intended	3	are four out of the five items that are here, of the
4	to do and I still advocated doing that. That's my only	4	thing that is in front of us. That's why I'm talking
5	point, we should do one.	5	about them.
6	MS. CONNERY: I just want to take a stab at	6	MS. ROBERSON: So, I guess I'll just say the
7	this. I disagree with the fact that we shouldn't have	7	same thing I said before. I think if we had a policy
8	staff looking at draft having draft directives being	8	and we identified those directives that were of highest
9	reviewed. I actually think that's exactly where we	9	priority to the Board, to a large extent, this debate
10	should be making the comments, and it varies the amount	10	would be nonexistent. If we actually approved a policy.
11	of staff time that is involved in the draft.	11	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, I won't disagree with
12	My very first function listed in our statute is	12	that at all, but we took a stab at it and we didn't get
13	the Board shall review and evaluate the content and	13	there, and now we have a work plan in front of us.
14	implementation of standards related to design,	14	That's all I'm saying. If we approve this work plan,
15	construction, operation and decommissioning of defense	15	the staff can march off and might be doing these things
16	nuclear facilities," and that was one of the first	16	in the very near future.
17	things we talked about in the strategic plan, and I	17	All right, Mr. Santos, I had nothing else I
18 19	think it's, again, important to look at these things as	18	wanted to discuss on this. You indicated before you had
20	they're being developed and provide insight to the Department of Energy rather than wait until they commit	19	something you wanted to discuss.
20	to a directive and then point out the flaw in the	20 21	MR. SANTOS: Thank you. I'm just going to share with my fellow Board members some of the thoughts, but I
21	directive.	21	will follow the process that is laid before us in the
23	I think it's a crazy misuse of Government	22	Yellow Folder and additional voting to make any concrete
24	resources to come to them after the fact when we could	23	proposals.
25	have prevented a miscalculation on the part of the	25	So, some of the themes that I'm going to be
		25	56, some of the memory that this going to be
	146		148
1	Department in actually writing the directive.	1	looking for, first off is a concept of independent work.
2	So I think you're I think in this case, that	2	I'm a little bit concerned as I dive into some of the
3	perhaps we're taking an ax where a scalpel is needed.	3	activities that we are eroding a little bit of our
4	If there's one or two of those that you don't think we	4	ability to perform independent work in our own schedule
5	should be reviewing at all, that's a different story,	5	and with our own capabilities. So I had some questions
6	but to say that we shouldn't be reviewing any directives	6	regarding them.
7 8	in draft, is in my view misguided.	78	I think the term used by the staff was the
8 9	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So, again, just by way of counter, we have a seven-page list that we do, and we	9	number of timed reviews versus nontimed reviews, and I want to look at the number of activities at our safety
10	are driven almost exclusively by their schedule when	10	reviews before I make any specific recommendations that
11	they put stuff into revision, we start looking at it, as	11	might go to any specific activities.
12	opposed to the Board making its independent assessment	12	But at a high level, I'm a big supporter of us
13	of the orders and standards that most impact nuclear	13	being an independent agency performing our own
14	safety and reviewing those on our own schedule to see if	14	independent analysis for the work. So that's one.
15	there are things that should be revised that they may	15	The other one is I appreciate the staff efforts
16	not be planning to revise for who knows how many years	16	to develop all of these activities from the bottom up,
17	before they put that document into revision.	17	but like we learned, most of these review activities
18	So, again, I see ourselves as not really being	18	come from oversight plans, and we as a collective, the
19	independent in this area, I see ourselves as being	19	five of us, really don't get visibility or any
20	wholly dependent on what the Department of Energy	20	discussion on what those oversight plans are. And I'm
21	decides to do, and I don't think that is a good place,	21	not clear why.
22	and I think this seven-page list that we have is	22	I think we will all benefit from having a
23	overkill to a very large a degree.	23	broad not at the activity level, but a broad
24	MS. CONNERY: You're talking about four items in	24	understanding of these are the main oversight priorities
25	a work plan, not a seven-page list at this point in	25	for us, whether it's by site or cross-cutting, and

37 (Pages 145 to 148)

Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board

	149		151
1	provide a Board-level guidance so they can then refine	1	within a Department of Energy design and construction
2	their own oversight strategies that drive their reviews.	2	project. So if those events are scheduled to occur in
3	I think we come in too late in the process,	3	the next year, then following the policy statement, we
4	meaning when the product is finalized. Sometimes things	4	gave them, they should be planning to do the review.
5	show up on my desk in random events. My original	5	That's why they put it in there as nondiscretionary.
6	understanding is that we were going to meet quarterly to	6	MR. SANTOS: When I evaluated, it wasn't
7	kind of go through the work plan and we understand how	7	necessarily tied up to the DOE events, but we wanted to
8	the plan is being executed, what items are on and off.	8	have certain two and two activities. And if you
9	I think we have lost a little bit of ability for the	9	extrapolate this, if we write policies on everything,
10	Board to be more aligned with the execution of the plan.	10	then everything would become nondiscretionary, and if
10	So that's a process issue, not a work plan	11	everything is nondiscretionary, there will be no
12	issue. And I can put work as an issue. Right now there	12	priority.
12	is not a good mechanism for Board input to impact the	12	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, and if we are true to
13	work plan, other than now. So I am going to use	13	form, we will task them to do twice as much as any human
15	Ms. Roberson. She had some comments through the Orange	15	being could possibly do, but I think that's
16	Folder process, so now it's going to be put in front of	16	MR. SANTOS: So what I'll do
17	the Board.	17	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: If we need to revisit the
18	Once that is approved or moved forward, then all	18	policy statement, we can do that. Or as I alluded to
19	the other mechanisms would be our RFBAs, for any Board	19	this morning when I asked for more information, was
20	member to impact, so it's kind of not clear what the	20	perhaps we should just make exceptions to our own policy
20	process is, and it's not smooth on how Board member	20	statement on a case-by-case basis, particularly in an
22	individual input based on their own experience,	22	area where it would seem like we're putting an awful lot
23	expertise, travel throughout the site, questions that	23	of emphasis on one project as a result of the policy
24	they ask. How is that getting you know, folded into	24	statement.
25	the work plan?	25	MR. SANTOS: Yeah, and I'll make specific
	1 I		
	150		152
1	As you know, in the past I have made some	1	proposals because I wasn't trying to tie it up to
2	As you know, in the past I have made some suggestions, and the answer is I've got to wait till	2	proposals because I wasn't trying to tie it up to events, but to discrete activities independent
2 3	As you know, in the past I have made some suggestions, and the answer is I've got to wait till now. I've got to wait till the next year work plan, yet	2 3	proposals because I wasn't trying to tie it up to events, but to discrete activities independent discrete activities that we will perform as an agency.
2 3 4	As you know, in the past I have made some suggestions, and the answer is I've got to wait till now. I've got to wait till the next year work plan, yet the staff has more flexibility. They at any time can	2 3 4	proposals because I wasn't trying to tie it up to events, but to discrete activities independent discrete activities that we will perform as an agency. So, I will look through that list to make sure, and I
2 3 4 5	As you know, in the past I have made some suggestions, and the answer is I've got to wait till now. I've got to wait till the next year work plan, yet the staff has more flexibility. They at any time can turn items on and off, add new items without even	2 3 4 5	proposals because I wasn't trying to tie it up to events, but to discrete activities independent discrete activities that we will perform as an agency. So, I will look through that list to make sure, and I will make a proposal. It just seems quite a lot.
2 3 4 5 6	As you know, in the past I have made some suggestions, and the answer is I've got to wait till now. I've got to wait till the next year work plan, yet the staff has more flexibility. They at any time can turn items on and off, add new items without even consulting the Board.	2 3 4 5 6	proposals because I wasn't trying to tie it up to events, but to discrete activities independent discrete activities that we will perform as an agency. So, I will look through that list to make sure, and I will make a proposal. It just seems quite a lot. MS. CONNERY: To echo Mr. Sullivan, though, it
2 3 4 5 6 7	As you know, in the past I have made some suggestions, and the answer is I've got to wait till now. I've got to wait till the next year work plan, yet the staff has more flexibility. They at any time can turn items on and off, add new items without even consulting the Board. So I look forward to better practices that will	2 3 4 5 6 7	proposals because I wasn't trying to tie it up to events, but to discrete activities independent discrete activities that we will perform as an agency. So, I will look through that list to make sure, and I will make a proposal. It just seems quite a lot. MS. CONNERY: To echo Mr. Sullivan, though, it is a function of the fact that these are design and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	As you know, in the past I have made some suggestions, and the answer is I've got to wait till now. I've got to wait till the next year work plan, yet the staff has more flexibility. They at any time can turn items on and off, add new items without even consulting the Board. So I look forward to better practices that will also provide an opportunity for any individual Board	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	proposals because I wasn't trying to tie it up to events, but to discrete activities independent discrete activities that we will perform as an agency. So, I will look through that list to make sure, and I will make a proposal. It just seems quite a lot. MS. CONNERY: To echo Mr. Sullivan, though, it is a function of the fact that these are design and construction, so there is a time frame within which we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	As you know, in the past I have made some suggestions, and the answer is I've got to wait till now. I've got to wait till the next year work plan, yet the staff has more flexibility. They at any time can turn items on and off, add new items without even consulting the Board. So I look forward to better practices that will also provide an opportunity for any individual Board members' expertise and input to be considered. So I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	proposals because I wasn't trying to tie it up to events, but to discrete activities independent discrete activities that we will perform as an agency. So, I will look through that list to make sure, and I will make a proposal. It just seems quite a lot. MS. CONNERY: To echo Mr. Sullivan, though, it is a function of the fact that these are design and construction, so there is a time frame within which we have to perform the activities. So you would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	As you know, in the past I have made some suggestions, and the answer is I've got to wait till now. I've got to wait till the next year work plan, yet the staff has more flexibility. They at any time can turn items on and off, add new items without even consulting the Board. So I look forward to better practices that will also provide an opportunity for any individual Board members' expertise and input to be considered. So I don't think we have a good process there. So that's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	proposals because I wasn't trying to tie it up to events, but to discrete activities independent discrete activities that we will perform as an agency. So, I will look through that list to make sure, and I will make a proposal. It just seems quite a lot. MS. CONNERY: To echo Mr. Sullivan, though, it is a function of the fact that these are design and construction, so there is a time frame within which we have to perform the activities. So you would actually why are you shaking your head? That's part
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	As you know, in the past I have made some suggestions, and the answer is I've got to wait till now. I've got to wait till the next year work plan, yet the staff has more flexibility. They at any time can turn items on and off, add new items without even consulting the Board. So I look forward to better practices that will also provide an opportunity for any individual Board members' expertise and input to be considered. So I don't think we have a good process there. So that's another one.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	proposals because I wasn't trying to tie it up to events, but to discrete activities independent discrete activities that we will perform as an agency. So, I will look through that list to make sure, and I will make a proposal. It just seems quite a lot. MS. CONNERY: To echo Mr. Sullivan, though, it is a function of the fact that these are design and construction, so there is a time frame within which we have to perform the activities. So you would actually why are you shaking your head? That's part of our statute to perform reviews at particular phases
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	As you know, in the past I have made some suggestions, and the answer is I've got to wait till now. I've got to wait till the next year work plan, yet the staff has more flexibility. They at any time can turn items on and off, add new items without even consulting the Board. So I look forward to better practices that will also provide an opportunity for any individual Board members' expertise and input to be considered. So I don't think we have a good process there. So that's another one. And the other one has to do with the policy	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	proposals because I wasn't trying to tie it up to events, but to discrete activities independent discrete activities that we will perform as an agency. So, I will look through that list to make sure, and I will make a proposal. It just seems quite a lot. MS. CONNERY: To echo Mr. Sullivan, though, it is a function of the fact that these are design and construction, so there is a time frame within which we have to perform the activities. So you would actually why are you shaking your head? That's part of our statute to perform reviews at particular phases in design and construction, and we were tasked by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	As you know, in the past I have made some suggestions, and the answer is I've got to wait till now. I've got to wait till the next year work plan, yet the staff has more flexibility. They at any time can turn items on and off, add new items without even consulting the Board. So I look forward to better practices that will also provide an opportunity for any individual Board members' expertise and input to be considered. So I don't think we have a good process there. So that's another one. And the other one has to do with the policy statements and nondiscretionary items. It was never my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	proposals because I wasn't trying to tie it up to events, but to discrete activities independent discrete activities that we will perform as an agency. So, I will look through that list to make sure, and I will make a proposal. It just seems quite a lot. MS. CONNERY: To echo Mr. Sullivan, though, it is a function of the fact that these are design and construction, so there is a time frame within which we have to perform the activities. So you would actually why are you shaking your head? That's part of our statute to perform reviews at particular phases in design and construction, and we were tasked by Congress to work with the Department, which is why we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	As you know, in the past I have made some suggestions, and the answer is I've got to wait till now. I've got to wait till the next year work plan, yet the staff has more flexibility. They at any time can turn items on and off, add new items without even consulting the Board. So I look forward to better practices that will also provide an opportunity for any individual Board members' expertise and input to be considered. So I don't think we have a good process there. So that's another one. And the other one has to do with the policy statements and nondiscretionary items. It was never my view that because we wrote a policy any activity that is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	proposals because I wasn't trying to tie it up to events, but to discrete activities independent discrete activities that we will perform as an agency. So, I will look through that list to make sure, and I will make a proposal. It just seems quite a lot. MS. CONNERY: To echo Mr. Sullivan, though, it is a function of the fact that these are design and construction, so there is a time frame within which we have to perform the activities. So you would actually why are you shaking your head? That's part of our statute to perform reviews at particular phases in design and construction, and we were tasked by Congress to work with the Department, which is why we have a policy now. So I agree with Mr. Sullivan, if you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	As you know, in the past I have made some suggestions, and the answer is I've got to wait till now. I've got to wait till the next year work plan, yet the staff has more flexibility. They at any time can turn items on and off, add new items without even consulting the Board. So I look forward to better practices that will also provide an opportunity for any individual Board members' expertise and input to be considered. So I don't think we have a good process there. So that's another one. And the other one has to do with the policy statements and nondiscretionary items. It was never my view that because we wrote a policy any activity that is derived or can be tied to a policy automatically becomes	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	proposals because I wasn't trying to tie it up to events, but to discrete activities independent discrete activities that we will perform as an agency. So, I will look through that list to make sure, and I will make a proposal. It just seems quite a lot. MS. CONNERY: To echo Mr. Sullivan, though, it is a function of the fact that these are design and construction, so there is a time frame within which we have to perform the activities. So you would actually why are you shaking your head? That's part of our statute to perform reviews at particular phases in design and construction, and we were tasked by Congress to work with the Department, which is why we have a policy now. So I agree with Mr. Sullivan, if you want to move anything out of that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	As you know, in the past I have made some suggestions, and the answer is I've got to wait till now. I've got to wait till the next year work plan, yet the staff has more flexibility. They at any time can turn items on and off, add new items without even consulting the Board. So I look forward to better practices that will also provide an opportunity for any individual Board members' expertise and input to be considered. So I don't think we have a good process there. So that's another one. And the other one has to do with the policy statements and nondiscretionary items. It was never my view that because we wrote a policy any activity that is derived or can be tied to a policy automatically becomes nondiscretionary. So, for example, if we go to page	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ \end{array} $	proposals because I wasn't trying to tie it up to events, but to discrete activities independent discrete activities that we will perform as an agency. So, I will look through that list to make sure, and I will make a proposal. It just seems quite a lot. MS. CONNERY: To echo Mr. Sullivan, though, it is a function of the fact that these are design and construction, so there is a time frame within which we have to perform the activities. So you would actually why are you shaking your head? That's part of our statute to perform reviews at particular phases in design and construction, and we were tasked by Congress to work with the Department, which is why we have a policy now. So I agree with Mr. Sullivan, if you want to move anything out of that discretionary/nondiscretionary category, then we would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	As you know, in the past I have made some suggestions, and the answer is I've got to wait till now. I've got to wait till the next year work plan, yet the staff has more flexibility. They at any time can turn items on and off, add new items without even consulting the Board. So I look forward to better practices that will also provide an opportunity for any individual Board members' expertise and input to be considered. So I don't think we have a good process there. So that's another one. And the other one has to do with the policy statements and nondiscretionary items. It was never my view that because we wrote a policy any activity that is derived or can be tied to a policy automatically becomes nondiscretionary. So, for example, if we go to page NFDIs. Yeah, page 15. Yeah. Page 15.	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ \end{array} $	proposals because I wasn't trying to tie it up to events, but to discrete activities independent discrete activities that we will perform as an agency. So, I will look through that list to make sure, and I will make a proposal. It just seems quite a lot. MS. CONNERY: To echo Mr. Sullivan, though, it is a function of the fact that these are design and construction, so there is a time frame within which we have to perform the activities. So you would actually why are you shaking your head? That's part of our statute to perform reviews at particular phases in design and construction, and we were tasked by Congress to work with the Department, which is why we have a policy now. So I agree with Mr. Sullivan, if you want to move anything out of that discretionary/nondiscretionary category, then we would have to make an exception to the policy.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	As you know, in the past I have made some suggestions, and the answer is I've got to wait till now. I've got to wait till the next year work plan, yet the staff has more flexibility. They at any time can turn items on and off, add new items without even consulting the Board. So I look forward to better practices that will also provide an opportunity for any individual Board members' expertise and input to be considered. So I don't think we have a good process there. So that's another one. And the other one has to do with the policy statements and nondiscretionary items. It was never my view that because we wrote a policy any activity that is derived or can be tied to a policy automatically becomes nondiscretionary. So, for example, if we go to page NFDIs. Yeah, page 15. Yeah. Page 15. Thirteen items are considered nondiscretionary,	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ \end{array} $	proposals because I wasn't trying to tie it up to events, but to discrete activities independent discrete activities that we will perform as an agency. So, I will look through that list to make sure, and I will make a proposal. It just seems quite a lot. MS. CONNERY: To echo Mr. Sullivan, though, it is a function of the fact that these are design and construction, so there is a time frame within which we have to perform the activities. So you would actually why are you shaking your head? That's part of our statute to perform reviews at particular phases in design and construction, and we were tasked by Congress to work with the Department, which is why we have a policy now. So I agree with Mr. Sullivan, if you want to move anything out of that discretionary/nondiscretionary category, then we would have to make an exception to the policy. It's not to say every policy we would have would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	As you know, in the past I have made some suggestions, and the answer is I've got to wait till now. I've got to wait till the next year work plan, yet the staff has more flexibility. They at any time can turn items on and off, add new items without even consulting the Board. So I look forward to better practices that will also provide an opportunity for any individual Board members' expertise and input to be considered. So I don't think we have a good process there. So that's another one. And the other one has to do with the policy statements and nondiscretionary items. It was never my view that because we wrote a policy any activity that is derived or can be tied to a policy automatically becomes nondiscretionary. So, for example, if we go to page NFDIs. Yeah, page 15. Yeah. Page 15. Thirteen items are considered nondiscretionary, and the answer we got this morning is because their type	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ \end{array} $	proposals because I wasn't trying to tie it up to events, but to discrete activities independent discrete activities that we will perform as an agency. So, I will look through that list to make sure, and I will make a proposal. It just seems quite a lot. MS. CONNERY: To echo Mr. Sullivan, though, it is a function of the fact that these are design and construction, so there is a time frame within which we have to perform the activities. So you would actually why are you shaking your head? That's part of our statute to perform reviews at particular phases in design and construction, and we were tasked by Congress to work with the Department, which is why we have a policy now. So I agree with Mr. Sullivan, if you want to move anything out of that discretionary/nondiscretionary category, then we would have to make an exception to the policy. It's not to say every policy we would have would make things nondiscretionary or discretionary, it's to
$\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \end{array}$	As you know, in the past I have made some suggestions, and the answer is I've got to wait till now. I've got to wait till the next year work plan, yet the staff has more flexibility. They at any time can turn items on and off, add new items without even consulting the Board. So I look forward to better practices that will also provide an opportunity for any individual Board members' expertise and input to be considered. So I don't think we have a good process there. So that's another one. And the other one has to do with the policy statements and nondiscretionary items. It was never my view that because we wrote a policy any activity that is derived or can be tied to a policy automatically becomes nondiscretionary. So, for example, if we go to page NFDIs. Yeah, page 15. Yeah. Page 15. Thirteen items are considered nondiscretionary, and the answer we got this morning is because their type of policy, policy statement 6. I don't understand why	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array} $	proposals because I wasn't trying to tie it up to events, but to discrete activities independent discrete activities that we will perform as an agency. So, I will look through that list to make sure, and I will make a proposal. It just seems quite a lot. MS. CONNERY: To echo Mr. Sullivan, though, it is a function of the fact that these are design and construction, so there is a time frame within which we have to perform the activities. So you would actually why are you shaking your head? That's part of our statute to perform reviews at particular phases in design and construction, and we were tasked by Congress to work with the Department, which is why we have a policy now. So I agree with Mr. Sullivan, if you want to move anything out of that discretionary/nondiscretionary category, then we would have to make an exception to the policy. It's not to say every policy we would have would make things nondiscretionary or discretionary, it's to say in this particular case as this is tied in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	As you know, in the past I have made some suggestions, and the answer is I've got to wait till now. I've got to wait till the next year work plan, yet the staff has more flexibility. They at any time can turn items on and off, add new items without even consulting the Board. So I look forward to better practices that will also provide an opportunity for any individual Board members' expertise and input to be considered. So I don't think we have a good process there. So that's another one. And the other one has to do with the policy statements and nondiscretionary items. It was never my view that because we wrote a policy any activity that is derived or can be tied to a policy automatically becomes nondiscretionary. So, for example, if we go to page NFDIs. Yeah, page 15. Yeah. Page 15. Thirteen items are considered nondiscretionary, and the answer we got this morning is because their type of policy, policy statement 6. I don't understand why it's that. Why wouldn't those items go through a	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array} $	proposals because I wasn't trying to tie it up to events, but to discrete activities independent discrete activities that we will perform as an agency. So, I will look through that list to make sure, and I will make a proposal. It just seems quite a lot. MS. CONNERY: To echo Mr. Sullivan, though, it is a function of the fact that these are design and construction, so there is a time frame within which we have to perform the activities. So you would actually why are you shaking your head? That's part of our statute to perform reviews at particular phases in design and construction, and we were tasked by Congress to work with the Department, which is why we have a policy now. So I agree with Mr. Sullivan, if you want to move anything out of that discretionary/nondiscretionary category, then we would have to make an exception to the policy. It's not to say every policy we would have would make things nondiscretionary or discretionary, it's to say in this particular case as this is tied in the statute and as we were directed by Congress to have this
$\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \end{array}$	As you know, in the past I have made some suggestions, and the answer is I've got to wait till now. I've got to wait till the next year work plan, yet the staff has more flexibility. They at any time can turn items on and off, add new items without even consulting the Board. So I look forward to better practices that will also provide an opportunity for any individual Board members' expertise and input to be considered. So I don't think we have a good process there. So that's another one. And the other one has to do with the policy statements and nondiscretionary items. It was never my view that because we wrote a policy any activity that is derived or can be tied to a policy automatically becomes nondiscretionary. So, for example, if we go to page NFDIs. Yeah, page 15. Yeah. Page 15. Thirteen items are considered nondiscretionary, and the answer we got this morning is because their type of policy, policy statement 6. I don't understand why	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array} $	proposals because I wasn't trying to tie it up to events, but to discrete activities independent discrete activities that we will perform as an agency. So, I will look through that list to make sure, and I will make a proposal. It just seems quite a lot. MS. CONNERY: To echo Mr. Sullivan, though, it is a function of the fact that these are design and construction, so there is a time frame within which we have to perform the activities. So you would actually why are you shaking your head? That's part of our statute to perform reviews at particular phases in design and construction, and we were tasked by Congress to work with the Department, which is why we have a policy now. So I agree with Mr. Sullivan, if you want to move anything out of that discretionary/nondiscretionary category, then we would have to make an exception to the policy. It's not to say every policy we would have would make things nondiscretionary or discretionary, it's to say in this particular case as this is tied in the

CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Well, in the staff's 23 24 defense, we wrote a policy statement and it says we are going to do certain reviews tying to certain events 25

38 (Pages 149 to 152)

actually moves towards safety and is not something

that's being argued for any other reason.

24

Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board

1MS. CONNERY: I think also on last year's1safety issues.2prioritization scheme, these were all priority 1, and2MR. SANTOS: I'm sorry, I couldn't hear.3that was our issue with it last year, and there were3MR. POLOSKI: So, the scope of that review was4actually a lot more of them because we didn't have the4to look at all open IACTS, which is our database system5policy statement yet. So my guess is if you took last5open issues, which included open board safety issues, as6year's formula, you would have all of these be priority6well as staff safety issues that were listed there that71s, and there would be more of them looking to add items7were older than two years, do a fresh assessment of8that were not on that.8whether or not they should remain in an open status or9MR. POLOSKI: I believe most of the priority 1s.1011MS. CONNERY: Yes.10Board.12CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So, Mr. Hamilton has called1213up the actual policy statement. So the four specified1314and logical points were conceptual design, including the1415safety design strategy and the conceptual safety design1516reports; final design, including preliminary documented1617safety analysis; construction, and I won't read all the1717at both sets.		153		155
2 saying. I think it's a matter of when we do them, okay? 3 MS. CONNERY: And I would note that two of those in the performing worth discussing. 3 And to me, those are decisions that we make as an independent agency and they dort necessarily need to convenient and something worth discussing. 3 are preliminary DSA reviews, therefore they were staff-intensive. 5 tie up to any event at the Department. That might be convenient and something worth discussing. 5 CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: All right, is there any other discussion on the Office of the Technical Director work plan? 7 MS. CONNERY: Okay, there's a life cycle to ecycle. 5 CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: All right, is there any other discussion on the Office of the Technical Director work plan? 10 MR. SANTOS: Independent oversight by regarding the board level issue. How did that get regarding the board level issue. How did that get regarding the board level issue. How did that get regarding the staff to exercise independent oversight by the treview results in four formal reports to the Board." MS. ROBERSON: It is on. I's on. Turned on, tright? 15 MS. ROBERSON: One of my comments was to review or on, one of my comments to the staff during the inset or earlies of all opein al points. Interpresens and document that requires that we are out on that. 16 16 Board." 154 CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Great. What was on, now that we colled that it was on? 17 their review result in four form. Illimilton is trying to set of the are directive	1	MR. SANTOS: I don't disagree with what you're	1	considered them nondiscretionary.
4 independent agency and they don't necessarily need to 5 tie up to any event at the Department. That might be 5 6 convenient and something worth discussing. 5 7 MS. CONNERY: Okay, there's a life cycle to 5 6 design and construction, so we have to follow the life 7 9 cycle. 7 10 MR. SANTOS: I understand. No disagreement. 10 11 CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: But in our plan, on page 14, 11 12 relevant. "We approved a policy statement that requires 16 14 the staff to exercise independent oversight by 15 15 CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Great. What was on? 14 16 specified and logical points ant the process and document 16 17 their review results in four formal reports to the 17 18 Board." 15 21 that language in front of me. Mr. Hamilton is trying to 25 22 see if he can bring it up, but I think this staff 21 23 is dety is use. and this plan reflects 24 14 MS. CONNERY: I think also on last year's 15	2		2	
5 tic up to any event at the Department. That might be 5 CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: All right, is there any other discussion on the Office of the Technical Director work plan? 6 convenient and something worth discussing. 6 7 MS. CONNERY: Okay, there's a life cycle to design and construction, so we have to follow the life cycle. 6 10 MR. SANTOS: I understand. No disagreement. 10 11 CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: But in our plan, on page 14, the staff to exercise independent oversight by 11 13 relevant. "We approved a policy statement that requires the specified and logical points in the process and document their view results in four formal reports to the 11 14 board." 15 CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Great. What was on? 15 Board." MS. ROBERSON: It is on. It's on. Turned on, triview results in four formal reports to the 16 specified and logical points, and I don't have 18 review -oh, one of my comments was to a source a review of all open 24 to do things at certain times, and this staff 23 turned on is my understanding. 24 to do things at certain times, and there were actually a lot more of them because we did't have the set and two uses with it last year, and there were tas a sturned on is my understanding. 156 24 MS. CONNERY: I think also on last	3	And to me, those are decisions that we make as an	3	are preliminary DSA reviews, therefore they were
6 convenient and something worth discussing. 6 other discussion on the Office of the Technical Director 7 MS. CONNERY: Okay, there's a life cycle to 8 work plan? 9 cycle. 7 Wark SANTOS: I understand. No disagreement. 11 CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: But in our plan, on page 14, 11 Freeyarding the Board level issue. How did that get 12 they quote a particular sentence that would seem 11 MS. ROBERSON: Yeah, it was in the presentation. 14 the staff to exercise independent oversight by MS. ROBERSON: St is on, right? 15 performing reviews with defined scope and durations at 15 16 Board." 16 17 their review results in four formal reports to the 18 18 Board." 18 19 So the devil is in the details as to what are 19 10 that maguage in fron to from. MT, Hamilton is trying to 18 23 see if he can bring it up, but 1 think this staff 18 24 to do things at certain times, and this plan reflects 24 25 that. 154 14 MS. CONNERY: 1 think also on last year's 154 154 156 1 MS. CONNERY: 1 think also on last year's 15 2	4	independent agency and they don't necessarily need to	4	staff-intensive.
7 MS. CONNERY: Okay, there's a life cycle to 7 work plan? 8 design and construction, so we have to follow the life 7 Work plan? 10 MR. SANTOS: 1 understand. No disagreement. 10 responded? 11 relevant. "We approved a policy statement that requires 10 MS. ROBERSON: Yeah, it was in the presentation. 12 the staff to exercise independent oversight by 11 MS. ROBERSON: Yeah, it was on? 13 relevant. "We approved a policy statement that requires 13 14 the staff to exercise independent oversight by 14 15 performing reviews with defined scope and durations at 15 16 specified and logical points in the process and document 16 17 those specified and logical points, and I don't have 16 20 see if he can bring it up, but I think this staff 18 21 that language in front of me. Mr. Hamilton is trying to 23 25 that. 24 26 to do things at certain times, and this plan reflects 24 24 to do things at certain times, and this plan reflects 24 25 that. 154 26 MS. CONNERY: I think also on last year's 15 27 that was our issue with it last year, an	5	tie up to any event at the Department. That might be	5	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: All right, is there any
8 design and construction, so we have to follow the life 8 MR. SANTOS: I understand. No disagreement. 11 MR. SANTOS: I understand. No disagreement. 11 regarding the Board level issue. How did that get 12 they quote a particular sentence that would seem 12 MR. SANTOS: I's on Turned on, 14 they quote a particular sentence that would seem 12 MS. ROBERSON: It is on. I's on. Turned on, 14 the staff to exercise independent oversight by 14 MS. ROBERSON: It is on. I's on. Turned on, 15 performing reviews with defined scope and durations at 16 Total N. No Scoper Sectified and logical points in the process and document 16 Board." 17 MS. ROBERSON: One of my comments to the staff during the 19 So the devil is in the details as to what are 10 10 11 20 board-level issues older than two years old, and I think 13 interpreted that as, well, they were told by the Board 21 to do things at certain times, and this plan reflects 24 MR. POLOSKI: So, the scope of that review was 22 that was our issue with it last year, and there were 3 MR. POLOSKI: So, the scope of that review was 3 that was ourissue with it last year, and	6	convenient and something worth discussing.	6	other discussion on the Office of the Technical Director
9 cycle. 9 regarding the Board level issue. How did that get 10 MR. SANTOS: 1 understand. No disagreement. 10 responded? 11 CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: But in our plan, on page 14, they quote a particular sentence that would seem 10 MR. ROBERSON: Yeah, it was in the presentation. 12 they approved a policy statement that requires 13 MS. ROBERSON: Yeah, it was on? this on. Turned on, it's on. Turned on, it's on, right? 13 relevant. "We approved a policy statement that requires in they review results in four formal reports to the 13 MS. ROBERSON: Yeah, it was on? that was on? 16 specified and logical points, and I don't have 16 that anguage in front of me. Mr. Hamilton is trying to 16 that language in front of me. Mr. Hamilton is trying to 16 that was our issue with it last year, and they plan reflects 12 that was our issue with it last year, and there were 15 Tota and review years of Board issues as well as staff 1 MS. CONNERY: I think also on last year's formula, you would have all of these be priority 1.s. and there would be more of them looking to add items 1 safety issues. 1 safety issues that were listed there that were isset of the review was to add review was to add review was to look at all open IACTS, which in our database system, you would have all of these be priority 1.s. and there would be more of them looking to add items	7	MS. CONNERY: Okay, there's a life cycle to	7	work plan?
10 MR. SANTOS: I understand. No disagreement. 10 responded? 11 CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: But in our plan, on page 14, 11 MR. SANTOS: I's on, right? 13 relevant. "We approved a policy statement that requires 13 MR. SANTOS: I's on, right? 14 the staff to exercise independent oversight by 14 MR. SANTOS: I's on, right? 14 the staff to exercise independent oversight by 14 MR. SANTOS: I's on, right? 15 CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Great. What was on, now that we concluded that it was on? What was on? 16 specified and logical points in the process and document 16 17 their veive results in four formal reports to the 17 18 Board." 18 19 So the devil is in the details as to what are 19 10 to do things at certain times, and I don't have 11 21 that language in front of me. Mr. Hamilton is trying to 23 23 interpreted that as, well, they were told by the Board 24 24 to do things at certain times, and their were 34 25 MS. CONNERY: I think also on last year's 15 26 mological points,	8	design and construction, so we have to follow the life	8	MR. SANTOS: Ms. Roberson, you had a comment
11 CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: But in our plan, on page 14, 11 MS. ROBERSON: Yeah, it was in the presentation. 12 they quote a particular sentence that would seem 12 12 relevant. "We approved a policy statement that requires 13 MS. ROBERSON: It's on. It's on. Turned on, 14 the staff to exercise independent oversight by 14 MS. ROBERSON: It is on. It's on. Turned on, 15 relevant Trainiton is the operations at the receive results in four formal reports to the 16 18 Board." MS. ROBERSON: One of my comments was to 16 19 So the devil is in the details as to what are 16 18 18 18 20 those specified and logical points, and I don't have 18 18 14 14 14 14 14 14 12 14 14 14 14 14 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 <td< td=""><td>9</td><td>cycle.</td><td>9</td><td>regarding the Board level issue. How did that get</td></td<>	9	cycle.	9	regarding the Board level issue. How did that get
12 they quote a particular sentence that would seem 12 MR. SANTOS: It's on, right? 13 relevant. "We approved a policy statement that requires 13 14 the staff to exercise independent oversight by 14 15 performing reviews with defined scope and durations at 14 16 specified and logical points in the process and document 16 18 Board." 17 MS. ROBERSON: One of my comments was to 19 So the devil is in the details as to what are 10 10 that anguage in front of me. Mr. Hamilton is trying to 20 Board-level sisces older than two years old, and I think 21 the staff to exercise science and big is plan reflects 11 Isaid but it will be up to the staff or ome back to 22 to do things at certain times, and this plan reflects 154 MR. POLOSKI: Right, it consisted of open IACTS, there were two years of Board issues as well as staff 154 154 156 154 154 156 154 156 Safety issues. 15 prioritization scheme, these were all priority 1, and 15 MR. POLOSKI: So, the scope of that review was to lock at all open thaccts, shis or database system 1	10		10	responded?
13 relevant. "We approved a policy statement that requires 13 MS. ROBERSON: It is on. It's on. Turned on, right? 14 the staff to exercise independent oversight by 14 right? 15 performing review with defined scope and durations at 15 CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Great. What was on, now 16 specified and logical points in the process and document 16 reviewoh, one of my comments to the staff during the 17 their review results in four formal reports to the 17 MS. ROBERSON: One of my comments to the staff during the 19 So the devil is in the details as to what are 19 interval reviewoh, one of my comments to the staff during the 20 those specified and logical points, and I don't have 20 Board-level issues older than two years old, and I think 21 tail but is using a contain times, and this plan reflects 24 MR. POLOSKI: Right, it consisted of open IACTS, 25 that prioritization scheme, these were all priority 1, and MS. CONNERY: I think also on last year's 11 3 MS. CONNERY: I think also of the priority 2 14 MR. POLOSKI: Right, it consisted of open IACTS, which is our database system 3 policy statement yet. So my guess is if you took last 5 open issues, which in	11	· · · ·		
14the staff to exercise independent oversight by performing reviews with defined scope and durations at specified and logical points in the process and document their review results in four formal reports to the Board."14 right?right? CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Great. What was on, now that we concluded that it was on? What was on? MS. ROBERSON: One of my comments was to review - on, one of my comments to the staff during the interval review was to source a review of all open17their review results in four formal reports to the their review results in four form of me. Mr. Hamilton is trying to 22 see if he can bring it up, but 1 think this staff 23 to do things at certain times, and this plan reflects that.18 18 24 2418 18 23 24 2419 18 aid but it will be up to the staff to come back to 24 25 that.21 23 24 2423 24 24 2524 24 25 25 2626 27 27 28 27 28 29 29 20 20 21 21 22 22 2326 24 26 26 26 27 26 27 27 28 28 29 29 29 20 20 2121 22 22 23 24 25 25 26 26 27 27 28 28 29 29 20 21 21 21 21 21 2121 2				
15 performing reviews with defined scope and durations at 15 CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Great. What was on, now 16 specified and logical points in the process and document 16 18 Board." 16 19 So the devil is in the details as to what are 17 10 those specified and logical points, and I don't have 18 20 those specified and logical points, and I don't have 18 21 that language in front of me. Mr. Hamilton is trying to 21 22 see if he can bring it up, but I think this staff 22 23 interpreted that as, well, they were told by the Board 23 24 to do things at certain times, and this plan reflects 24 15 MR. POLOSKI: Right, it consisted of open IACTS, there were two years of Board issues as well as staff 154 154 safety issues. 154 16 safety issues. 155 that was our issue with it last year, and there were 3 35 there were of them because we didn't have the 5 36 year's formula, you would have all of these be priority 2 18 37 Is, and there would be more of them looking to add items <td>13</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	13			
16 specified and logical points in the process and document 16 that we concluded that it was on? What was on? 17 their review results in four formal reports to the 17 MS. ROBERSON: One of my comments was to 18 Board." 18 NR. ROBERSON: One of my comments was to 19 So the devil is in the details as to what are 19 NR. ROBERSON: One of my comments was to 20 those specified and logical points, and I don't have 20 Board." 18 21 that language in front of me. Mr. Hamilton is trying to 23 interpreted that as, well, they were told by the Board 1 I said but it will be up to the staff to come back to 23 interpreted that as, well, they were told by the Board 23 turned on is my understanding. 23 24 to do things at certain times, and this plan reflects 24 MR. POLOSKI: Right, it consisted of open IACTS, there were two years of Board issues as well as staff 2 mits. CONNERY: I think also on last year's 1 safety issues. MR. POLOSKI: So, the scope of that review was 4 actually a lot more of them looking to add items 5 open issues, which included open board safety issues, as well as staff safety issues that were listed there that 5	14			
17 their review results in four formal reports to the Board." 17 MS. ROBERSON: One of my comments was to review - oh, one of my comments to the staff during the interval review was to source a review of all open 18 Board." 18 19 So the devil is in the details as to what are those specified and logical points, and I don't have 19 21 those specified and logical points, and I don't have 20 22 see if he can bring it up, but I think this staff 21 23 interpreted that as, well, they were told by the Board 22 24 to do things at certain times, and this plan reflects 24 25 that. 24 10 MS. CONNERY: I think also on last year's actually a lot more of them because we didn't have the 5 1 3 that was our issue with it last year, and there were 5 10 took at all open I ACTS, which is our database system 5 4 actually a lot more of them looking to add items 8 4 10 book at all open I ACTS, which is our database system 5 9 MR. POLOSKI: I believe most of the priority 2 6 10 items that are listed there were priority 1s. 10 11 MS. CONNERY: Yes. 10 12 CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So, Mr. Hamilt	15			
18 Board." 18 review oh, one of my comments to the staff during the 19 So the devil is in the details as to what are 19 interval review was to source a review of all open 20 those specified and logical points, and I don't have 20 Board-level issues older than two years old, and I think 21 that language in front of me. Mr. Hamilton is trying to 21 Isaid but it will be up to the staff to come back to 22 see if he can bring it up, but I think this staff 22 the Board and tell us what they think of that task as 23 interpreted that as, well, they were told by the Board 23 turned on is my understanding. 24 to do things at certain times, and this plan reflects 24 MR. POLOSKI: Right, it consisted of open IACTS, 25 that. 154 156 1 MS. CONNERY: I think also on last year's 1 safety issues. 2 prioritization scheme, these were all priority 1, and 2 MR. SANTOS: I'm sorry, I couldn't hear. 3 that was our issue with it last year, and there were 3 MR. POLOSKI: So, the scope of that review was 4 actually a lot more of them booking to add items 6 weer loder that two years, do a fresh assessment of <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
19So the devil is in the details as to what are those specified and logical points, and I don't have that language in front of me. Mr. Hamilton is trying to 22 see if he can bring it up, but I think this staff 23 interpreted that as, well, they were told by the Board 24 to do things at certain times, and this plan reflects that.19interval review was to source a review of all open Board-level issues older than two years old, and I think 21 22 the Board and tell us what they think of that task as 23 24 2524 25 26 27 27 27 28 28100 200 200100 200 200 200100 200 200 200100 200 200 200100 				
20 those specified and logical points, and I don't have 20 Board-level issues older than two years old, and I think 21 that language in front of me. Mr. Hamilton is trying to 21 I said but it will be up to the staff to come back to 22 see if he can bring it up, but I think this staff 22 the Board and tell us what they think of that task as 23 interpreted that as, well, they were told by the Board 23 turned on is my understanding. 24 to do things at certain times, and this plan reflects 24 MR. POLOSKI: Right, it consisted of open IACTS, 25 that. 154 14 Safety issues. 156 1 MS. CONNERY: I think also on last year's 1 safety issues. 1 Safety issues. 2 policy statement yet. So my guess is if you took last 2 MR. POLOSKI: So, the scope of that review was 3 that were not on that. 7 were older than two years, do a fresh assessment of 9 MR. POLOSKI: I believe most of the priority 2 9 not and provide that determination or assessment to the 10 items that are listed there were priority 1s. 14 MR. SANTOS: You said staff issue, you were 12 CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So, Mr. Ham				
21 that language in front of me. Mr. Hamilton is trying to 21 I said but it will be up to the staff to come back to 22 see if he can bring it up, but I think this staff 22 23 interpreted that as, well, they were told by the Board 22 24 to do things at certain times, and this plan reflects 24 25 that. MR. POLOSKI: Right, it consisted of open IACTS, there were two years of Board issues as well as staff 25 prioritization scheme, these were all priority 1, and that was our issue with it last year, and there were actually a lot more of them because we didn't have the 5 policy statement yet. So my guess is if you took last that were not on that. 1 7 1s, and there would be more of them looking to add items that are listed there were priority 1. 10 10 items that are listed there were priority 1. 10 11 MS. CONNERY: Yes. 11 12 CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So, Mr. Hamilton has called 13 10 13 up the actual policy statement. So the four specified and logical points were conceptual design, including the and logical points were conceptual design, including the and logical points were conceptual design, including preliminary documented 15 3afety analysis; construction, and I won't read all the 14 and logical points were conceptual design, including preliminary documented 15				
22see if he can bring it up, but I think this staff2223the Board and tell us what they think of that task as23interpreted that as, well, they were told by the Board2416MR. POLOSKI: Right, it consisted of open IACTS,24to do things at certain times, and this plan reflects24MR. POLOSKI: Right, it consisted of open IACTS,25that.1541561MS. CONNERY: I think also on last year's1safety issues.2prioritization scheme, these were all priority 1, and2MR. SANTOS: I'm sorry, I couldn't hear.3that was our issue with it last year, and there were3MR. POLOSKI: So, the scope of that review was4actually a lot more of them because we didn't have the5open issues, which included open board safety issues, as6year's formula, you would have all of these be priority71s, and there would be more of them looking to add items8that were not on that.8were older than two years, do a fresh assessment of9MR. POLOSKI: I believe most of the priority 29not and provide that determination or assessment to the10items that are listed there were priority 1s.MR. SANTOS: You said staff issue, you were13up the actual policy statement. So the four specified1314and logical points were conceptual design, including the1415safety design strategy and the conceptual asfety design1516reports; final design, including preliminary documented1517safety analysis; co				•
23interpreted that as, well, they were told by the Board to do things at certain times, and this plan reflects23turned on is my understanding.24to do things at certain times, and this plan reflects24MR. POLOSKI: Right, it consisted of open IACTS, there were two years of Board issues as well as staff25that.1541561MS. CONNERY: I think also on last year's prioritization scheme, these were all priority 1, and attually a lot more of them because we didn't have the policy statement yet. So my guess is if you took last 61safety issues.3that were out on that.2MR. POLOSKI: So, the scope of that review was to look at all open IACTS, which is our database system open issues, which included open board safety issues, as open issues, which included open board safety issues, as to look at all open IACTS. Which is our database system71s, and there would be more of them looking to add items 8 that were not on that.8were older than two years, do a fresh assessment of whether or not they should remain in an open status or 99MR. POLOSKI: I believe most of the priority 29not and provide that determination or assessment to the Board.11MS. CONNERY: Yes.11MR. SANTOS: You said staff issue, you were12CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So, Mr. Hamilton has called 1513MR. POLOSKI: In our internal directive system, we have a staff safety issue, it's case 3 issues that are listed in IACTS, and the number of these reports, safety analysis; construction, and I won't read all the1415safety isaues; sere case 4, so we were planning on looking at both s				
24to do things at certain times, and this plan reflects24MR. POLOSKI: Right, it consisted of open IACTS, there were two years of Board issues as well as staff25that.1541541MS. CONNERY: I think also on last year's prioritization scheme, these were all priority 1, and that was our issue with it last year, and there were a actually a lot more of them because we didn't have the 5 policy statement yet. So my guess is if you took last 6 year's formula, you would have all of these be priority 7 Is, and there would be more of them looking to add items 8 that were not on that.1safety issues.9MR. POLOSKI: I believe most of the priority 2 1015safety issues that were listed there were to not and provide that determination or assessment of there were priority 1s.811MS. CONNERY: Yes.10Board.12CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So, Mr. Hamilton has called 1012MR. POLOSKI: In our internal directive system, were a staff safety issue, such at mean? MR. POLOSKI: I nour internal directive system, were a staff safety issue are case 4, so we were planning on looking are listed in IACTS, and the number of these reports, safety analysis; construction, and I won't read all the14				
25that.25there were two years of Board issues as well as staff1MS. CONNERY: I think also on last year's11542prioritization scheme, these were all priority 1, and3that was our issue with it last year, and there were33that was our issue with it last year, and there were3MR. SANTOS: I'm sorry, I couldn't hear.4actually a lot more of them because we didn't have the3MR. POLOSKI: So, the scope of that review was5policy statement yet. So my guess is if you took last5open issues, which included open board safety issues, as6year's formula, you would have all of these be priority6well as staff safety issues that were listed there that7Is, and there would be more of them looking to add items7were older than two years, do a fresh assessment of8that were not on that.8whether or not they should remain in an open status or9MR. POLOSKI: I believe most of the priority 2910items that are listed there were priority 1s.1011MS. CONNERY: Yes.1112CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So, Mr. Hamilton has called1213up the actual policy statement. So the four specified1315safety design strategy and the conceptual afety design1515safety design including preliminary documented1516reports; final design, including preliminary documented1617safety analysis; construction, and I won't read all the17				· ·
1541541MS. CONNERY: I think also on last year's1safety issues.2prioritization scheme, these were all priority 1, and2MR. SANTOS: I'm sorry, I couldn't hear.3that was our issue with it last year, and there were3MR. POLOSKI: So, the scope of that review was4actually a lot more of them because we didn't have the4to look at all open IACTS, which is our database system5policy statement yet. So my guess is if you took last5open issues, which included open board safety issues, as6year's formula, you would have all of these be priority6well as staff safety issues that were listed there that71s, and there would be more of them looking to add items7were older than two years, do a fresh assessment of8that were not on that.8whether or not they should remain in an open status or9MR. POLOSKI: I believe most of the priority 29not and provide that determination or assessment to the10items that are listed there were priority 1s.10Board.11MS. CONNERY: Yes.11MR. SANTOS: You said staff issue, you were12CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So, Mr. Hamilton has called1213up the actual policy statement. So the four specified1314and logical points were conceptual design, including the1415safety design strategy and the conceptual safety design1515are listed in IACTS, and the number of these reports,16reports; final design, including preliminary docu		-		
1MS. CONNERY: I think also on last year's1safety issues.2prioritization scheme, these were all priority 1, and2MR. SANTOS: I'm sorry, I couldn't hear.3that was our issue with it last year, and there were3MR. POLOSKI: So, the scope of that review was4actually a lot more of them because we didn't have the4to look at all open IACTS, which is our database system5policy statement yet. So my guess is if you took last5open issues, which included open board safety issues, as6year's formula, you would have all of these be priority6well as staff safety issues that were listed there that71s, and there would be more of them looking to add items7were older than two years, do a fresh assessment of8that were not on that.8whether or not they should remain in an open status or9MR. POLOSKI: I believe most of the priority 1s.1011MS. CONNERY: Yes.10Board.12CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So, Mr. Hamilton has called1213up the actual policy statement. So the four specified1314and logical points were conceptual design, including the1415safety design strategy and the conceptual safety design1516reports; final design, including preliminary documented1617safety analysis; construction, and I won't read all the1717at both sets.	25	that.	25	there were two years of Board issues as well as staff
2prioritization scheme, these were all priority 1, and that was our issue with it last year, and there were actually a lot more of them because we didn't have the policy statement yet. So my guess is if you took last year's formula, you would have all of these be priority 1s, and there would be more of them looking to add items that were not on that.2MR. SANTOS: I'm sorry, I couldn't hear. MR. POLOSKI: So, the scope of that review was to look at all open IACTS, which is our database system open issues, which included open board safety issues, as well as staff safety issues that were listed there that were older than two years, do a fresh assessment of whether or not they should remain in an open status or not and provide that determination or assessment to the Board.10items that are listed there were priority 1s.1011MS. CONNERY: Yes.1112CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So, Mr. Hamilton has called up the actual policy statement. So the four specified and logical points were conceptual design, including the safety design strategy and the conceptual safety design reports; final design, including preliminary documented safety analysis; construction, and I won't read all the2MR. SANTOS: Ym sorry, I couldn't hear. MR. POLOSKI: In our internal directive system, we have a staff safety issue, it's case 3 issues that are listed in IACTS, and the number of these reports, safety issues; construction, and I won't read all the		154		156
3that was our issue with it last year, and there were actually a lot more of them because we didn't have the policy statement yet. So my guess is if you took last year's formula, you would have all of these be priority 1s, and there would be more of them looking to add items that were not on that.3MR. POLOSKI: So, the scope of that review was to look at all open IACTS, which is our database system open issues, which included open board safety issues, as well as staff safety issues that were listed there that were older than two years, do a fresh assessment of whether or not they should remain in an open status or not and provide that determination or assessment to the Board.10items that are listed there were priority 1s.1011MS. CONNERY: Yes.1112CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So, Mr. Hamilton has called up the actual policy statement. So the four specified and logical points were conceptual design, including the safety design strategy and the conceptual safety design reports; final design, including preliminary documented safety analysis; construction, and I won't read all the3MR. POLOSKI: So, the scope of that review was to look at all open IACTS, which is our database system open issues, which included open board safety issues, as well as staff safety issues that were listed there that were older than two years, do a fresh assessment of Board.11MS. CONNERY: Yes.1012CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So, Mr. Hamilton has called up the actual policy statement. So the four specified safety design strategy and the conceptual safety design reports; final design, including preliminary documented safety analysis; construction, and I won't read all the1415asfety issues are case 4, so we we	1	MS. CONNERY: I think also on last year's	1	safety issues.
4actually a lot more of them because we didn't have the policy statement yet. So my guess is if you took last year's formula, you would have all of these be priority4to look at all open IACTS, which is our database system open issues, which included open board safety issues, as well as staff safety issues that were listed there that were older than two years, do a fresh assessment of whether or not they should remain in an open status or not and provide that determination or assessment to the Board.7Is, and there would be more of them looking to add items that were not on that.6well as staff safety issues, do a fresh assessment of whether or not they should remain in an open status or not and provide that determination or assessment to the Board.10items that are listed there were priority 1s.1011MS. CONNERY: Yes.1112CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So, Mr. Hamilton has called up the actual policy statement. So the four specified and logical points were conceptual design, including the safety design strategy and the conceptual safety design reports; final design, including preliminary documented safety analysis; construction, and I won't read all the417safety analysis; construction, and I won't read all the17	2		2	MR. SANTOS: I'm sorry, I couldn't hear.
5policy statement yet. So my guess is if you took last year's formula, you would have all of these be priority 1s, and there would be more of them looking to add items that were not on that.5open issues, which included open board safety issues, as well as staff safety issues that were listed there that were older than two years, do a fresh assessment of whether or not they should remain in an open status or not and provide that determination or assessment to the Board.7MR. POLOSKI: I believe most of the priority 2 items that are listed there were priority 1s.9NR. SANTOS: You said staff issue, you were using a different nomenclature, what does that mean?10MR. SONNERY: Yes.11MR. SANTOS: You said staff issue, you were using a different nomenclature, what does that mean?13up the actual policy statement. So the four specified and logical points were conceptual design, including the safety design strategy and the conceptual safety design reports; final design, including preliminary documented safety analysis; construction, and I won't read all the1617safety analysis; construction, and I won't read all the17	3			
6year's formula, you would have all of these be priority6well as staff safety issues that were listed there that71s, and there would be more of them looking to add items7well as staff safety issues that were listed there that8that were not on that.7were older than two years, do a fresh assessment of9MR. POLOSKI: I believe most of the priority 29not and provide that determination or assessment to the10items that are listed there were priority 1s.10Board.11MS. CONNERY: Yes.11MR. SANTOS: You said staff issue, you were12CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So, Mr. Hamilton has called12using a different nomenclature, what does that mean?13up the actual policy statement. So the four specified13MR. POLOSKI: In our internal directive system,14and logical points were conceptual design, including the14we have a staff safety issue, it's case 3 issues that15safety design strategy and the conceptual safety design15are listed in IACTS, and the number of these reports,16reports; final design, including preliminary documented16safety issues are case 4, so we were planning on looking17safety analysis; construction, and I won't read all the17at both sets.				
71s, and there would be more of them looking to add items7were older than two years, do a fresh assessment of8that were not on that.8whether or not they should remain in an open status or9MR. POLOSKI: I believe most of the priority 29not and provide that determination or assessment to the10items that are listed there were priority 1s.10Board.11MS. CONNERY: Yes.11MR. SANTOS: You said staff issue, you were12CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So, Mr. Hamilton has called12using a different nomenclature, what does that mean?13up the actual policy statement. So the four specified13MR. POLOSKI: In our internal directive system,14and logical points were conceptual design, including the14we have a staff safety issue, it's case 3 issues that15safety design, including preliminary documented16safety issues are case 4, so we were planning on looking17safety analysis; construction, and I won't read all the17at both sets.				
8that were not on that.8whether or not they should remain in an open status or9MR. POLOSKI: I believe most of the priority 29not and provide that determination or assessment to the10items that are listed there were priority 1s.10Board.11MS. CONNERY: Yes.11MR. SANTOS: You said staff issue, you were12CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So, Mr. Hamilton has called12using a different nomenclature, what does that mean?13up the actual policy statement. So the four specified13MR. POLOSKI: In our internal directive system,14and logical points were conceptual design, including the14we have a staff safety issue, it's case 3 issues that15safety design strategy and the conceptual safety design15are listed in IACTS, and the number of these reports,16reports; final design, including preliminary documented16safety issues are case 4, so we were planning on looking17safety analysis; construction, and I won't read all the17at both sets.				
9MR. POLOSKI: I believe most of the priority 29not and provide that determination or assessment to the10items that are listed there were priority 1s.10Board.11MS. CONNERY: Yes.11MR. SANTOS: You said staff issue, you were12CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So, Mr. Hamilton has called12using a different nomenclature, what does that mean?13up the actual policy statement. So the four specified13MR. POLOSKI: In our internal directive system,14and logical points were conceptual design, including the14we have a staff safety issue, it's case 3 issues that15safety design strategy and the conceptual safety design15are listed in IACTS, and the number of these reports,16reports; final design, including preliminary documented16safety issues are case 4, so we were planning on looking17safety analysis; construction, and I won't read all the17at both sets.		÷		
10items that are listed there were priority 1s.10Board.11MS. CONNERY: Yes.11MR. SANTOS: You said staff issue, you were12CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So, Mr. Hamilton has called12using a different nomenclature, what does that mean?13up the actual policy statement. So the four specified13MR. POLOSKI: In our internal directive system,14and logical points were conceptual design, including the14we have a staff safety issue, it's case 3 issues that15safety design strategy and the conceptual safety design15are listed in IACTS, and the number of these reports,16reports; final design, including preliminary documented16safety issues are case 4, so we were planning on looking17safety analysis; construction, and I won't read all the17at both sets.				
11MS. CONNERY: Yes.11MR. SANTOS: You said staff issue, you were12CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So, Mr. Hamilton has called12using a different nomenclature, what does that mean?13up the actual policy statement. So the four specified13MR. POLOSKI: In our internal directive system,14and logical points were conceptual design, including the14we have a staff safety issue, it's case 3 issues that15safety design strategy and the conceptual safety design15are listed in IACTS, and the number of these reports,16reports; final design, including preliminary documented16safety issues are case 4, so we were planning on looking17safety analysis; construction, and I won't read all the17at both sets.		1 · ·		-
12CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So, Mr. Hamilton has called12using a different nomenclature, what does that mean?13up the actual policy statement. So the four specified13MR. POLOSKI: In our internal directive system,14and logical points were conceptual design, including the14we have a staff safety issue, it's case 3 issues that15safety design, strategy and the conceptual safety design15are listed in IACTS, and the number of these reports,16reports; final design, including preliminary documented16safety issues are case 4, so we were planning on looking17safety analysis; construction, and I won't read all the17at both sets.				
13up the actual policy statement. So the four specified13MR. POLOSKI: In our internal directive system,14and logical points were conceptual design, including the13MR. POLOSKI: In our internal directive system,15safety design strategy and the conceptual safety design14we have a staff safety issue, it's case 3 issues that16reports; final design, including preliminary documented16safety issues are case 4, so we were planning on looking17safety analysis; construction, and I won't read all the17at both sets.				
14and logical points were conceptual design, including the safety design strategy and the conceptual safety design reports; final design, including preliminary documented safety analysis; construction, and I won't read all the14we have a staff safety issue, it's case 3 issues that are listed in IACTS, and the number of these reports, safety issues are case 4, so we were planning on looking at both sets.				
15safety design strategy and the conceptual safety design15are listed in IACTS, and the number of these reports,16reports; final design, including preliminary documented16safety issues are case 4, so we were planning on looking17safety analysis; construction, and I won't read all the17at both sets.				
16reports; final design, including preliminary documented16safety issues are case 4, so we were planning on looking17safety analysis; construction, and I won't read all the16safety issues are case 4, so we were planning on looking				
17 safety analysis; construction, and I won't read all the 17 at both sets.				· · · ·
10 MD CANTOC A 1 C 1 1 1 1				
		e		MR. SANTOS: And refresh my memory, what's item
19So, as was indicated earlier by Dr. Poloski,192? Or item 1?20there were some things where there are design20MR. POLOSKI: Category 2? Case 2, it's we need		-		
21construction projects that are scheduled to have either21more information in order to assess whether or not it's22the conceptual safety design report or the preliminary22a case 3 or case 4.				
 documented safety analysis was scheduled to be produced MR. SANTOS: Do you plan to look at those two, 				
24 during the fiscal year. So following the policy, 24 also?				
25 they're planning on doing reviews on those and then 25 MR. POLOSKI: I think it was a listing of all				

MR. POLOSKI: I think it was a listing of all

39 (Pages 153 to 156)

Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board

9/28/2017

	157		
1	open issues and there might be case 2 in there as well,	1	V
2	I'm not 100 percent sure, but it was all old open issues	2	I
3	in IACTS that were greater than two years old. They're	3	Ι
4	primarily 3 and 4.	4	ł
5	MR. SANTOS: I guess part of the process, it's	5	8
6	not tied to the plan, but we look at the quarterly	6	
7	briefings we get, you know, when staff just open	7	C
8	turns on or turns off or adds new items to the work	8	y
9	plan, it would be good to get some visibility on how the	9	
10	planning is evolving as it's being executed. So that	10	c
11	was something I would like to work with staff to improve	11	1
12	those quarterly presentations to the Board.	12	
13	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Okay. Thank you.	13	
14	Before we move on, I'll just point out again, as	14	£
15	I this morning I asked for additional information on	15	
16	some of these design and construction reviews, and	16	Ι
17	depending on the answers, I may move to try to defer	17	
18	some of these into later years, depending on the	18	ł
19	response I get to my questions that I asked this	19	Ċ
20	morning.	20	t
21	All right, so if there's no other discussion on	21	t
22	the technical director's plan, I'll just point out that	22	æ
23	we have about 45 minutes left, and we have three plans	23	ľ
24	to talk about, so general manager, the general manager's	24	t
25	plan is next on the table.	25	

158

1	Do any Board members have comments, questions,
2	some point they want to deliberate amongst the members
3	on the general manager's work plan?
4	MS. CONNERY: So I just want to take
5	responsibility for asking them to put the mandatory and
6	discretionary or nonmandatory nomenclature on there
7	because they had it in there last year and I found it
8	helpful. So that's where that came from, they weren't
9	surprised.
10	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Okay, again, does anybody
11	want to talk about any specific item in here?
12	MS. ROBERSON: I don't know, looks guilty, maybe
13	I should.
14	MR. SANTOS: As a general comment, there's been
15	a lot of change, tremendous change, in the Office of the
16	General Manager, and a lot of improvement in, you know,
17	many, many areas, that it's hard to keep up. I don't
18	know I'm still thinking about and I'll follow process
19	through the Yellow Folder if I can come up with some
20	sort of activity or assessment.
21	I would like to know what are all the
22	requirements that we are complying as an agency? You
23	know, we are a small Federal agency, and right now we're
24	driving to compliance and find gaps and fill those gaps
25	as quickly as possible. It will be good to know where

	159
1	we are, and craft an activity that gives us visibility.
2	Because I'll be honest with you, there's so much change,
3	I don't know where we are in terms of all the compliance
4	base and what's left and what's really applicable to an
5	agency our size.
6	And most of the changes I see in OGM have been
7	driven by compliance type efforts. So I'll open up for
8	your opinion on that.
9	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: I will have the general
10	counsel bring you the United States Code and the Code of
11	Federal Regulations.
12	MR. SANTOS: That's the easy one, right?
13	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: And all the executive orders
14	going back to 1856.
15	MR. SANTOS: I don't disagree there's a lot, but
16	I think it's a worthy exercise.
17	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: All right. I'm not sure,
18	based on that discussion, what I would expect anybody to
19	do, unless you want our already undermanned Office of
20	the General Counsel to begin some giant scrub of all of
21	these external requirements. There's a lot. If we had
22	a fully manned Office of the General Counsel, they could
23	probably spend the next three years trying to answer
24	that one question.

MS. ROBERSON: Were you done? I don't want to

160

1	interrupt. I guess in my view, and it's not something
2	anybody around this table has not heard me say, is I do
3	think we automatically concede to things that we do have
4	the opportunity to push back on. Congress limited the
5	size of this agency because it wanted it to focus, and I
6	think we accept a lot of things where we cannot truly
7	appeal to OMB or anybody else that it creates
8	unnecessary bureaucracy. It isn't unusual, the Board
9	has undone it before and I think we should be doing more
10	of it than we have been.
11	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: All right. Any other
12	discussion?
13	MS. CONNERY: So, I just want to point out that,
14	you know, we've done a lot with regards to IT and the
15	Office of General Manager has undergone a tremendous
16	amount of change, and I think for the better. The area
17	that I'm nervous about, which you should all be nervous
18	about, is human resources. We're about to lose Missy,
19	who has been a great asset to the agency, and I'm not
20	sure that we're going to be appropriately staffed, and
21	we talked earlier about the importance of potentially
22	looking at a CFO. I think we really need to focus on
23	getting the right people here on the human resource
24	side, because with hiring and turnover, that's going to
25	a crucial area for us and we really have to get the

40 (Pages 157 to 160)

	161		163
1		1	procedures and processes.
1 2	right person. And, you know, Jim pointed out before, this is	$\begin{vmatrix} 1\\2 \end{vmatrix}$	So I'd like to issue a challenge for OTD to
3		$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	really look at ways to improve their own internal
	not an easy agency to recruit to, and to recruit to that	4	efficiency to really get more out of the staff in terms
4	particular position I think is going to be extremely	5	
5	challenging, but it's got to be one of the major focuses		of independent reviews. Actual safety reviews.
6 7	on OGM going forward that you guys are going to have to really focus on.	6 7	MS. ROBERSON: So I just want to defend OTD a little bit. You're right, it's about 31 FTEs, and my
8	MR. SANTOS: One other area. I see the need for	8	own math, I added five Board members at 100 percent,
8 9			
9 10	a lot of you know, programs aren't being built, new	9	which ain't ever going to happen, and ten resident
	technologies aren't being deployed, all of that, and I'm	10	inspectors at 100 percent, which doesn't happen. And we
11 12	having a hard time differentiating what is a surge,	11	already know, which gets us to about a third, and that's
	using a military term there, versus where is our steady	12	optimistic, as a plan, I think we would say. But I
13	state level for OGM, and I just saw some recent proposed	13	think we need to go to the core of what people are
14	future states that looked like a higher level of steady	14	required to do because we know a lot of what OTD is
15	state.	15	doing is responding to us. And so I think we all own
16	So anything that could help me understand what	16	this, we all own finding those efficiencies, personally.
17	are we surging, what is the steady state, end state, would be very, very helpful. And I don't have good	17	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, so my understanding of
18		18	that 30 to 31 number was 30 to 31 out of the 60
19 20	visibility of that. Everything feels like we're in the middle of a surge right now, and that's okay, but is	19	engineers or so that they say they have and that manning
20 21	this the new final steady state or are we surging for a	20	table when you look at the staff plan. So it doesn't
21	few years.	21	count the supervisors who are supervising, it doesn't
22	MS. CONNERY: Are you talking IT in specific?	22	count the resident inspectors who are yeah, they're
23 24	MR. SANTOS: IT and security would be those two	23	out at the site spending a lot more time with eyeballs
24	areas. So, I'm sorry. Go ahead.	24	on the Department of Energy.
23	areas. 50, Thi sorry. Go anead.	25	So it doesn't count those people. So it
	162		164
1	162 MS. ROBERSON: I don't want to interrupt your	1	164 essentially works out to about a 50 percent. That is
2		2	
	MS. ROBERSON: I don't want to interrupt your thought, I'm sorry. MR. SANTOS: No, no.	2 3	essentially works out to about a 50 percent. That is you have an engineer body in the OTD 50 percent not 50 percent of the agency, 50 percent time of each
2 3 4	MS. ROBERSON: I don't want to interrupt your thought, I'm sorry. MR. SANTOS: No, no. MS. ROBERSON: So, to go back to what I was	2 3 4	essentially works out to about a 50 percent. That is you have an engineer body in the OTD 50 percent not 50 percent of the agency, 50 percent time of each person who's on their staff as an engineer is spent
2 3	MS. ROBERSON: I don't want to interrupt your thought, I'm sorry. MR. SANTOS: No, no. MS. ROBERSON: So, to go back to what I was saying before, and I think as we reviewed these plans, I	2 3 4 5	essentially works out to about a 50 percent. That is you have an engineer body in the OTD 50 percent not 50 percent of the agency, 50 percent time of each person who's on their staff as an engineer is spent doing oversight work and 50 percent is spent doing all
2 3 4 5 6	MS. ROBERSON: I don't want to interrupt your thought, I'm sorry. MR. SANTOS: No, no. MS. ROBERSON: So, to go back to what I was saying before, and I think as we reviewed these plans, I made this point, and I won't let an opportunity pass	2 3 4 5 6	essentially works out to about a 50 percent. That is you have an engineer body in the OTD 50 percent not 50 percent of the agency, 50 percent time of each person who's on their staff as an engineer is spent doing oversight work and 50 percent is spent doing all the other things that have to be done because they're a
2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. ROBERSON: I don't want to interrupt your thought, I'm sorry. MR. SANTOS: No, no. MS. ROBERSON: So, to go back to what I was saying before, and I think as we reviewed these plans, I made this point, and I won't let an opportunity pass without making it. I think our administrative overhead,	2 3 4 5 6 7	essentially works out to about a 50 percent. That is you have an engineer body in the OTD 50 percent not 50 percent of the agency, 50 percent time of each person who's on their staff as an engineer is spent doing oversight work and 50 percent is spent doing all the other things that have to be done because they're a Federal employee. Some of which is taking time off,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. ROBERSON: I don't want to interrupt your thought, I'm sorry. MR. SANTOS: No, no. MS. ROBERSON: So, to go back to what I was saying before, and I think as we reviewed these plans, I made this point, and I won't let an opportunity pass without making it. I think our administrative overhead, and this is in OGM, this is in general, averages about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	essentially works out to about a 50 percent. That is you have an engineer body in the OTD 50 percent not 50 percent of the agency, 50 percent time of each person who's on their staff as an engineer is spent doing oversight work and 50 percent is spent doing all the other things that have to be done because they're a Federal employee. Some of which is taking time off, which they get time off. If I understand that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. ROBERSON: I don't want to interrupt your thought, I'm sorry. MR. SANTOS: No, no. MS. ROBERSON: So, to go back to what I was saying before, and I think as we reviewed these plans, I made this point, and I won't let an opportunity pass without making it. I think our administrative overhead, and this is in OGM, this is in general, averages about two-thirds in our plan, which is optimistic. I don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	essentially works out to about a 50 percent. That is you have an engineer body in the OTD 50 percent not 50 percent of the agency, 50 percent time of each person who's on their staff as an engineer is spent doing oversight work and 50 percent is spent doing all the other things that have to be done because they're a Federal employee. Some of which is taking time off, which they get time off. If I understand that correctly.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. ROBERSON: I don't want to interrupt your thought, I'm sorry. MR. SANTOS: No, no. MS. ROBERSON: So, to go back to what I was saying before, and I think as we reviewed these plans, I made this point, and I won't let an opportunity pass without making it. I think our administrative overhead, and this is in OGM, this is in general, averages about two-thirds in our plan, which is optimistic. I don't think that's very efficient.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	essentially works out to about a 50 percent. That is you have an engineer body in the OTD 50 percent not 50 percent of the agency, 50 percent time of each person who's on their staff as an engineer is spent doing oversight work and 50 percent is spent doing all the other things that have to be done because they're a Federal employee. Some of which is taking time off, which they get time off. If I understand that correctly. MR. SANTOS: I think there's a lot of room for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. ROBERSON: I don't want to interrupt your thought, I'm sorry. MR. SANTOS: No, no. MS. ROBERSON: So, to go back to what I was saying before, and I think as we reviewed these plans, I made this point, and I won't let an opportunity pass without making it. I think our administrative overhead, and this is in OGM, this is in general, averages about two-thirds in our plan, which is optimistic. I don't think that's very efficient. And so I do think we need to pay closer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	essentially works out to about a 50 percent. That is you have an engineer body in the OTD 50 percent not 50 percent of the agency, 50 percent time of each person who's on their staff as an engineer is spent doing oversight work and 50 percent is spent doing all the other things that have to be done because they're a Federal employee. Some of which is taking time off, which they get time off. If I understand that correctly. MR. SANTOS: I think there's a lot of room for improvement.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. ROBERSON: I don't want to interrupt your thought, I'm sorry. MR. SANTOS: No, no. MS. ROBERSON: So, to go back to what I was saying before, and I think as we reviewed these plans, I made this point, and I won't let an opportunity pass without making it. I think our administrative overhead, and this is in OGM, this is in general, averages about two-thirds in our plan, which is optimistic. I don't think that's very efficient. And so I do think we need to pay closer attention to all the things we think we need to comply	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	essentially works out to about a 50 percent. That is you have an engineer body in the OTD 50 percent not 50 percent of the agency, 50 percent time of each person who's on their staff as an engineer is spent doing oversight work and 50 percent is spent doing all the other things that have to be done because they're a Federal employee. Some of which is taking time off, which they get time off. If I understand that correctly. MR. SANTOS: I think there's a lot of room for improvement. MS. ROBERSON: I think there's more to it,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. ROBERSON: I don't want to interrupt your thought, I'm sorry. MR. SANTOS: No, no. MS. ROBERSON: So, to go back to what I was saying before, and I think as we reviewed these plans, I made this point, and I won't let an opportunity pass without making it. I think our administrative overhead, and this is in OGM, this is in general, averages about two-thirds in our plan, which is optimistic. I don't think that's very efficient. And so I do think we need to pay closer attention to all the things we think we need to comply with, and actually ask for waivers or exceptions or make	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	essentially works out to about a 50 percent. That is you have an engineer body in the OTD 50 percent not 50 percent of the agency, 50 percent time of each person who's on their staff as an engineer is spent doing oversight work and 50 percent is spent doing all the other things that have to be done because they're a Federal employee. Some of which is taking time off, which they get time off. If I understand that correctly. MR. SANTOS: I think there's a lot of room for improvement. MS. ROBERSON: I think there's more to it, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. ROBERSON: I don't want to interrupt your thought, I'm sorry. MR. SANTOS: No, no. MS. ROBERSON: So, to go back to what I was saying before, and I think as we reviewed these plans, I made this point, and I won't let an opportunity pass without making it. I think our administrative overhead, and this is in OGM, this is in general, averages about two-thirds in our plan, which is optimistic. I don't think that's very efficient. And so I do think we need to pay closer attention to all the things we think we need to comply with, and actually ask for waivers or exceptions or make sure they even apply to us. I think we do have more	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	essentially works out to about a 50 percent. That is you have an engineer body in the OTD 50 percent not 50 percent of the agency, 50 percent time of each person who's on their staff as an engineer is spent doing oversight work and 50 percent is spent doing all the other things that have to be done because they're a Federal employee. Some of which is taking time off, which they get time off. If I understand that correctly. MR. SANTOS: I think there's a lot of room for improvement. MS. ROBERSON: I think there's more to it, but CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Well, great, make
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. ROBERSON: I don't want to interrupt your thought, I'm sorry. MR. SANTOS: No, no. MS. ROBERSON: So, to go back to what I was saying before, and I think as we reviewed these plans, I made this point, and I won't let an opportunity pass without making it. I think our administrative overhead, and this is in OGM, this is in general, averages about two-thirds in our plan, which is optimistic. I don't think that's very efficient. And so I do think we need to pay closer attention to all the things we think we need to comply with, and actually ask for waivers or exceptions or make sure they even apply to us. I think we do have more room to be more thoughtful about the things we think we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	essentially works out to about a 50 percent. That is you have an engineer body in the OTD 50 percent not 50 percent of the agency, 50 percent time of each person who's on their staff as an engineer is spent doing oversight work and 50 percent is spent doing all the other things that have to be done because they're a Federal employee. Some of which is taking time off, which they get time off. If I understand that correctly. MR. SANTOS: I think there's a lot of room for improvement. MS. ROBERSON: I think there's more to it, but CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Well, great, make suggestions. What do we want them to not do? I mean,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. ROBERSON: I don't want to interrupt your thought, I'm sorry. MR. SANTOS: No, no. MS. ROBERSON: So, to go back to what I was saying before, and I think as we reviewed these plans, I made this point, and I won't let an opportunity pass without making it. I think our administrative overhead, and this is in OGM, this is in general, averages about two-thirds in our plan, which is optimistic. I don't think that's very efficient. And so I do think we need to pay closer attention to all the things we think we need to comply with, and actually ask for waivers or exceptions or make sure they even apply to us. I think we do have more room to be more thoughtful about the things we think we need to comply with.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	essentially works out to about a 50 percent. That is you have an engineer body in the OTD 50 percent not 50 percent of the agency, 50 percent time of each person who's on their staff as an engineer is spent doing oversight work and 50 percent is spent doing all the other things that have to be done because they're a Federal employee. Some of which is taking time off, which they get time off. If I understand that correctly. MR. SANTOS: I think there's a lot of room for improvement. MS. ROBERSON: I think there's more to it, but CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Well, great, make suggestions. What do we want them to not do? I mean, training is important, a lot of that time is training.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. ROBERSON: I don't want to interrupt your thought, I'm sorry. MR. SANTOS: No, no. MS. ROBERSON: So, to go back to what I was saying before, and I think as we reviewed these plans, I made this point, and I won't let an opportunity pass without making it. I think our administrative overhead, and this is in OGM, this is in general, averages about two-thirds in our plan, which is optimistic. I don't think that's very efficient. And so I do think we need to pay closer attention to all the things we think we need to comply with, and actually ask for waivers or exceptions or make sure they even apply to us. I think we do have more room to be more thoughtful about the things we think we need to comply with. MR. SANTOS: Actually, if I can build on that,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	essentially works out to about a 50 percent. That is you have an engineer body in the OTD 50 percent not 50 percent of the agency, 50 percent time of each person who's on their staff as an engineer is spent doing oversight work and 50 percent is spent doing all the other things that have to be done because they're a Federal employee. Some of which is taking time off, which they get time off. If I understand that correctly. MR. SANTOS: I think there's a lot of room for improvement. MS. ROBERSON: I think there's more to it, but CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Well, great, make suggestions. What do we want them to not do? I mean, training is important, a lot of that time is training. You know, we have things where we bring them all
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. ROBERSON: I don't want to interrupt your thought, I'm sorry. MR. SANTOS: No, no. MS. ROBERSON: So, to go back to what I was saying before, and I think as we reviewed these plans, I made this point, and I won't let an opportunity pass without making it. I think our administrative overhead, and this is in OGM, this is in general, averages about two-thirds in our plan, which is optimistic. I don't think that's very efficient. And so I do think we need to pay closer attention to all the things we think we need to comply with, and actually ask for waivers or exceptions or make sure they even apply to us. I think we do have more room to be more thoughtful about the things we think we need to comply with. MR. SANTOS: Actually, if I can build on that, because a lot of the OGM we're probably going to find	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	essentially works out to about a 50 percent. That is you have an engineer body in the OTD 50 percent not 50 percent of the agency, 50 percent time of each person who's on their staff as an engineer is spent doing oversight work and 50 percent is spent doing all the other things that have to be done because they're a Federal employee. Some of which is taking time off, which they get time off. If I understand that correctly. MR. SANTOS: I think there's a lot of room for improvement. MS. ROBERSON: I think there's more to it, but CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Well, great, make suggestions. What do we want them to not do? I mean, training is important, a lot of that time is training. You know, we have things where we bring them all together into this room to do whatever it is, and when
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. ROBERSON: I don't want to interrupt your thought, I'm sorry. MR. SANTOS: No, no. MS. ROBERSON: So, to go back to what I was saying before, and I think as we reviewed these plans, I made this point, and I won't let an opportunity pass without making it. I think our administrative overhead, and this is in OGM, this is in general, averages about two-thirds in our plan, which is optimistic. I don't think that's very efficient. And so I do think we need to pay closer attention to all the things we think we need to comply with, and actually ask for waivers or exceptions or make sure they even apply to us. I think we do have more room to be more thoughtful about the things we think we need to comply with. MR. SANTOS: Actually, if I can build on that, because a lot of the OGM we're probably going to find that U.S. Code some areas, but in the OTD side of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	essentially works out to about a 50 percent. That is you have an engineer body in the OTD 50 percent not 50 percent of the agency, 50 percent time of each person who's on their staff as an engineer is spent doing oversight work and 50 percent is spent doing all the other things that have to be done because they're a Federal employee. Some of which is taking time off, which they get time off. If I understand that correctly. MR. SANTOS: I think there's a lot of room for improvement. MS. ROBERSON: I think there's more to it, but CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Well, great, make suggestions. What do we want them to not do? I mean, training is important, a lot of that time is training. You know, we have things where we bring them all together into this room to do whatever it is, and when they come down here to do that, they're not doing
$\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \end{array}$	MS. ROBERSON: I don't want to interrupt your thought, I'm sorry. MR. SANTOS: No, no. MS. ROBERSON: So, to go back to what I was saying before, and I think as we reviewed these plans, I made this point, and I won't let an opportunity pass without making it. I think our administrative overhead, and this is in OGM, this is in general, averages about two-thirds in our plan, which is optimistic. I don't think that's very efficient. And so I do think we need to pay closer attention to all the things we think we need to comply with, and actually ask for waivers or exceptions or make sure they even apply to us. I think we do have more room to be more thoughtful about the things we think we need to comply with. MR. SANTOS: Actually, if I can build on that, because a lot of the OGM we're probably going to find that U.S. Code some areas, but in the OTD side of the house, I wonder how much we are doing that to ourselves	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	essentially works out to about a 50 percent. That is you have an engineer body in the OTD 50 percent not 50 percent of the agency, 50 percent time of each person who's on their staff as an engineer is spent doing oversight work and 50 percent is spent doing all the other things that have to be done because they're a Federal employee. Some of which is taking time off, which they get time off. If I understand that correctly. MR. SANTOS: I think there's a lot of room for improvement. MS. ROBERSON: I think there's more to it, but CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Well, great, make suggestions. What do we want them to not do? I mean, training is important, a lot of that time is training. You know, we have things where we bring them all together into this room to do whatever it is, and when they come down here to do that, they're not doing oversight reviews. So it's all those things, and they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. ROBERSON: I don't want to interrupt your thought, I'm sorry. MR. SANTOS: No, no. MS. ROBERSON: So, to go back to what I was saying before, and I think as we reviewed these plans, I made this point, and I won't let an opportunity pass without making it. I think our administrative overhead, and this is in OGM, this is in general, averages about two-thirds in our plan, which is optimistic. I don't think that's very efficient. And so I do think we need to pay closer attention to all the things we think we need to comply with, and actually ask for waivers or exceptions or make sure they even apply to us. I think we do have more room to be more thoughtful about the things we think we need to comply with. MR. SANTOS: Actually, if I can build on that, because a lot of the OGM we're probably going to find that U.S. Code some areas, but in the OTD side of the house, I wonder how much we are doing that to ourselves in terms of that efficiency and overhead in terms of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	essentially works out to about a 50 percent. That is you have an engineer body in the OTD 50 percent not 50 percent of the agency, 50 percent time of each person who's on their staff as an engineer is spent doing oversight work and 50 percent is spent doing all the other things that have to be done because they're a Federal employee. Some of which is taking time off, which they get time off. If I understand that correctly. MR. SANTOS: I think there's a lot of room for improvement. MS. ROBERSON: I think there's more to it, but CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Well, great, make suggestions. What do we want them to not do? I mean, training is important, a lot of that time is training. You know, we have things where we bring them all together into this room to do whatever it is, and when they come down here to do that, they're not doing oversight reviews. So it's all those things, and they add up. That's my point.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. ROBERSON: I don't want to interrupt your thought, I'm sorry. MR. SANTOS: No, no. MS. ROBERSON: So, to go back to what I was saying before, and I think as we reviewed these plans, I made this point, and I won't let an opportunity pass without making it. I think our administrative overhead, and this is in OGM, this is in general, averages about two-thirds in our plan, which is optimistic. I don't think that's very efficient. And so I do think we need to pay closer attention to all the things we think we need to comply with, and actually ask for waivers or exceptions or make sure they even apply to us. I think we do have more room to be more thoughtful about the things we think we need to comply with. MR. SANTOS: Actually, if I can build on that, because a lot of the OGM we're probably going to find that U.S. Code some areas, but in the OTD side of the house, I wonder how much we are doing that to ourselves in terms of that efficiency and overhead in terms of their own internal procedures and processes. What was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	essentially works out to about a 50 percent. That is you have an engineer body in the OTD 50 percent not 50 percent of the agency, 50 percent time of each person who's on their staff as an engineer is spent doing oversight work and 50 percent is spent doing all the other things that have to be done because they're a Federal employee. Some of which is taking time off, which they get time off. If I understand that correctly. MR. SANTOS: I think there's a lot of room for improvement. MS. ROBERSON: I think there's more to it, but CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Well, great, make suggestions. What do we want them to not do? I mean, training is important, a lot of that time is training. You know, we have things where we bring them all together into this room to do whatever it is, and when they come down here to do that, they're not doing oversight reviews. So it's all those things, and they add up. That's my point. MR. SANTOS: To be specific, I think they went
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	MS. ROBERSON: I don't want to interrupt your thought, I'm sorry. MR. SANTOS: No, no. MS. ROBERSON: So, to go back to what I was saying before, and I think as we reviewed these plans, I made this point, and I won't let an opportunity pass without making it. I think our administrative overhead, and this is in OGM, this is in general, averages about two-thirds in our plan, which is optimistic. I don't think that's very efficient. And so I do think we need to pay closer attention to all the things we think we need to comply with, and actually ask for waivers or exceptions or make sure they even apply to us. I think we do have more room to be more thoughtful about the things we think we need to comply with. MR. SANTOS: Actually, if I can build on that, because a lot of the OGM we're probably going to find that U.S. Code some areas, but in the OTD side of the house, I wonder how much we are doing that to ourselves in terms of that efficiency and overhead in terms of their own internal procedures and processes. What was the number, 30.9? 31 FTE actual work. The numbers in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	essentially works out to about a 50 percent. That is you have an engineer body in the OTD 50 percent not 50 percent of the agency, 50 percent time of each person who's on their staff as an engineer is spent doing oversight work and 50 percent is spent doing all the other things that have to be done because they're a Federal employee. Some of which is taking time off, which they get time off. If I understand that correctly. MR. SANTOS: I think there's a lot of room for improvement. MS. ROBERSON: I think there's more to it, but CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Well, great, make suggestions. What do we want them to not do? I mean, training is important, a lot of that time is training. You know, we have things where we bring them all together into this room to do whatever it is, and when they come down here to do that, they're not doing oversight reviews. So it's all those things, and they add up. That's my point. MR. SANTOS: To be specific, I think they went through an exercise to create a bunch of directive and
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array}$	MS. ROBERSON: I don't want to interrupt your thought, I'm sorry. MR. SANTOS: No, no. MS. ROBERSON: So, to go back to what I was saying before, and I think as we reviewed these plans, I made this point, and I won't let an opportunity pass without making it. I think our administrative overhead, and this is in OGM, this is in general, averages about two-thirds in our plan, which is optimistic. I don't think that's very efficient. And so I do think we need to pay closer attention to all the things we think we need to comply with, and actually ask for waivers or exceptions or make sure they even apply to us. I think we do have more room to be more thoughtful about the things we think we need to comply with. MR. SANTOS: Actually, if I can build on that, because a lot of the OGM we're probably going to find that U.S. Code some areas, but in the OTD side of the house, I wonder how much we are doing that to ourselves in terms of that efficiency and overhead in terms of their own internal procedures and processes. What was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	essentially works out to about a 50 percent. That is you have an engineer body in the OTD 50 percent not 50 percent of the agency, 50 percent time of each person who's on their staff as an engineer is spent doing oversight work and 50 percent is spent doing all the other things that have to be done because they're a Federal employee. Some of which is taking time off, which they get time off. If I understand that correctly. MR. SANTOS: I think there's a lot of room for improvement. MS. ROBERSON: I think there's more to it, but CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Well, great, make suggestions. What do we want them to not do? I mean, training is important, a lot of that time is training. You know, we have things where we bring them all together into this room to do whatever it is, and when they come down here to do that, they're not doing oversight reviews. So it's all those things, and they add up. That's my point. MR. SANTOS: To be specific, I think they went

41 (Pages 161 to 164)

	165		167
1		1	
1 2	Their own, their own, yes. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Well, just to clarify, if	$\begin{vmatrix} 1\\2 \end{vmatrix}$	not think we need the Office of the General Counsel to review DOE directives of interest to the Board. I just
23	they're spending time writing an agenda, creating a	$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	don't think we need our general counsel doing that. I
	review plan, doing a review, they're actually counting	4	think having our technical staff, whatever our policy
4 5	that in the FTE that's available for oversight. You	5	statement is going to be, do that, is sufficient, and if
	know, that's not again, that's half a person to do	6	there and I think I heard the general counsel earlier
6 7	half a person to do oversight work and half of a person	7	say that they're looking at things to see if the
8	to do all the other things we have them do. You know,		directive properly cites certain legal requirements. I
8 9	as Federal employees. But the stuff that you're talking	9	think that is the job of the Department of Energy
10	about, which is within their internal procedures, is	10	general counsel or the NNSA general counsel, so I will
11	largely the	11	move to delete this from this list. I don't think we
12	MR. SANTOS: Counted already.	12	need to do it.
12	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: counted already, except	13	It's also not clear to me that OGC needs to
13	to the extent that they have procedures that tell them	14	review technical documents, unless we are going to send
14	to go off and do training or whatever else it is that	15	them to the Department of Energy, and there's a question
16	isn't direct oversight.	16	about them. I don't think as a matter of routine that
10	MR. SANTOS: If that's the case, the picture is	17	they need to be reviewed by the Office of the General
18	a little bit worse, then.	18	Counsel. We ought to be able to produce a technical
19	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Only if you think they're	19	document, review it by five Board members, send it over
20	doing it insufficiently in terms of their oversight.	20	to the Department of Energy and not have a lawyer look
21	MS. CONNERY: Yeah. I wouldn't say that they're	21	at it. I'm not sure what a lawyer is looking at it for.
22	doing it worse. I think that's part and parcel of the	22	It was explained earlier, they're looking to
23	oversight is that you want to actually plan your visits	23	make sure our staff has properly cited to any legal
24	and determine what standards you need to look at and how	24	requirements that are in there, again, I don't think we
25	they're being implemented at the site and involve the	25	have many. There are things where it might cite to 10
	166		168
1	other parts of the organization that have to do with it,	1	C.F.R. 830, but I think we all know that, and what it
2	and then bring that information back so that it can be	2	says.
3	evaluated. I mean, I think all of those things that you	3	And in my view, the worst case scenario is we
4	might be discounting are actually part and parcel of	4	put something in a document like that and then the
5	their oversight. Oversight is not just ride around on a	5	Department of Energy general counsel calls us up and
6	bike at Pantex and look at stuff.	6	says, well, I think you you know, this is you
7	MS. ROBERSON: So, I'm just going to say,	7	cited that improperly, and you need to redo something.
8	because I knew immediately this would go to what they're	8	In which case we could send out a correction, but I
9	doing wrong. Much of what they're doing is responding	9	don't think that would happen very often.
10	to the rest of the organization, so it is not just OTD.	10	I think for the amount of time and energy that
11	We are driving requirements as well, too, and all I'm	11	this indicates gets taken, I think I don't think
12	saying is, we ought to make sure we pay attention to	12	that's a thing that we need to do. And once again, I'm
13	what we're imposing as well. That's all.	13	stressing that rather than in addition to finding
14	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: All right, so we were on the	14	where we can how we can improve by bringing
15	general manager's work plan, despite what that last few	15	additional bodies on board, I think we need to improve
16	minutes sounded like. Any other questions or points of	16	by eliminating things that don't really need to be done.
17	discussion on the general manager's work plan? If not,	17	I see Ms. Connery ready to press the button, do
18	I'm going to ask that we turn our attention to the	18	you want to respond to anything I've said so far?
19	general counsel's work plan. And I'll go ahead and	19	MS. CONNERY: Of course I do. So, first of all,
20	start.	20	I didn't get the sense from general counsel that this
21	Again, general counsel has been undermanned and	21	takes an inordinate amount of time, but as a Board
22	has a request that would actually triple the number of	22	member who relies on general counsel, I wouldn't want
23	bodies that he has in his office, but under support for	23	any document leaving this building that has the Board
24	technical director and technical staff, there are some items on there which I've raised this before but I do	24	that has your signature on it and the Board members
25		25	

24 technical director and technical staff, there are some 25 items on there which I've raised this before, but I do

42 (Pages 165 to 168)

listed on it without having it had the general counsel

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to happen. So that's why I'm raising it. And this

processing of security matters, facilities security,

if it gets into legal, well that's general support. I

just don't see why this is listed as a separate and

the General Manager, that's their function.

information security, is in the realm of the Office of

the General Counsel. We have people in the Office of

Now if they were questioned, certainly, anybody

who has a question on anything, they're supposed to do,

So, as another item, it's not clear to me where

would be the format to raise it in.

Jeien	se i toelear i deinnes salery board		//20/201/
	169		171
1	review it. I don't think it takes a lot of time.	1	independent item here for what the Office of the General
2	I think it's the prudent course of action and I	2	Counsel would do.
3	would not substitute my legal expertise or any of my	3	You know, that's as opposed to some other things
4	fellow Board members', no offense, legal expertise for	4	which are in here, which is FOIA or contracts where it
5	that of the general counsel. I think that is the role	5	does seem to me like there would be a regular reason why
6	of the general counsel and I would strongly object to	6	the Office of the General Counsel needs to build that
7	sending things out.	7	time into their work planning because those would
8	And if that is the decision of the Board, I	8	routinely raise legal questions.
9	still might not take a vote until I send it to general	9	And the last item I wanted to point out has to
10	counsel, so they will be reviewing it anyway, because I	10	do with safety allegations. I think we spend time on
11	feel very strongly that that's the primary role of the	11	safety allegations and I think our statute clearly makes
12	general counsel and they serve the Board as a whole as	12	that permissive. It says we may receive information, we
13	well as the individual Board members and look out for	13	are not required to act on it, and we get an awful lot
14	the protection of the agency.	14	of safety allegations which go towards DOE contracts.
15	So I don't think it's a heavy lift, I think it's	15	We get some people who clearly have nothing else
16	important for the general counsel to do it, I think it's	16	to do but write to us, sometimes they provide some humor
17	actually in the role of the general counsel. Not for	17	on some of the things they put in some of these safety
18	necessarily this conversation, because I don't think	18	allegations, and then there's others which are clearly
19	that it's pertinent, but in general, I think that	19	being generated by individuals who for whatever reason
20	because of the role of the Office of General Counsel is	20	have a bone to pick out in the Department of Energy, and
21	unique in this agency, and in most agencies, I don't	21	they seem to submit things frequently, but there's a
22	know that I would in future recommend that we spend time	22	again, we by law, the Department of Energy has an
23	on a work plan. I think it should be left to the	23	inspector general, and that's their job.
24	discretion of the general counsel's office so long as	24	And so I think that we should not be spending
25	they're fulfilling their functions and the Board doesn't	25	the time that we are spending on safety allegations. We
	170		172
1	see any issue with it.	1	are not required to by our statute. So, those are the
2	I just think it's kind of waste of their time	2	things I wanted to discuss on the general counsel's work
3	and our time to have them put together a work plan that	3	plan.
4	we review and vote on. That's just, you know, in terms	4	Mr. Santos?
5	of removing overhead, bureaucratic overhead, I think	5	MR. SANTOS: Yeah, on safety allegations, as
6	that's one of the places where I would say do we really	6	individual Board member, that is an absolutely critical
7	need to be doing it.	7	piece of input information that I take into
8	MR. SANTOS: I agree with that statement given	8	consideration as part of my individual role here, and as
9	my previous comments on looking for efficiency. No	9	you know, I ask every week religiously, I want to be
10	offense, thank you, Jim, for putting it together, but it	10	informed, I want to be able to have an ability to see
11	didn't really add anything. I already knew it was	11	where there may be an issue, where there may be leading
12	happening. So	12	indicators or where there may be things that may then
13	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Well, I'll just state that I	13	raise to the level of adequate protection.
14	know it's happening, but I don't think a lot of it needs	14	And I think through safety allegations we get an

43 (Pages 169 to 172)

early look of things that are important: And I do my

So to me having the ability to receive that information, as well as staff analysis, as well as my

own trips and what have you, it's part of my job, and I

value them a lot. I agree they're not required, but I'm

creating a process where we take these things and we

either put them in a folder on our intranet that you

just sharing with my fellow Board members that is one of

CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Well, I could easily see us

own analysis and bring it up to the Board.

the things that I need to do my job.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

9/28/2017

173		175
have access to or we can route them to you, but the	1	safety allegations, and I basically characterized them
question is why is this a work item for the Office of	2	the way you did, Mr. Chairman, in terms of the level and
the General Counsel? What does the Office of the	3	the volume.
General Counsel need to do with these things if someone	4	One of the Congressmen actually said, well, you
wants to write to the agency to complain about whatever	5	should give your business card to every worker you see
they want to complain about in the Department of Energy,	6	so that they can call you with safety allegations. I
why don't we just find someone that doesn't have to be	7	think that Congress, rightly or wrongly, sees us as a
in the Office of General Counsel, to take this thing,	8	neutral party for whom people may be comfortable
read it, and I'm here to tell you based on my time here,	9	bringing those allegations, even if they're directed to
well over 90 percent of them, one quick read through and	10	the Department of Energy, and disposal of it could be
you're done. No action.	11	simply referring that allegation to the office of the
MR. SANTOS: All it takes is one.	12	DOE IG, but I was surprised to learn that there was that
CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: I understand. But again, I	13	much Congressional interest in such a small area of what
would anticipate that over the next year, probably	14	we do.
somewhere north of 90 percent are something that one	15	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: I'll just reiterate, there's
person could read through and say, there's nothing here.	16	nothing in the statute that requires us to do anything.
That one person could be you. I mean, I'm just trying	17	There is a sentence in there that says we may receive
to figure out why it's in the Office of the General	18	information from various individuals, but it's clearly
Counsel work plan, and why we would have anybody in this	19	permissive. Congress did not write a statute that
small office have to do this; it is not a statutory	20	requires us to receive. We could send all these things
function that we must do.	21	back as return to sender and be in compliance with our
MR. SANTOS: Actually, I might disagree. I	22	statute. That's my point.
think there's one of the functions that I think we're	23	So again, since it's permissive, the Board can
doing a good job developing a full process, and it	24	decide what to do. I'm telling you, while we're having
requires the Board action, it's the area of	25	a little debate, I'll ask the Board to do something, but

174

investigation. To me this could be an input to that. if the Board wants to continue doing what we're doing, I 1 1 2 Having a formal process that ties safety allegations to 2 point out that whatever we are doing, in my view, based 3 3 on what I've seen, on a day-to-day basis, a majority of proper processes and, you know, to the investigation 4 function that is stated in our statute. I think there's 4 it appears to fall on the shoulders of the Office of the 5 5 some more work to be done in that area. General Counsel, which is a small office, and is 6 6 MS. ROBERSON: So, you know, I look forward to undermanned. It doesn't need to be there. Does anybody else want to say anything else 7 your action, and obviously we will consider it along 7 8 about the Office of General Counsel's work plan? 8 with others. The thing that I would say is, it is a --9 9 whether any of us agree or not, the Board has provided MS. ROBERSON: Oh, I do want to say one other 10 guidance to the staff previously, and I think it's 10 thing. Something I've probably said in the last three actually enveloped in the OTD procedures as to what to years, and maybe even the general counsel has disagreed, 11 11 12 do with these. And legal was involved in them to ensure 12 I don't know why we do an OGC work plan. It's not to 13 that if we needed to protect the identity of somebody, 13 say the manager in OGC shouldn't plan their work, but 14 that people felt free. We do get a smorgasbord of 14 two years ago, if I remember, we went the entire year, 15 things. 15 not one thing in the work plan was able to get done because they were responding to us or others. And I 16 The Board also wanted to provide guidance that 16 we shall always respond, no matter whether we think it's think the impact the Board has on the General Counsel's 17 17 18 valid or not. So I actually think there is more change, 18 Office is through staffing, but I think the majority of 19 maybe not just to consider OGC, but we would have to 19 their work is responsive anyway. 20 look into the OTD process as well, too, if we were going 20 MS. CONNERY: So, can I just ask a quick 21 21 to change that. question. Is that in our procedures that a work plan be 22 22 MS. CONNERY: So, just a brief comment. So, done, but did it come from an RFBA or just the 23 23 when I did my Hill meetings, in my role as Chairman, procedures that we developed? 24 this actually came up in more than one office. It 24 CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: It was in the procedures 25 that we developed. I mean, clearly, we could end up

25 surprised me, where the actual member was interested in

44 (Pages 173 to 176)

	177		179
1	changing the procedures. We could take this entire	1	MS. CONNERY: I'm sorry, I missed that.
2	section 1, which, by the way, I think was nicely done	2	Which
3	and much trimmer than previous general counsel work	3	MR. SANTOS: The senior
4	plans, based largely on that feedback, and the feedback	4	MS. CONNERY: We're in OTD.
5	being don't spend a lot of time regurgitating all the	5	MR. SANTOS: OTD. Senior leader, yes.
6	stuff that you have to do anyway, but we can take all	6	MS. CONNERY: Supervisory.
7	that list and just say, okay, that stuff isn't going to	7	MR. SANTOS: It's been vacant, nonsupervisory,
8	change from year to year, and just take the thing that	8	for two years. Any news on that?
9	he has here and the small section that he has as planned	9	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Well, more generally, we did
10	discretionary work and every year he could tell us,	10	a reorganization, we completed it in May, and the
11	well, if he has extra manpower to do things that he	11	reorganization, to me, appears now to be in large
12	would like to do, what would that be? That would be	12	measure supporting a justification for bringing
13	pretty simple.	13	additional people on board.
14	I mean, I think, actually, the Office of the	14	MR. SANTOS: Oh, okay.
15	General Manager would be the same, since 90 percent of	15	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Right. So, and we were told
16	what they actually do day to day falls under they don't	16	as part of the reorg that they wanted the senior leader,
17	have any choice. There's travel requests, they're going	17	which I've been here five years, we've never had this
18	to process them. They're going to make sure we get	18	position filled. I think it was on the books, but not
19	paid. All those sort of things.	19	planned to be filled for a long time. I'm not sure of
20	Any other comments or questions on here?	20	all the history. I'm not sure when it was filled, if it
21	(No response.)	21	was filled.
22	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: I will also point out, I've	22	Adam, do you know?
23	never gotten support for it, from the Board, but I don't	23	MR. POLOSKI: Yeah, the senior leader
24	think we need the Office of the General Counsel at every	24	position Adam Poloski. So, the senior leader
25	informal briefing of the Board. That is a requirement	25	position predated the reorganization. We ended up when
	178		180
1	that we have placed upon ourselves in the Code of	1	we rolled out the reorganization, wanted to fill that as
2	Federal Regulations. And I think it's a manpower drain,	2	one of our top priorities. One of the reasons that we
3	and it being in the Code of Federal Regulations, we	3	weren't able to fill it was we were trying to get a
4	don't get to change it when circumstances would deem	4	certified program in place to make the pay levels
5	that they probably should be changed.	5	consistent with other executive positions that we had.
6	So when you have an office that is manned at	6	And so there were some administrative reasons as
7	one-third, to have them have to do this I think is	7	to why this position wasn't filled over the last couple
8	onerous, and we would have options if it was not in our	8	of years, but it had been a priority, I can still see
9	Code of Federal Regulations, but I've asked every year	9	its value and we would like to pursue it.
10	that I've been here to have it taken out and I've never	10	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, the question was has
11	gotten the support of the Board.	11	it ever been filled.
10		10	

12 So I'm pretty sure I'm talking to a wall when I 13 say it, but I'll just say it again. 14 MS. ROBERSON: No, you're talking to us. 15 CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Well, okay. Any other 16 comments? 17 (No response.) 18 MS. ROBERSON: No, thank you. 19 CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Move to the staffing plan. 20 Mr. Santos, did you want to talk? 21 MR. SANTOS: Yeah, it's been two years since the 22 OTD hasn't filled a senior level. Is that taking the

- 23 space of additional engineers? It's not clear what the
- 24 plan is with that. Either we need it or we don't or --
- 25 and if we do, you know, it's confusing to me.

CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, the question was ha it ever been filled.
MR. POLOSKI: No, it has never been filled.
CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So, somewhere along the line, and we don't know exactly when as we sit here, this position was created and it was created, as Adam correctly points out, it was created before they did the reorg and it sat on the books, unfilled, for who knows how long, but we've never had one here.

So that's a little bit different. We did reorg in the -- amongst the SESs, so that we created two deputy technical directors, but we kept the same number of what used to be called group leads, and now they're associate technical directors, so the net there was to create an additional SES position within the Office of the Technical Director through the reorg.

45 (Pages 177 to 180)

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

	181		183
1	They also created three DN supervisor positions,	1	than that. We need to be able to train folks to take
2	and they are now seeking to backfill those supervisors	2	their place.
3	in terms of I guess what you would call the worker bee	3	Yet understanding of putting the DN supervisors
4	engineers. I mean the non-supervisor engineers. So the	4	in was because you needed to have some succession
5	people who filled those billets moved up into a	5	planning, and if you have successful if you don't
6	supervisory role and they want to have three more human	6	have anybody in your staff who has had any management
7	beings on the staff to do the positions that used to be	7	experience, and they become an SES, they are by
8	there.	8	definition going to become a less successful SES. So if
9	So, all of that, I'm saying, is I mean, it's	9	there was any plan to grow our own staff to take those
10	at least four plus the senior leader in terms of	10	leadership positions, you have to have them be in
11	increased FTE that they are asking for as a result of	11	supervisory positions ahead of time.
12	the reorg. It wasn't clear to me when we were doing the	12	And again, this goes to some of the overhead
13	reorg that that meant that we were going to be asking	13	that I don't think we factored in in previous years that
14	for additional people. I understood the reorganization	14	would take away from the mission of actually doing
15	to be improvement in efficiency and effectiveness, maybe	15	oversight. We're going to have to learn to walk and
16	effectiveness, yes, but not necessarily efficiency if we	16	chew gum at the same time, and I think it's going to
17	need more bodies now that we have reorganized.	17	take additional bodies to do that, if we are going to
18	So we also, as part of this, because we for two	18	fulfill our mission, focus it like Ms. Roberson said,
19	years, we had some contract support, with some	19	and train the staff to be able to do the job of the
20	engineers, who did a lot of work in terms of data	20	agency.
21	analysis, and then we ended that contract in May, and as	21	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: All right, I want to move
22	a result of doing that, the technical staff shuffled	22	and talk about the deputy general counsel position. I
23	bodies to do the tasks that were being done by those	23	don't support hiring another member of the Senior
24	contract engineers into the engineering what is it,	24	Executive Service to work in the Office of the General
25	EP? Engineering programs. So they shuffled some	25	Counsel. We've been here I've been here now five
	102		194

engineers into there, and so now they're asking to years. I think there have been three periods of about backfill those FTE in the -- again in the regular cadre, if you will, of engineers who were doing reviews. But they're also asking to bring two contract support people back. So there's a lot in here that the Office of the Technical Director is asking for, and I'll point that out and I'm trying to figure out why it's necessary. It's not clear to me that the justification in here actually says why it's necessary. Anybody else? Anybody want to talk about the Office of the Technical Director or any other part of the staffing plan? MS. CONNERY: I'll just point out, since I think I have to say everything twice before it gets heard, on the training portion of this plan, which went up from 5 percent to 39 percent, the idea and one of the reasons why you would want to bring on additional staff, hopefully mid-level staff, is because we have some challenges with training people in the oversight mission. This has been a challenge that I've heard from all Board members over the past couple of years. We are losing our most senior technical folks to retirement, and my expectation, I think it was nine was in the plan in terms of retirement. My guess is it might be higher

six months duration where we've had two members of the
Senior Executive Service actually coming to work in the
Office of the General Counsel, and the rest of the
periods we either had one or there was a period there of
about eight months where we had none, and then I'd say
we went to one, only because we got Mr. Biggins, who we
brought aboard and he became a member of the Senior
Executive Service, but actually for a good portion of
the time that he was initially here, he was getting the
qualifications and certifications in line and wasn't
actually yet a member of the Senior Executive Service.
So I mean, my point is, the office has been
functioning with something that averages out to 1.0 for
five years now, and I don't see the need to bring
another member of the Senior Executive Service into the
Office of the General Counsel. So I'll be making that
proposed change via an amendment.
Anybody want to say anything about that or say
anything else about anything else that's in this
staffing plan?
MS. ROBERSON: I don't have anything else to
say.
CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: All right. Well, I would,
then, also point out that I think we need to look at

46 (Pages 181 to 184)

105

Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board

	185		
1	these numbers and figure out what signal are we actually	1	clos
2	telling the staff that they may hire to, and so the	2	
3	issue becomes if we	3	
4	MS. CONNERY: Before you get to that, sorry.	4	anyt
5	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Go ahead.	5	than
6	MS. CONNERY: You just didn't look this way. So	6	
7	the other issue that I think we probably should discuss	7	
8	is the issue of the GS-15 that's going to be hired to	8	proc
9	perform functions in the front office. At some point it	9	
10	was envisioned that it would actually help with the	10	supe
11	Orange Folder process, and there's a discrepancy among	11	that,
12	the staff as to whether or not that individual should	12	wou
13	also be supervising the secretaries of folks that report	13	
14	directly right now to the Office of General Counsel and	14	posi
15	the Office of the Technical Director.	15	and
16	I think that's probably something that we as a	16	prov
17	Board should deliberate on because obviously the staff	17	
18	couldn't come to a conclusion on that particular issue,	18	two
19	and I know it was a I heard some folks talking about	19	Boar
20	it in the interim, so I just wanted to get other	20	and
21	people's views on that issue.	21	othe
22	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Well, I haven't heard any	22	So it
23	views from Board members other than noting that there's	23	weig
24	a split amongst the staff. So anybody want to chime in	24	wan
25	with a view?	25	to m
	186	+	

1 MR. SANTOS: I have made proposals in the past 2 that have failed to create a support type structure for the Board that includes a lot of the functions we are 3 4 talking about. So I just want to wait and see how this 5 looks like before I make any other proposal for 6 consideration right now. I just don't know. 7 MS. CONNERY: So that's not the question I was 8 asking. In this particular case, the role that used to 9 be occupied by Nora is being replaced, it's being 10 replaced at a GS-15 at the direction of the Chairman. 11 Right now it's advertised as a GS-15 supervisory with 12 the understanding that the secretaries that report to 13 the Office of the Technical Director and the Office of 14 General Counsel would report to that individual. 15 My experience from what Nora told me before she left is that she thinks that the functions of that 16 17 individual would be too diluted to be an effective 18 supervisor, as well as doing the other functions of that 19 job. Again, since this is an area of consternation 20 among our senior staff, I think it's incumbent for us to 21 give direction in that area. 22 MR. SANTOS: I don't disagree, but I heard this 23 morning that the position is already posted or closed or 24 it's out.

CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: The position is posted and

25

187 sed, OPM is supposed to give us a list of names. MR. SANTOS: Right. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: We don't have to hire body. We can always take the list of names and say nk you and start the process over. MS. CONNERY: You can also just recategorize it. MR. SANTOS: Okay, I just don't know what the cess is. MS. HERRERA: I'm not sure we can come up with a pervisory list and then change the position after t, I would have to go back to HR. My instinct is you uld have to re-announce if you changed it that much. The original advice from HR was that the sition graded out to a 14 without supervisory duties to a 15 with supervisory duties. That was what HR vided us. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, and so then I took the position descriptions that were shared with all ard members and then I told them what I planned to do provided the opportunity for the Board to tell me erwise, which is exactly what's going to happen here. it was split amongst the staff, if the Board wants to igh in, the Board will weigh in. If the Board doesn't nt to weigh in, I'll make a decision. Somebody's got

make a decision, so that's the way it will be.

188

	188
1	MS. ROBERSON: So, my view is I don't know what
2	the complication is with doing that, so I'm not going to
3	express an opinion, but I will express an opinion that I
4	do support having a Federal secretary in OGC. As well,
5	my support of having a Federal secretary in the front
6	office. I think I've been consistent on that.
7	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: All right. Thank you. So,
8	I'll go back to the other point I wanted to make, which
9	was talking just about what signal we're going to send
10	to the staff when we're done with this process. So,
11	right now, we have something that says we are shooting
12	for 115 FTE, but we have three tables in front of us
13	which when you add the numbers up comes up to something
14	well over 115 FTE, it actually comes up well over at
15	122, or whatever the number is that we were given in the
16	beginning as being able to overshoot.
17	So I think the Board needs to decide, and my
18	view is we have three tables for the three offices, and
19	where it says what's vacant, I think those numbers
20	should be adjusted by the Board, if at all, to reflect
21	what the Board is saying that we have the authority to
22	hire. And whatever that final number would be, it would
23	be. And if next year's budget doesn't support having
24	that number, then we would have to do something else,
25	including if we in other words, if we overshoot, we

47 (Pages 185 to 188)

189

Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board

9/28/2017

191

192

	107		
1	might have to bring some people on and then turn around	1	number to include th
2	and let them go or consider that.	2	MS. ROBERS
3	My point is simply I'm trying to figure out when	3	MR. BIGGINS
4	we approve a staffing plan, what are we actually	4	communicated to O
5	approving? There's a lot of verbiage in here which is	5	Board members in t
6	all justification given to us by the office directors,	6	MS. ROBERS
7	and we all have that for consideration. Clearly if we	7	CHAIRMAN S
8	change any numbers, then the verbiage won't match,	8	shall be five Board
9	unless we change the verbiage. I'm not even sure we	9	may hire up to 130 s
10	need to do that.	10	So, in any ever
11	What I think we need to do most importantly is	11	though, if we had 13
12	decide on, well, what is the authority for me as the	12	you know, there wo
13	chief executive down through the office directors, what	13	not we violated the
14	authority is the Board giving us to hire people and fill	14	separate problem, bi
15	positions.	15	people on the payrol
16	And so if we can end up with a series of one or	16	that supports 118 or
17	more amendments that ends up with numbers that we	17	extra bodies.
18	support, or even to continue this in Yellow Folder and	18	And that's the
19	go back through an iteration of the numbers that we	19	we need to consider
20	support. I think that's the most important thing we can	20	acceptable, I don't k
21	decide as it pass if this document as given to us	21	because we realize t
22	were to pass, I'm not sure what the Board would be	22	got to that point, say
23	telling me in my role as chief executive that I can do.	23	now and we had 122
24	Can I go off and hire 12 more people to be in the Office	24	that we would expec
25	of the Technical Director?	25	following year was
	190		
1	MD SANTOS: Dight	1	nothing and attrition

1	MR. SANTOS: Right.
2	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Can I also hire six more
3	people to be in the Office of the General Counsel? And
4	if so, what does that do to our numbers and where might
5	we end up at the end of the next fiscal year in terms of
6	the actual human beings that are on board regardless of
7	how many FTE it might come to be when averaged over the
8	year. Because clearly that number carried forward
9	presents the starting point for the next fiscal year.
10	So, Mr. Hamilton's math says it would be 135
11	people, so if we have 135 people on the payroll
12	MS. ROBERSON: Say what? Speak, Mr. Hamilton.
13	MS. CONNERY: Twelve plus 115.
14	MR. HAMILTON: If you add on board and vacant
15	you get 130; you add five more members, you get 135.
16	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: All right, well, 130 I think
17	is our authorization, so we would be right at our
18	authorization.
19	MS. ROBERSON: I think our authorization
20	includes us.
21	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: I don't think it does, but
22	that's all right. It says how many staff we can hire.
23	MS. ROBERSON: Is that correct, Mr. General
24	Counsel?
25	MR. BIGGINS: I interpret the authorization

1	number to include the Board members.
2	MS. ROBERSON: I do, too.
3	MR. BIGGINS: Because the normal way that it's
4	communicated to OMB and Congress would be to include the
5	Board members in the overall number.
6	MS. ROBERSON: That's the way
7	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: The statute says, "there
8	shall be five Board members," and then it says, "they
9	may hire up to 130 staff." That's what it says.
0	So, in any event, let's just assume we did that,
1	though, if we had 130 staff, okay, so maybe we could
2	you know, there would be a discussion as to whether or
3	not we violated the authorization, which would be a
4	separate problem, but nevertheless, we would have 135
5	people on the payroll. And if a budget only comes out
6	that supports 118 or whatever, then we would have 17
7	extra bodies.
8	And that's the point I'm trying to get to, that
9	we need to consider I think a small overshoot is
0	acceptable, I don't know what that number might be,
1	because we realize that we're always in flux, and if we
2	got to that point, say we were sitting here a year from
3	now and we had 122 people on the payroll, and the FTE
4	that we would expect to be appropriating for the
-	

119, well, we could probably just do

	172
1	nothing and attrition would get us to where we wouldn't
2	overspend, but if we're at 135, we might have a
3	different problem.
4	So as a Board, all I'm saying is we need to
5	figure out what numbers those match do those become
6	in order to try to make that be reasonable at the end of
7	the fiscal year. And again, I'm suggesting that we do
8	that by adjusting the numbers that appear in these three
9	tables as vacant numbers and then I would interpret that
10	in execution of the staffing plan as this is what the
11	Board is allowing me to hire above and beyond the
12	positions that are filled today.
13	MR. SANTOS: So, low-hanging fruit, there's two
14	vacant in the OGM, where the current work says OGM does
15	not have plans to fill the two vacant slots. So you can
16	take two right there and cross that out. Is that
17	correct? Mr. Sklar?
18	MR. SKLAR: Yes, it is.
19	MR. SANTOS: So, hey, I gave you two. The other
20	one I bring up and I need help from OTD, I understand
21	the recommendation that they would like to keep the
22	senior level, but it's my understanding that there's got
23	to be some certifications and additional requirements
24	with other Federal agencies. That takes time.
25	I remember when they tried to do the SES

48 (Pages 189 to 192)

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

what I'm saying.

you want to say something?

going to adapt that as our model.

right? So the authorized would be, okay, in an ideal

world with infinite money, this is where we would go.

Then it's not an ideal world, so this is where we intend

to go would be the third column. If that makes sense.

MR. SANTOS: That's a good suggestion to express

MS. CONNERY: No, I'll let Dr. Poloski go first.

our current understanding ever the SL position. We've

accrued the waiting period to get the certification. My

performance system for the SL positions and we were

soon, and we just have to get more details on exactly

where they're at. But that's where we are waiting for

as the trigger to begin hiring, is to get that in place.

understanding was OPM was creating a pre-certification

That was supposed to have occurred relatively

MR. SANTOS: I hear you, but right now you could

MR. POLOSKI: Yeah, I just wanted to summarize

CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: All right. Ms. Connery, did

193		195
certification, how long that it took to get it.	1	execute on let's say additional engineers. To execute
MS. HERRERA: It took about a year. I don't	2	on the senior level, it still is an unknown when you can
know what the SL how long the SL certification	3	actually execute the posting. Is that correct?
process would take. There would be so many times we	4	MR. POLOSKI: We could execute the posting now
did start it, but then discontinued it, because there	5	if we wanted to, once we have that certified system.
was a lot of discussion about whether that position	6	MR. SANTOS: I did not know that.
would be filled. So I don't know what work is left to	7	MS. CONNERY: So, along the lines of what
do, and how long that would take.	8	Mr. Santos is saying, it also says we are short one
MR. SANTOS: To my recommendation would be to	9	resident inspector, but I had the understanding that the
not completely eliminate it for everybody, but for the	10	tech staff was actually looking to use that slot in the
next FY, you take it out, and then if that's	11	near term as a training opportunity for six months
CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Yeah, and let me just	12	rotations and potentially fill it internally. Is that
interject for a second to point out the time. The time	13	not the case?
is after 3:00, and we said we were going to end, but if	14	MR. POLOSKI: We're working on developing that
there's unanimous consent, we'll keep going.	15	detailed program for the six-month period. I think the
MR. SANTOS: I consent.	16	announcement should be coming out pretty soon. So yeah,
CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: We hopefully won't go much	17	that is the plan, just depending on the level of
further.	18	interest involved, we're not sure how many times we can
So, Mr. Santos, you were speaking, I don't know	19	cycle through, until we're at a position where we have
if I cut you off.	20	to hire a full-time replacement.
MR. SANTOS: Thank you. No, I have no objection	21	MS. CONNERY: So you could add that to your
to extending the time.	22	third column is my point.
Yeah, I guess my I would like to hear OTD,	23	MR. SANTOS: How long have we been without an
but a suggestion would be not for this next FY, you	24	S the vacant SES in OTD?
know, pursue it, and then if in the future after we can	25	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Since the reorg.
194		196
rethink about it.	1	MR. SANTOS: When was that?
CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Well, to address that, one	$\begin{vmatrix} 1\\2 \end{vmatrix}$	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: We finished the reorg in
way we could do that would be to add a third column	$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\3 \end{vmatrix}$	May.
here. So we might have what's basically an onboard and	4	MR. SANTOS: May?
authorized, and then an appropriated, if you will,	5	MS. CONNERY: Is that announced yet?
autorized, and then an appropriated, if you will,		ins. contract. Is that announced yet.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

announced?

shuffling went.

acting.

CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: No.

put out for announcement? I'm just curious.

MS. CONNERY: Is there a reason why it wasn't

MS. CONNERY: Is there a reason why it wasn't

CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So, refresh my memory, Adam.

CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: What's that?

There was an announcement at some point to fill a

there, we had two positions. Remind me how all that

was the group lead for the MPA group as well as the

performance engineering group, and so there was an

I ended up applying for that and that left a vacancy for

since the time I transferred over, we've just had people

MR. POLOSKI: Right, Chris Roscetti at the time

announcement for what became the EP group lead position.

the NFPI position, and there hadn't been an announcement

MS. CONNERY: So that wasn't during the hiring

position that -- let's see, at one point, Chris was

49 (Pages 193 to 196)

Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board

	197		199
1	freeze, is there a reason why the position description	1	MR. SANTOS: I'm sorry, Mr. Chairman, I
2	wasn't put out or wasn't advertised?	2	apologize for interrupting, because I think it's
3	MR. POLOSKI: It's something we had pursued and	3	important it's not clear to me what's I mean, we
4	I would have to defer to Mr. Sullivan.	4	have the Yellow Folder, is any action or direction to
5	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: I had not authorized	5	the staff to support our Yellow Folder process and Blue
6	advertising. We have several things that we haven't	6	Folder relative to this point?
7	talked about here, including the direction from the	7	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So we had a list of things
8	President to consider reorganization and getting smaller	8	this morning that we identified for questions for the
9	and more efficient, and my judgment was that we would	9	record. So the staff owes us answers to those
10	wait until the staffing plan to sort through what we	10	questions, and currently, we have a time frame which
11	actually thought the level of senior executives should	11	would require us to submit our amendments by Wednesday
12	be because the if we bring additional they're in a	12	of next week, so in the event that staff takes needs
13	special category, and so bringing additional ones on	13	more time to answer those questions and some potential
14	could be just setting ourselves up for a problem later	14	amendments hinge on those, we might need to extend the
15	if, in fact, we make any decisions through any process	15	time for amendments, but I'm not going to I don't
16	that might actually involve reorganizing or trying to	16	think we should make that need to make that decision
17	become more efficient as we were directed to do. The	17	here. We need to get the feedback from the staff on
18	same reason I haven't advertised the deputy general	18	what it will take them how much time it might take
19	counsel position either.	19	them on any of the questions that we asked them to
20	MS. ROBERSON: So more generally, I think I only	20	provide answers to.
21	made one comment on the staffing plan, and 115 was my	21	MR. SANTOS: I would like to add a question for
22	number. I guess that I have one question for OGM, and	22	the staff to bring to support the Yellow Folder process,
23	that is since we are a level of effort organization,	23	and it's relative to this. You mentioned the idea of
24	primarily, if we set at our continued resolution budget,	24	the three columns. I think it's a good one. So, I
25	how many FTEs could we increase? Assuming we replaced	25	would like to ask each of the office directors to bring
	198		200
1		1	
1	vacancies as time goes on, how much growth could we	1	revised tables with the revised numbers that would show
2	vacancies as time goes on, how much growth could we have?	2	revised tables with the revised numbers that would show the ideal scenario, the authorized scenario, the
2 3	vacancies as time goes on, how much growth could we have? MR. SKLAR: So, here's my math really fast. If	2 3	revised tables with the revised numbers that would show the ideal scenario, the authorized scenario, the additional proposed cuts that they might want to
2 3 4	vacancies as time goes on, how much growth could we have? MR. SKLAR: So, here's my math really fast. If we're at 114 now, and we're talking about plus eight,	2 3 4	revised tables with the revised numbers that would show the ideal scenario, the authorized scenario, the additional proposed cuts that they might want to recommend so we can align it with our numbers or
2 3 4 5	vacancies as time goes on, how much growth could we have? MR. SKLAR: So, here's my math really fast. If we're at 114 now, and we're talking about plus eight, just to make sure we land back at 114 naturally, I would	2 3 4 5	revised tables with the revised numbers that would show the ideal scenario, the authorized scenario, the additional proposed cuts that they might want to recommend so we can align it with our numbers or authorized numbers.
2 3 4 5 6	vacancies as time goes on, how much growth could we have? MR. SKLAR: So, here's my math really fast. If we're at 114 now, and we're talking about plus eight, just to make sure we land back at 114 naturally, I would say that puts us at 122. As Mr. Hamilton mentioned,	2 3 4 5 6	revised tables with the revised numbers that would show the ideal scenario, the authorized scenario, the additional proposed cuts that they might want to recommend so we can align it with our numbers or authorized numbers. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: I'm not sure what you want.
2 3 4 5 6 7	vacancies as time goes on, how much growth could we have? MR. SKLAR: So, here's my math really fast. If we're at 114 now, and we're talking about plus eight, just to make sure we land back at 114 naturally, I would say that puts us at 122. As Mr. Hamilton mentioned, adding up all these numbers really got us up to 135.	2 3 4 5 6 7	revised tables with the revised numbers that would show the ideal scenario, the authorized scenario, the additional proposed cuts that they might want to recommend so we can align it with our numbers or authorized numbers. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: I'm not sure what you want. The staff has given us an input of what they want to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	vacancies as time goes on, how much growth could we have? MR. SKLAR: So, here's my math really fast. If we're at 114 now, and we're talking about plus eight, just to make sure we land back at 114 naturally, I would say that puts us at 122. As Mr. Hamilton mentioned, adding up all these numbers really got us up to 135. So it's either plus eight or plus 21, and now	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	revised tables with the revised numbers that would show the ideal scenario, the authorized scenario, the additional proposed cuts that they might want to recommend so we can align it with our numbers or authorized numbers. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: I'm not sure what you want. The staff has given us an input of what they want to hire. That's the way that I'm looking at these three
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	vacancies as time goes on, how much growth could we have? MR. SKLAR: So, here's my math really fast. If we're at 114 now, and we're talking about plus eight, just to make sure we land back at 114 naturally, I would say that puts us at 122. As Mr. Hamilton mentioned, adding up all these numbers really got us up to 135. So it's either plus eight or plus 21, and now walking back to plus 21, we're plus 19. We really need	2 3 4 5 6 7	revised tables with the revised numbers that would show the ideal scenario, the authorized scenario, the additional proposed cuts that they might want to recommend so we can align it with our numbers or authorized numbers. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: I'm not sure what you want. The staff has given us an input of what they want to hire. That's the way that I'm looking at these three tables. I think we ought to just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	vacancies as time goes on, how much growth could we have? MR. SKLAR: So, here's my math really fast. If we're at 114 now, and we're talking about plus eight, just to make sure we land back at 114 naturally, I would say that puts us at 122. As Mr. Hamilton mentioned, adding up all these numbers really got us up to 135. So it's either plus eight or plus 21, and now	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	revised tables with the revised numbers that would show the ideal scenario, the authorized scenario, the additional proposed cuts that they might want to recommend so we can align it with our numbers or authorized numbers. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: I'm not sure what you want. The staff has given us an input of what they want to hire. That's the way that I'm looking at these three tables. I think we ought to just MR. SANTOS: I would like to ask them for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	vacancies as time goes on, how much growth could we have? MR. SKLAR: So, here's my math really fast. If we're at 114 now, and we're talking about plus eight, just to make sure we land back at 114 naturally, I would say that puts us at 122. As Mr. Hamilton mentioned, adding up all these numbers really got us up to 135. So it's either plus eight or plus 21, and now walking back to plus 21, we're plus 19. We really need to get back in the range of plus eight to be comfortable to land back at that 114.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	revised tables with the revised numbers that would show the ideal scenario, the authorized scenario, the additional proposed cuts that they might want to recommend so we can align it with our numbers or authorized numbers. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: I'm not sure what you want. The staff has given us an input of what they want to hire. That's the way that I'm looking at these three tables. I think we ought to just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	vacancies as time goes on, how much growth could we have? MR. SKLAR: So, here's my math really fast. If we're at 114 now, and we're talking about plus eight, just to make sure we land back at 114 naturally, I would say that puts us at 122. As Mr. Hamilton mentioned, adding up all these numbers really got us up to 135. So it's either plus eight or plus 21, and now walking back to plus 21, we're plus 19. We really need to get back in the range of plus eight to be comfortable	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	revised tables with the revised numbers that would show the ideal scenario, the authorized scenario, the additional proposed cuts that they might want to recommend so we can align it with our numbers or authorized numbers. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: I'm not sure what you want. The staff has given us an input of what they want to hire. That's the way that I'm looking at these three tables. I think we ought to just MR. SANTOS: I would like to ask them for another round of for example, general counsel, or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	vacancies as time goes on, how much growth could we have? MR. SKLAR: So, here's my math really fast. If we're at 114 now, and we're talking about plus eight, just to make sure we land back at 114 naturally, I would say that puts us at 122. As Mr. Hamilton mentioned, adding up all these numbers really got us up to 135. So it's either plus eight or plus 21, and now walking back to plus 21, we're plus 19. We really need to get back in the range of plus eight to be comfortable to land back at that 114. MS. ROBERSON: Thank you. That answers my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	revised tables with the revised numbers that would show the ideal scenario, the authorized scenario, the additional proposed cuts that they might want to recommend so we can align it with our numbers or authorized numbers. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: I'm not sure what you want. The staff has given us an input of what they want to hire. That's the way that I'm looking at these three tables. I think we ought to just MR. SANTOS: I would like to ask them for another round of for example, general counsel, or OTD, you heard that we still have to reduce the number
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	vacancies as time goes on, how much growth could we have? MR. SKLAR: So, here's my math really fast. If we're at 114 now, and we're talking about plus eight, just to make sure we land back at 114 naturally, I would say that puts us at 122. As Mr. Hamilton mentioned, adding up all these numbers really got us up to 135. So it's either plus eight or plus 21, and now walking back to plus 21, we're plus 19. We really need to get back in the range of plus eight to be comfortable to land back at that 114. MS. ROBERSON: Thank you. That answers my question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	revised tables with the revised numbers that would show the ideal scenario, the authorized scenario, the additional proposed cuts that they might want to recommend so we can align it with our numbers or authorized numbers. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: I'm not sure what you want. The staff has given us an input of what they want to hire. That's the way that I'm looking at these three tables. I think we ought to just MR. SANTOS: I would like to ask them for another round of for example, general counsel, or OTD, you heard that we still have to reduce the number like around eight or seven, if they can get together and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 vacancies as time goes on, how much growth could we have? MR. SKLAR: So, here's my math really fast. If we're at 114 now, and we're talking about plus eight, just to make sure we land back at 114 naturally, I would say that puts us at 122. As Mr. Hamilton mentioned, adding up all these numbers really got us up to 135. So it's either plus eight or plus 21, and now walking back to plus 21, we're plus 19. We really need to get back in the range of plus eight to be comfortable to land back at that 114. MS. ROBERSON: Thank you. That answers my question. MR. SANTOS: Actually, plus seven, because I 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	revised tables with the revised numbers that would show the ideal scenario, the authorized scenario, the additional proposed cuts that they might want to recommend so we can align it with our numbers or authorized numbers. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: I'm not sure what you want. The staff has given us an input of what they want to hire. That's the way that I'm looking at these three tables. I think we ought to just MR. SANTOS: I would like to ask them for another round of for example, general counsel, or OTD, you heard that we still have to reduce the number like around eight or seven, if they can get together and provide us a second recommendation on how to get to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 vacancies as time goes on, how much growth could we have? MR. SKLAR: So, here's my math really fast. If we're at 114 now, and we're talking about plus eight, just to make sure we land back at 114 naturally, I would say that puts us at 122. As Mr. Hamilton mentioned, adding up all these numbers really got us up to 135. So it's either plus eight or plus 21, and now walking back to plus 21, we're plus 19. We really need to get back in the range of plus eight to be comfortable to land back at that 114. MS. ROBERSON: Thank you. That answers my question. MR. SANTOS: Actually, plus seven, because I think Ms. Connery brought up the issue of the resident 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	revised tables with the revised numbers that would show the ideal scenario, the authorized scenario, the additional proposed cuts that they might want to recommend so we can align it with our numbers or authorized numbers. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: I'm not sure what you want. The staff has given us an input of what they want to hire. That's the way that I'm looking at these three tables. I think we ought to just MR. SANTOS: I would like to ask them for another round of for example, general counsel, or OTD, you heard that we still have to reduce the number like around eight or seven, if they can get together and provide us a second recommendation on how to get to those numbers. And then we get to see them. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Or we could just decide what we want those numbers to be.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 vacancies as time goes on, how much growth could we have? MR. SKLAR: So, here's my math really fast. If we're at 114 now, and we're talking about plus eight, just to make sure we land back at 114 naturally, I would say that puts us at 122. As Mr. Hamilton mentioned, adding up all these numbers really got us up to 135. So it's either plus eight or plus 21, and now walking back to plus 21, we're plus 19. We really need to get back in the range of plus eight to be comfortable to land back at that 114. MS. ROBERSON: Thank you. That answers my question. MR. SANTOS: Actually, plus seven, because I think Ms. Connery brought up the issue of the resident inspector, so yeah. MS. CONNERY: Take the four away. MR. SANTOS: Okay. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	revised tables with the revised numbers that would show the ideal scenario, the authorized scenario, the additional proposed cuts that they might want to recommend so we can align it with our numbers or authorized numbers. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: I'm not sure what you want. The staff has given us an input of what they want to hire. That's the way that I'm looking at these three tables. I think we ought to just MR. SANTOS: I would like to ask them for another round of for example, general counsel, or OTD, you heard that we still have to reduce the number like around eight or seven, if they can get together and provide us a second recommendation on how to get to those numbers. And then we get to see them. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Or we could just decide what we want those numbers to be. MR. SANTOS: And we will decide through our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 vacancies as time goes on, how much growth could we have? MR. SKLAR: So, here's my math really fast. If we're at 114 now, and we're talking about plus eight, just to make sure we land back at 114 naturally, I would say that puts us at 122. As Mr. Hamilton mentioned, adding up all these numbers really got us up to 135. So it's either plus eight or plus 21, and now walking back to plus 21, we're plus 19. We really need to get back in the range of plus eight to be comfortable to land back at that 114. MS. ROBERSON: Thank you. That answers my question. MR. SANTOS: Actually, plus seven, because I think Ms. Connery brought up the issue of the resident inspector, so yeah. MS. CONNERY: Take the four away. MR. SANTOS: Okay. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: All right, is there any 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ \end{array} $	revised tables with the revised numbers that would show the ideal scenario, the authorized scenario, the additional proposed cuts that they might want to recommend so we can align it with our numbers or authorized numbers. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: I'm not sure what you want. The staff has given us an input of what they want to hire. That's the way that I'm looking at these three tables. I think we ought to just MR. SANTOS: I would like to ask them for another round of for example, general counsel, or OTD, you heard that we still have to reduce the number like around eight or seven, if they can get together and provide us a second recommendation on how to get to those numbers. And then we get to see them. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Or we could just decide what we want those numbers to be. MR. SANTOS: And we will decide through our voting process, I'm just asking to see if we can get
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 vacancies as time goes on, how much growth could we have? MR. SKLAR: So, here's my math really fast. If we're at 114 now, and we're talking about plus eight, just to make sure we land back at 114 naturally, I would say that puts us at 122. As Mr. Hamilton mentioned, adding up all these numbers really got us up to 135. So it's either plus eight or plus 21, and now walking back to plus 21, we're plus 19. We really need to get back in the range of plus eight to be comfortable to land back at that 114. MS. ROBERSON: Thank you. That answers my question. MR. SANTOS: Actually, plus seven, because I think Ms. Connery brought up the issue of the resident inspector, so yeah. MS. CONNERY: Take the four away. MR. SANTOS: Okay. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: All right, is there any other discussion? 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array} $	revised tables with the revised numbers that would show the ideal scenario, the authorized scenario, the additional proposed cuts that they might want to recommend so we can align it with our numbers or authorized numbers. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: I'm not sure what you want. The staff has given us an input of what they want to hire. That's the way that I'm looking at these three tables. I think we ought to just MR. SANTOS: I would like to ask them for another round of for example, general counsel, or OTD, you heard that we still have to reduce the number like around eight or seven, if they can get together and provide us a second recommendation on how to get to those numbers. And then we get to see them. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Or we could just decide what we want those numbers to be. MR. SANTOS: And we will decide through our voting process, I'm just asking to see if we can get them also from them.
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	 vacancies as time goes on, how much growth could we have? MR. SKLAR: So, here's my math really fast. If we're at 114 now, and we're talking about plus eight, just to make sure we land back at 114 naturally, I would say that puts us at 122. As Mr. Hamilton mentioned, adding up all these numbers really got us up to 135. So it's either plus eight or plus 21, and now walking back to plus 21, we're plus 19. We really need to get back in the range of plus eight to be comfortable to land back at that 114. MS. ROBERSON: Thank you. That answers my question. MR. SANTOS: Actually, plus seven, because I think Ms. Connery brought up the issue of the resident inspector, so yeah. MS. CONNERY: Take the four away. MR. SANTOS: Okay. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: All right, is there any other discussion? MR. SANTOS: We're getting there. 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array} $	revised tables with the revised numbers that would show the ideal scenario, the authorized scenario, the additional proposed cuts that they might want to recommend so we can align it with our numbers or authorized numbers. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: I'm not sure what you want. The staff has given us an input of what they want to hire. That's the way that I'm looking at these three tables. I think we ought to just MR. SANTOS: I would like to ask them for another round of for example, general counsel, or OTD, you heard that we still have to reduce the number like around eight or seven, if they can get together and provide us a second recommendation on how to get to those numbers. And then we get to see them. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Or we could just decide what we want those numbers to be. MR. SANTOS: And we will decide through our voting process, I'm just asking to see if we can get them also from them. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Well, you can ask them to do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 vacancies as time goes on, how much growth could we have? MR. SKLAR: So, here's my math really fast. If we're at 114 now, and we're talking about plus eight, just to make sure we land back at 114 naturally, I would say that puts us at 122. As Mr. Hamilton mentioned, adding up all these numbers really got us up to 135. So it's either plus eight or plus 21, and now walking back to plus 21, we're plus 19. We really need to get back in the range of plus eight to be comfortable to land back at that 114. MS. ROBERSON: Thank you. That answers my question. MR. SANTOS: Actually, plus seven, because I think Ms. Connery brought up the issue of the resident inspector, so yeah. MS. CONNERY: Take the four away. MR. SANTOS: Okay. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: All right, is there any other discussion? MR. SANTOS: We're getting there. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: We're also ten minutes past 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array} $	revised tables with the revised numbers that would show the ideal scenario, the authorized scenario, the additional proposed cuts that they might want to recommend so we can align it with our numbers or authorized numbers. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: I'm not sure what you want. The staff has given us an input of what they want to hire. That's the way that I'm looking at these three tables. I think we ought to just MR. SANTOS: I would like to ask them for another round of for example, general counsel, or OTD, you heard that we still have to reduce the number like around eight or seven, if they can get together and provide us a second recommendation on how to get to those numbers. And then we get to see them. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Or we could just decide what we want those numbers to be. MR. SANTOS: And we will decide through our voting process, I'm just asking to see if we can get them also from them. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Well, you can ask them to do anything, I'm just trying to figure out whether or not
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	 vacancies as time goes on, how much growth could we have? MR. SKLAR: So, here's my math really fast. If we're at 114 now, and we're talking about plus eight, just to make sure we land back at 114 naturally, I would say that puts us at 122. As Mr. Hamilton mentioned, adding up all these numbers really got us up to 135. So it's either plus eight or plus 21, and now walking back to plus 21, we're plus 19. We really need to get back in the range of plus eight to be comfortable to land back at that 114. MS. ROBERSON: Thank you. That answers my question. MR. SANTOS: Actually, plus seven, because I think Ms. Connery brought up the issue of the resident inspector, so yeah. MS. CONNERY: Take the four away. MR. SANTOS: Okay. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: All right, is there any other discussion? MR. SANTOS: We're getting there. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: We're also ten minutes past our time. So, if not, we're going to bring this meeting 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	revised tables with the revised numbers that would show the ideal scenario, the authorized scenario, the additional proposed cuts that they might want to recommend so we can align it with our numbers or authorized numbers. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: I'm not sure what you want. The staff has given us an input of what they want to hire. That's the way that I'm looking at these three tables. I think we ought to just MR. SANTOS: I would like to ask them for another round of for example, general counsel, or OTD, you heard that we still have to reduce the number like around eight or seven, if they can get together and provide us a second recommendation on how to get to those numbers. And then we get to see them. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Or we could just decide what we want those numbers to be. MR. SANTOS: And we will decide through our voting process, I'm just asking to see if we can get them also from them. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Well, you can ask them to do anything, I'm just trying to figure out whether or not we need to change anything that's in motion right now,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 vacancies as time goes on, how much growth could we have? MR. SKLAR: So, here's my math really fast. If we're at 114 now, and we're talking about plus eight, just to make sure we land back at 114 naturally, I would say that puts us at 122. As Mr. Hamilton mentioned, adding up all these numbers really got us up to 135. So it's either plus eight or plus 21, and now walking back to plus 21, we're plus 19. We really need to get back in the range of plus eight to be comfortable to land back at that 114. MS. ROBERSON: Thank you. That answers my question. MR. SANTOS: Actually, plus seven, because I think Ms. Connery brought up the issue of the resident inspector, so yeah. MS. CONNERY: Take the four away. MR. SANTOS: Okay. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: All right, is there any other discussion? MR. SANTOS: We're getting there. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: We're also ten minutes past 	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array} $	revised tables with the revised numbers that would show the ideal scenario, the authorized scenario, the additional proposed cuts that they might want to recommend so we can align it with our numbers or authorized numbers. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: I'm not sure what you want. The staff has given us an input of what they want to hire. That's the way that I'm looking at these three tables. I think we ought to just MR. SANTOS: I would like to ask them for another round of for example, general counsel, or OTD, you heard that we still have to reduce the number like around eight or seven, if they can get together and provide us a second recommendation on how to get to those numbers. And then we get to see them. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Or we could just decide what we want those numbers to be. MR. SANTOS: And we will decide through our voting process, I'm just asking to see if we can get them also from them. CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Well, you can ask them to do anything, I'm just trying to figure out whether or not

50 (Pages 197 to 200)

	ise received receimes survey board		//20/201/
	201		203
1	answers, and we'll be making amendments.	1	just be set in the front, where everything we were
2	I was planning on making an amendment that as	2	actually given then becomes an enclosure as the staff
3	far as the staffing plan that would essentially say,	3	input.
4	well, irrespective of what the numbers are, this is what	4	And then if I submit that, we can move forward
5	we're approving. You know, it would be like a cover	5	as expeditionally as possible to approve it, and then
6	page. And then the numbers that actually go into those	6	from there, we could adjust the numbers.
7	tables, we can change.	7	MR. SANTOS: That works for me, because as part
8	In other words, I was envisioning an amendment	8	of the Yellow Folder, we'll get input from the office
9	that says, look, we don't need to all try to make all	9	directors, so that will accomplish what I was trying to
10	these changes to these tables simultaneously, change the	10	do with your question. So thanks for making that
11	words and all those things. Now this will be considered	11	available.
12	forever the staff input, here's what the output is going	12	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: All right. Anything else to
13	to look like that we approve, and this is what it will	13	discuss before we move to final comments?
14	mean.	14	(No response.)
15	Now we can fill in all the numbers as a	15	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Oh, I'm sorry, yeah, I
16	five-member Board, trying to figure that out, but I	16	normally try to have the general counsel summarize staff
17	think we're going to start with I mean, the numbers	17	taskings, but in light of the time, I'm not going to ask
18	on board, we're not going to change those. I think	18	him to do that, rather I'm going to ask him to submit
19	we'll have a second column that says, this is what the	19	something that we can see by tomorrow morning. Just
20	ideal would look like if we had plenty of money. And	20	give it to us in writing, it will become part of the
21	then there's going to be a third column that says, this	21	meeting record, but this will give the Board members the
22	is what we're actually authorizing for FY '18.	22	opportunity to ask to have it changed if they don't
23	And so that second column will start with what's	23	think what we see in writing is actually actually
24	in here as their proposal, although we don't have to	24	reflects what we thought we asked for.
25	agree to that. In other words, we can change this as	25	MR. BIGGINS: I'll do that.
	202		204
1	what we're authorizing. So in other words, we can keep	1	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Thank you. All right. So,
2	the senior leader in that column, too, or we cannot keep	2	at this time, I'm going to turn to closing comments.
3	it in. Say we don't want this position anymore.	3	Mr. Hamilton?
4	Separately in column three, there will be a 1 or a 0,	4	MR. HAMILTON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I'm
5	and which will tell the staff what we should move	5	going to address my closing remarks to the strategic
6	forward to hire, zero being obvious, we're not going to	6	plan. The starting point for the development of the
7	fill it.	7	2018 to 2022 strategic plan was the previous strategic
8	MS. CONNERY: So the practical challenge with	8	plan. I have a fundamental objection to the old
9	that is if you put forth an amendment that says that,	9	2014-2018 strategic plan, namely that it declared the
10	you will have filled in all the boxes, and so perhaps	10	Board's strategic goals and objectives are to improve
11	there's an interim step where there are blank boxes for	11	and strengthen defense nuclear facilities. It is my
12	all of the Board members to see if we can independently	12	view that the Board is not chartered to improve or
13	come to some concurrence on the majority of the	13	strengthen the defense nuclear facilities. Our mission
14	positions and then it's only a matter of negotiating the	14	is limited to making recommendations to the Secretary of
15	last several positions. Otherwise, you put something	15	Energy when in the Board's opinion the adequate
16	forward, and because we're in Yellow Folder now, it's a	16	protection of the public health and safety is at risk.
17	Blue Folder, front page, with all the boxes in it and	17	I do not believe that the Board should use its statutory
18	then we can just do an up or down, which is probably not	18	power to nudge, encourage or otherwise help the
19	going to be satisfactory, right?	19	Department of Energy.
20	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: So, good point. So, what I	20	That does not mean that the Board's activities
21	can do is I can put my amendment forward tomorrow, and	21	may not add value to DOE. Quite to the contrary. We
22	again, I will start with a three-column box for each of	22	often produce products or shine spotlights on areas

- again, I will start with a three-column box for each of
the three offices, and columns 1 and 2 will be exactly22often produce products or shine spotlights on areas23which may be helpful to the Department of Energy, should
 - 24 they choose to use them, but those represent beneficial
 - 25 byproducts of our work, they are not in my view our

51 (Pages 201 to 204)

23

24

25

the same as what they've given us, right, but there will

be some other verbiage, this will all be designed to

9/28/2017

1mission.1If we can get to 50, that will be very good and2I believe my actions and my voting record over2very clear, but that's okay, too. So, I look forward to3the past two years have been consistent with this3further dialogue, further opportunities like this one to4philosophy; other Board members have a more expansive5further dialogue, further opportunities like this one to5view of our mission, and I respect those views even as I6further dialogue, further opportunities like this one to6disagree with them. These differences are exactly what7CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Thank you.7Congress intended when in its wisdom it established a7CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Thank you.8five-member board.8Ms. Connery?9As I previously said, that expansive policy9MS. CONNERY: Thank you. Vice Chairman10which came from the 2014 to 2018 strategic goals and11the former strategic plan said safety at the DNS, not12objectives.12including the DNS. I just want to clarify that.13Board Member Connery, I respected my Orange13I find this process challenging because I do14Folder inputs and expunged the references to improving14feel that more compromise is needed, and I feel that the15and strengthening DOE. As a result, I have no17lot of times I feel, and I've expressed this in my votes18the 2014 to 2018 strategic plan.19Thank you, Mr. Chairman.19Thank you, Mr. Chairman. <th></th> <th>205</th> <th></th> <th>207</th>		205		207
2I believe my actions and my voting record over2very clear, but that's okay, too. So, I look forward to3the past two years have been consistent with this3further dialogue, further opportunities like this one to4philosophy; other Board members have a more expansive5view of our mission, and I respect those views even as I5view of our mission, and I respect those views even as I6further dialogue, further opportunities like this one to6disagree with them. These differences are exactly what6Thank you.7Congress intended when in its wisdom it established a7CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Thank you.8five-member board.8Ms. Connery?9As I previously said, that expansive policy9MS. CONNERY: Thank you. Vice Chairman10which came from the 2014 to 2018 strategic plan was the10Hamilton, I think you migh have skipped a word, I thin11starting point for the new strategic goals and10Hamilton, I think you migh thave skipped a word, I thin12objectives.13I find this process challenging because I do14Folder inputs and expunged the references to improving1415and strengthening DOE. As a result, I have no1516fundamental objection to the plan as currently in Yellow1617Folder. I will not, however, support an extension of1818the 2014 to 2018 strategic plan.1919Thank you, Mr. Chairman.1920CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Thank you.20	1	mission.	1	If we can get to 50, that will be very good and
3the past two years have been consistent with this3further dialogue, further opportunities like this one to continue to provide guidance and leadership to the staff.4philosophy; other Board members have a more expansive view of our mission, and I respect those views even as I disagree with them. These differences are exactly what 73further dialogue, further opportunities like this one to continue to provide guidance and leadership to the staff.6disagree with them. These differences are exactly what 76Thank you.7Congress intended when in its wisdom it established a 87CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Thank you.8five-member board.8Ms. Connery?9As I previously said, that expansive policy 109MS. CONNERY: Thank you. Vice Chairman10which came from the 2014 to 2018 strategic plan was the 1110Hamilton, I think you might have skipped a word, I thin 1113Board Member Connery, I respected my Orange 1513I find this process challenging because I do14Folder inputs and expunged the references to improving 1613I find this process challenging because I do15and strengthening DOE. As a result, I have no15Board members need to figure out how to actually16fundamental objection to the plan as currently in Yellow 1616beliberate rather than simply give talking points. A19Thank you, Mr. Chairman.19microphone or in a Board gathering and they may be respected, but they're not necessarily listened to, internalized, and then responded to. So, while the proc		I believe my actions and my voting record over		
4philosophy; other Board members have a more expansive view of our mission, and I respect those views even as I disagree with them. These differences are exactly what 74continue to provide guidance and leadership to the staff.6disagree with them. These differences are exactly what 76Thank you.7Congress intended when in its wisdom it established a 87CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Thank you.8five-member board.879As I previously said, that expansive policy 99MS. CONNERY: Thank you. Vice Chairman10which came from the 2014 to 2018 strategic plan was the 111011starting point for the new strategic goals and objectives.1012objectives.1113Board Member Connery, I respected my Orange1314Folder inputs and expunged the references to improving 15and strengthening DOE. As a result, I have no 1616fundamental objection to the plan as currently in Yellow1616fundamental objection to the plan as currently in Yellow1617Folder. I will not, however, support an extension of 181718the 2014 to 2018 strategic plan.1919Thank you, Mr. Chairman.1920CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Thank you.21MS. ROBERSON: Thank you, Mr. Sullivan.2022MS. ROBERSON: Thank you, Mr. Sullivan.2123And again, thank you to all the Board members2324Folder I, thank you to all the Board members23<	3			
6disagree with them. These differences are exactly what Congress intended when in its wisdom it established a five-member board.6Thank you.7Congress intended when in its wisdom it established a five-member board.7CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Thank you.8five-member board.8Ms. Connery?9As I previously said, that expansive policy9MS. CONNERY: Thank you. Vice Chairman10which came from the 2014 to 2018 strategic plan was the starting point for the new strategic goals and objectives.10Hamilton, I think you might have skipped a word, I thin the former strategic plan said safety at the DNS, not including the DNS. I just want to clarify that.13Board Member Connery, I respected my Orange13I find this process challenging because I do14Folder inputs and expunged the references to improving14feel that more compromise is needed, and I feel that the Is and strengthening DOE. As a result, I have no1515and strengthening DOE. As a result, I have no15Board members need to figure out how to actually deliberate rather than simply give talking points. A I to to fitmes I feel, and I've expressed this in my votes18the 2014 to 2018 strategic plan.18and I'll express it here, that I will say things into a microphone or in a Board gathering and they may be respected, but they're not necessarily listened to, internalized, and then responded to. So, while the process isn't perfect, I'm glad that we at least are making an effort at the process.	4		4	
7Congress intended when in its wisdom it established a five-member board.7CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Thank you.8five-member board.8Ms. Connery?9As I previously said, that expansive policy9MS. CONNERY: Thank you. Vice Chairman10which came from the 2014 to 2018 strategic plan was the starting point for the new strategic goals and10Hamilton, I think you might have skipped a word, I think11starting point for the new strategic goals and objectives.10Hamilton, I think you might have skipped a word, I think13Board Member Connery, I respected my Orange13I find this process challenging because I do14Folder inputs and expunged the references to improving14feel that more compromise is needed, and I feel that the15and strengthening DOE. As a result, I have no15Board members need to figure out how to actually16fundamental objection to the plan as currently in Yellow16Heel hat the inferences it nery support an extension of18the 2014 to 2018 strategic plan.18and I'll express it here, that I will say things into a microphone or in a Board gathering and they may be20CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Thank you.2021Ms. Roberson?2122MS. ROBERSON: Thank you, Mr. Sullivan.2223And again, thank you to all the Board members2323And again, thank you to all the Board members23	5	view of our mission, and I respect those views even as I	5	staff.
8five-member board.8Ms. Connery?9As I previously said, that expansive policy9MS. CONNERY: Thank you. Vice Chairman10which came from the 2014 to 2018 strategic plan was the10Hamilton, I think you might have skipped a word, I thin11starting point for the new strategic goals and10Hamilton, I think you might have skipped a word, I thin12objectives.10I find this process challenging because I do13Board Member Connery, I respected my Orange13I find this process challenging because I do14Folder inputs and expunged the references to improving14feel that more compromise is needed, and I feel that the15and strengthening DOE. As a result, I have no15Board members need to figure out how to actually16fundamental objection to the plan as currently in Yellow16deliberate rather than simply give talking points. A19Thank you, Mr. Chairman.19Thank you, Mr. Chairman.1920CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Thank you.20respected, but they're not necessarily listened to, internalized, and then responded to. So, while the process isn't perfect, I'm glad that we at least are making an effort at the process.	6	disagree with them. These differences are exactly what	6	Thank you.
9As I previously said, that expansive policy9MS. CONNERY: Thank you. Vice Chairman10which came from the 2014 to 2018 strategic plan was the10Hamilton, I think you might have skipped a word, I thin11starting point for the new strategic goals and10Hamilton, I think you might have skipped a word, I thin12objectives.12including the DNS. I just want to clarify that.13Board Member Connery, I respected my Orange13I find this process challenging because I do14Folder inputs and expunged the references to improving14feel that more compromise is needed, and I feel that the15and strengthening DOE. As a result, I have no15Board members need to figure out how to actually16fundamental objection to the plan as currently in Yellow16deliberate rather than simply give talking points. A17Folder. I will not, however, support an extension of17lot of times I feel, and I've expressed this in my votes18the 2014 to 2018 strategic plan.18and I'll express it here, that I will say things into a19Thank you, Mr. Chairman.19microphone or in a Board gathering and they may be20CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Thank you.20respected, but they're not necessarily listened to,21Ms. ROBERSON: Thank you, Mr. Sullivan.22MS. ROBERSON: Thank you, Mr. Sullivan.2223And again, thank you to all the Board members23making an effort at the process.	7	Congress intended when in its wisdom it established a	7	CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Thank you.
10which came from the 2014 to 2018 strategic plan was the starting point for the new strategic goals and objectives.10Hamilton, I think you might have skipped a word, I thin the former strategic plan said safety at the DNS, not including the DNS. I just want to clarify that.13Board Member Connery, I respected my Orange 141111the former strategic plan said safety at the DNS, not including the DNS. I just want to clarify that.13Board Member Connery, I respected my Orange 1413I find this process challenging because I do feel that more compromise is needed, and I feel that the Board members need to figure out how to actually deliberate rather than simply give talking points. A lot of times I feel, and I've expressed this in my votes and I'll express it here, that I will say things into a microphone or in a Board gathering and they may be center and they may be center and strengthening on the plan as currently in Yellow 1617Folder. I will not, however, support an extension of the 2014 to 2018 strategic plan.19Thank you, Mr. Chairman. ChAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Thank you. 2120CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Thank you. MS. ROBERSON: Thank you, Mr. Sullivan. 2321MS. ROBERSON: Thank you, Mr. Sullivan. 2323And again, thank you to all the Board members23And again, thank you to all the Board members23And again, thank you to all the Board members	8			
11starting point for the new strategic goals and objectives.11the former strategic plan said safety at the DNS, not including the DNS. I just want to clarify that.13Board Member Connery, I respected my Orange12including the DNS. I just want to clarify that.14Folder inputs and expunged the references to improving and strengthening DOE. As a result, I have no14I find this process challenging because I do16fundamental objection to the plan as currently in Yellow16Board members need to figure out how to actually16fundamental objection to the plan as currently in Yellow16deliberate rather than simply give talking points. A17Folder. I will not, however, support an extension of17lot of times I feel, and I've expressed this in my votes18the 2014 to 2018 strategic plan.19microphone or in a Board gathering and they may be20CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Thank you.20respected, but they're not necessarily listened to, internalized, and then responded to. So, while the process isn't perfect, I'm glad that we at least are making an effort at the process.				
12objectives.12including the DNS. I just want to clarify that.13Board Member Connery, I respected my Orange13I find this process challenging because I do14Folder inputs and expunged the references to improving14feel that more compromise is needed, and I feel that the15and strengthening DOE. As a result, I have no15Board members need to figure out how to actually16fundamental objection to the plan as currently in Yellow16deliberate rather than simply give talking points. A17Folder. I will not, however, support an extension of17lot of times I feel, and I've expressed this in my votes18the 2014 to 2018 strategic plan.18and I'll express it here, that I will say things into a19Thank you, Mr. Chairman.19microphone or in a Board gathering and they may be20CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Thank you.20respected, but they're not necessarily listened to,21MS. ROBERSON: Thank you, Mr. Sullivan.22process isn't perfect, I'm glad that we at least are23And again, thank you to all the Board members23making an effort at the process.				
13Board Member Connery, I respected my Orange13I find this process challenging because I do14Folder inputs and expunged the references to improving13I find this process challenging because I do15and strengthening DOE. As a result, I have no14feel that more compromise is needed, and I feel that the16fundamental objection to the plan as currently in Yellow16Board members need to figure out how to actually16fundamental objection to the plan as currently in Yellow16lot of times I feel, and I've expressed this in my votes18the 2014 to 2018 strategic plan.18and I'll express it here, that I will say things into a19Thank you, Mr. Chairman.19microphone or in a Board gathering and they may be20CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Thank you.20respected, but they're not necessarily listened to,21MS. ROBERSON: Thank you, Mr. Sullivan.21internalized, and then responded to. So, while the22MS. ROBERSON: Thank you to all the Board members23making an effort at the process.				
14Folder inputs and expunged the references to improving and strengthening DOE. As a result, I have no14feel that more compromise is needed, and I feel that the Board members need to figure out how to actually15and strengthening DOE. As a result, I have no15Board members need to figure out how to actually16fundamental objection to the plan as currently in Yellow16deliberate rather than simply give talking points. A17Folder. I will not, however, support an extension of17lot of times I feel, and I've expressed this in my votes18the 2014 to 2018 strategic plan.18and I'll express it here, that I will say things into a19Thank you, Mr. Chairman.19microphone or in a Board gathering and they may be20CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Thank you.20respected, but they're not necessarily listened to, internalized, and then responded to. So, while the process isn't perfect, I'm glad that we at least are making an effort at the process.				
15and strengthening DOE. As a result, I have no fundamental objection to the plan as currently in Yellow Folder. I will not, however, support an extension of the 2014 to 2018 strategic plan.15Board members need to figure out how to actually deliberate rather than simply give talking points. A lot of times I feel, and I've expressed this in my votes and I'll express it here, that I will say things into a microphone or in a Board gathering and they may be cessarily listened to, Ms. Roberson?20CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Thank you. Ms. Roberson?10respected, but they're not necessarily listened to, internalized, and then responded to. So, while the process isn't perfect, I'm glad that we at least are making an effort at the process.				
16fundamental objection to the plan as currently in Yellow16deliberate rather than simply give talking points. A17Folder. I will not, however, support an extension of16lot of times I feel, and I've expressed this in my votes18the 2014 to 2018 strategic plan.18and I'll express it here, that I will say things into a19Thank you, Mr. Chairman.19microphone or in a Board gathering and they may be20CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Thank you.20respected, but they're not necessarily listened to,21Ms. Roberson?21internalized, and then responded to. So, while the22MS. ROBERSON: Thank you, Mr. Sullivan.23process isn't perfect, I'm glad that we at least are23And again, thank you to all the Board members23making an effort at the process.				
17Folder. I will not, however, support an extension of the 2014 to 2018 strategic plan.17lot of times I feel, and I've expressed this in my votes and I'll express it here, that I will say things into a microphone or in a Board gathering and they may be 2019Thank you, Mr. Chairman.19microphone or in a Board gathering and they may be 2020CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Thank you.20respected, but they're not necessarily listened to, internalized, and then responded to. So, while the process isn't perfect, I'm glad that we at least are making an effort at the process.23And again, thank you to all the Board members23				
18the 2014 to 2018 strategic plan.18and I'll express it here, that I will say things into a19Thank you, Mr. Chairman.19microphone or in a Board gathering and they may be20CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Thank you.20respected, but they're not necessarily listened to,21Ms. Roberson?21internalized, and then responded to. So, while the22MS. ROBERSON: Thank you, Mr. Sullivan.22process isn't perfect, I'm glad that we at least are23And again, thank you to all the Board members23making an effort at the process.				
19Thank you, Mr. Chairman.19microphone or in a Board gathering and they may be20CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Thank you.20respected, but they're not necessarily listened to,21Ms. Roberson?21internalized, and then responded to. So, while the22MS. ROBERSON: Thank you, Mr. Sullivan.22process isn't perfect, I'm glad that we at least are23And again, thank you to all the Board members23making an effort at the process.				
20CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Thank you.20respected, but they're not necessarily listened to,21Ms. Roberson?21internalized, and then responded to. So, while the22MS. ROBERSON: Thank you, Mr. Sullivan.22process isn't perfect, I'm glad that we at least are23And again, thank you to all the Board members23making an effort at the process.				
21Ms. Roberson?21internalized, and then responded to. So, while the22MS. ROBERSON: Thank you, Mr. Sullivan.22process isn't perfect, I'm glad that we at least are23And again, thank you to all the Board members23making an effort at the process.				
22MS. ROBERSON: Thank you, Mr. Sullivan.22process isn't perfect, I'm glad that we at least are23And again, thank you to all the Board members23making an effort at the process.				
23 And again, thank you to all the Board members 23 making an effort at the process.				
	24	and all the staff. I know this can be a painful	24	The strategic planning process, I will continue
25process, but I think there is no greater value than25to champion, because I think it's important, regardless	25	process, but I think there is no greater value than	25	to champion, because I think it's important, regardless
206 20		206		208
1 having alignment between the Board members and the staff 1 of the differences in Board members' views of how a	1	having alignment between the Board members and the staff	1	of the differences in Board members' views of how a
2 on how we're going to proceed into the next year. I 2 strategic plan should work. It's clearly necessary.	2		2	strategic plan should work. It's clearly necessary.
3 can't emphasize that enough. 3 But most importantly, I think what's necessary is that	3		3	But most importantly, I think what's necessary is that
4 I am very hopeful that this year we will have 4 we show leadership to the staff and show that we're here	4	I am very hopeful that this year we will have	4	we show leadership to the staff and show that we're here
5 Board-approved work plans and a staffing plan, and 5 trying to support the work that you're doing on a	5	Board-approved work plans and a staffing plan, and	5	trying to support the work that you're doing on a
6 although I'm very outspoken about my views, I respect 6 day-to-day basis and that we appreciate the work that	6	although I'm very outspoken about my views, I respect	6	
7 the views of other Board members, and I understand what 7 you're doing on a day-to-day basis, regardless of what	7	the views of other Board members, and I understand what	7	you're doing on a day-to-day basis, regardless of what
8 compromise is. So, I will just say, notwithstanding the 8 we may pick apart here. It's easy for us to make				
9 amendments to come, I am very hopeful that we can round 9 criticisms when we're not the ones developing the				
10 this process out, even though it may not be perfect for 10 products that get picked apart.				
11 each of us individually, but so that we can go into the 11 So thank you for all of you for the efforts that				
12 next year on as much of the same page as possible. 12 you put into making today possible, but more				
13Thank you.13importantly, thank you for the efforts that you put	13	Thank you.	13	importantly, thank you for the efforts that you put

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: Mr. Santos?

the fact that we needed to get together and discuss

is very important for our mission, for our staff, even

I respect every individual view, even if it's

different, but like Mr. Vice Chairman Hamilton said, I

think that's what Congress intended and I think we're

for us, that we need to engage more often.

stronger because of that.

MR. SANTOS: I will be brief. I want to say

thank you to my fellow Board members. This was two

years in the making for me. To me it was very overdue,

strategic plans and work plans and what have you. This

17 CHAIRMAN SULLIVAN: All right, thank you. And I wanted to thank the staff for all the work that went 18 19 into producing the things that we had in front of us 20 today to talk about, and Ms. Connery, who actually 21 worked on the strategic plan. As someone who told you 22 in the beginning that was confused on the whole concept, 23 I knew that I couldn't do it, so I really appreciate 24 your stepping forward to do that.

forth every day to help make the defense nuclear

facility complex safer.

Thank you.

Staff put in a lot of work on this. I remember

52 (Pages 205 to 208)

14

15

16

209 1 the days before we did any work planning at all, and so 2 it was a mystery to me as a Board member trying to 3 figure out what, in fact, the staff was going to do. 4 And since I at the time didn't have any staff that 5 worked for me, I therefore had no way that I could 6 figure out how to influence how the staff was going to 7 go about doing whatever was needed. 8 So we started this work planning process in 9 order to provide the Board and all the Board members an 10 equal opportunity to direct the agency's work for the next year. And I wanted to remind everybody of why we 11 12 do this. This is an awful lot of nonoversight work that 13 actually gets done is this part, which is trying to 14 plan, and so that we could have a plan -- that the Board 15 could change if the Board felt it needed to change. So this is an awful lot of work that the staff 16 17 put in to get to this point, and they got to this point 18 this year before September was over, so again, I think 19 that's a separate 'attaboy for everybody who worked 20 really hard on this process and I thank them for doing 21 it. 22 In an ideal world, in my view, we would have an 23 exemption to the Sunshine Act so we could sit in a room 24 and mud wrestle until we came to agreement. I think 25 that would actually facilitate compromise. I feel even 210

1 though we sit here to deliberate, our deliberations are 2 stilted when they're public. We are talking about an 3 agency that is only 100 plus people. We are talking about positions and we euphemistically refer to them as 4 5 FTEs and we know them all, and some of them are sitting in this room. So it's awkward and uncomfortable to have 6 7 a public discussion where we're talking about human 8 beings. 9 We had a discussion today about talking about 10 moving certain administrative positions from one place to another. I mean, and so we all know who those people 11 12 are, and I look out in the crowd and I see one of them. 13 That's an uncomfortable discussion to be having, because 14 they're human beings, they're all valued. As was 15 mentioned earlier, we have a tremendously talented 16 staff. So you're all tremendously talented and we value 17 them all, so to be talking about them in that aspect 18 just gets to be uncomfortable because anything that is 19 said is easily misinterpreted. 20 All right, so talking about moving a position, 21 for example, from one administrative unit to a different 22 one may sound like we value one administrative unit over 23 another, when all we're really trying to do is structure 24 the agency so that it makes sense in order to best do 25 the mission, in my view, and shouldn't be taken as a

1 it's this agency versus that agency. All of that sort 2 of -- anything we say, I know it lends itself to that 3 sort of discussion, and in my view, that's very 4 unfortunate because this is what we're actually tasked 5 to do, by Congress. This is what the Board is tasked to 6 do. The Board is tasked to hire such staff as it deems 7 necessary to do the work up to a certain authorization 8 limit, which is 130. And the statute is very clear on 9 that. That's what we're supposed to do. 10 And then they have this other law that says to 11 do it, we have to do it as a group in a public setting, 12 or we can do it through a work-around procedure of 13 notational voting, but there's no statute on notational 14 voting, it's just authorized work-around to the Sunshine 15 Act. But notational voting does not lend itself to 16 having five people actually get together and try to do 17 the compromise that Ms. Connery talked about, trying to 18 do a compromise. And a notational vote setting means 19 well I can go talk to Ms. Connery and we can agree to 20 compromise, but we don't have the other three in the 21 room to chime in on our compromise, and then we take 22 that compromise to the others and maybe they don't like 23 it. 24

So it becomes a very difficult process to do any way we try to do it, but I think we have to try, I think

it's required under the statute that we try. So we can 2 thank you for everybody who did it. We do have more 3 work to do, and more decisions to be made, and I will --4 I am hopeful that we will make those decisions as 5 quickly as possible, because the new fiscal year does --6 by the time we come back on Monday, we will be in the 7 new fiscal year, and we will then be in a position 8 without approved work plans and staffing plans, which 9 leaves us in a position where as those in the executive 10 chain under the Chairman, we don't know, we don't have the guidance from the Board that we actually need, and 12 that's not a good place for us to be. 13 So those are my comments, and again, I thank 14 everybody who was here, and I thank you for 15 participating, and with that, we are adjourned. 16 (Whereupon, at 3:30 p.m., the meeting was adjourned.) 20

53 (Pages 209 to 212)

25

1

11

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

211

	213	
1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER	
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I, Sally Jo Quade, CERT, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings were recorded by me via stenotype and reduced to typewriting under my supervision; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which these proceedings were transcribed; and further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action. S/Sally Jo Quade SALLY JO QUADE, CERT	
25		
		54 (Page 213)

				[214]
A	124:24	112:5 114:24	45:9	afford 94:20
a.m 109:18	achieving 23:14	154:13 162:23	addresses 20:7	afternoon 40:8
ability 89:17 148:4	acquisition 69:12	163:5 174:25	adds 157:8	65:23 106:22
149:9 172:10,17	acquisitions 53:16	190:6	adept 126:7	110:1
able 7:13 10:13	56:23 59:19 60:15	Adam 2:16 18:4,12	adequacy 30:9	agencies 14:25
12:11 17:11 81:24	61:2,4,16	33:13 34:6 89:12	141:13	60:21 66:25 69:25
87:18 89:2 92:13	act 4:22,23 7:18	179:22,24 180:15	adequate 11:23 31:3	70:7,10,13 98:13
	14:25 58:23 69:13	196:12	31:16 50:2 51:5	98:13 116:11,12
100:15 101:4,6,9	69:17,24,25 70:10	adapt 126:5 194:20	144:17 172:13	117:2 119:7 122:2
105:8 114:15,15	70:12,18 171:13	adapting 126:22	204:15	169:21 192:24
114:16 126:7	209:23 211:15	add 14:23 30:16	adequately 45:16	agency 7:21 13:8
136:1,3,16,16	acting 97:14 196:24	43:1 58:11 64:6	79:23	15:5,5,9,10 16:5
141:18 167:18	action 21:2 64:20	98:10 137:10,13	adjourned 212:15	17:18 21:11 35:21
172:10 176:15	80:7 84:4 137:10	150:5 154:7	212:17	58:15 69:13,24
180:3 183:1,19	169:2 173:11,25	164:21 170:11	adjudicated 63:21	70:13 72:13 73:20
188:16	174:7 199:4 213:7	188:13 190:14,15	adjudications 63:5	73:24 74:3 75:11
aboard 184:8	213:11	194:3 195:21	adjust 21:20 23:17	75:17,20,23,24
absent 70:20 100:25	actions 21:1,10	199:21 204:21	24:25 27:23 203:6	77:5,19 79:17,23
131:14	22:20 23:25 34:17	added 8:23 9:14	adjusted 20:3 25:6	80:6 81:18 84:7,8
absolutely 64:8 67:7	44:22 69:1 137:23	22:16 32:1 36:7	188:20	84:9 85:24 86:1,6
98:23 114:3 172:6	139:13 205:2	163:8	adjusting 24:25	86:7 87:15,19,24
accept 122:20 160:6	activities 10:7 19:18	adding 36:10 40:16	192:8	88:5 92:7,13,16
acceptable 191:20	19:22 20:8,8,10,25	142:1 198:7	adjustments 36:5,10	98:22 101:2
access 73:22 173:1	21:4,6 23:6,8,13	addition 24:17	adjusts 24:15	110:12 114:10
accessible 73:20	23:15,16,22 25:17	68:25 72:19 80:1	admin 89:5 102:5	115:10,17 116:3,4
81:11	26:1,2,4,6,9,10,22	91:10 102:24	administration	116:5 117:1,6
accidents 49:24,24	26:23 27:24 28:1	168:13	23:12 61:24 70:8	119:2,7,25 120:4
accommodate 16:15	28:11 29:1,4,8,11	additional 22:16	74:20 84:18	120:17 121:9
98:19	29:16 30:5 31:17	23:16,20 36:7	116:15,16	125:1,16 128:12
accomplish 101:9	34:24 35:16,19,20	56:10,20 63:25	administrative	128:23 129:2
101:10 123:25	36:21 37:5,15,21	64:15 65:7,8 86:13	92:18,19 93:21	128.23 129.2
128:13 203:9	38:2,2,17,17,24	88:20 89:2 90:21	162:7 180:6	148:13 152:3
accomplishes 33:16	39:15,22,23 40:14	92:24 96:2 101:13	210:10,21,22	153:4 158:22,23
account 32:3	47:23,25 50:25	105:21 106:1	advance 82:17	159:5 160:5,19
accountability	51:1,3,19,20,24	107:5,9 118:17	adversely 10:20,21	161:3 164:3
13:19 72:12	76:5 89:25 90:2	147:23 157:15	advertised 186:11	169:14,21 173:5
accountable 134:3	91:21,24 136:18	168:15 178:23	197:2,18	183:20 210:3,24
accountant 71:20	148:3,9,11,16,17	179:13 180:24	advertising 197:6	211:1,1
accountant-type	151:8 152:2,3,9	181:14 182:17	advice 14:11 187:13	-
71:24	204:20	181:14 182:17	advice 14.11 187.15 advise 137:2	agency's 28:7 88:2 209:10
accounting 59:22	activity 21:13 35:13	195:1 197:12,13	advise 157.2 advising 76:4	agenda 3:3 6:8
60:3	40:19 48:5,11	200:3	advocate 114:12	12:17 52:14 72:5
accrued 72:14	51:14 148:23	address 13:21 31:15	120:14	91:8 109:5 110:4
194:17	150:14 158:20	42:6 45:8 60:1	advocated 145:4	110:16 165:3
accurate 59:22	150:14 158:20	107:1 125:21		aggressive 105:10
achieve 34:22 35:3	actual 36:3 38:15	194:2 204:5	advocating 118:22 affect 10:21 23:20	88
39:19 121:14	41:23 49:14 78:21	addressed 10:18,25	84:12	aggressively 54:2 91:20
	+1.23 +7.14 /0.21	auuresseu 10.10,23	07.12	91.20

9/28/2017

				[215]
ago 78:7 104:3	alternative 137:13	114:16 129:5,6	appraisal 13:19	19:10 20:15,18
137:16 176:14	142:2	150:2,19 159:23	15:22 16:2 59:11	21:25 22:5,12
agree 108:1 113:19	alternatives 86:16	199:13	appraisals 13:3	24:16,18 27:8,18
114:17,20 116:22	amalgam 8:17	answers 109:1	appreciate 58:20	28:6 29:3 31:11
117:18,19 118:5,6	amalgamation 7:2	134:23 157:17	64:5 136:21	33:15 37:3 53:19
119:22 121:7,17	Amazon 66:15	198:12 199:9,20	148:15 208:6,23	54:19 59:10 60:13
122:24 152:14	ambition 66:7	201:1	approach 19:9	61:17 90:22,23
170:8 172:20	amend 131:15	anticipate 38:10	25:22 33:15 54:3	91:4,9,11,15,24
174:9 201:25	amendment 131:16	48:10 74:20	74:18	92:18 95:13 115:1
211:19	140:25 144:11	173:14	appropriate 20:6	124:7 136:2
agreed 6:20 8:5,6,15	184:18 201:2,8	anticipated 87:25	24:13 25:7 54:1	158:17 161:25
agreement 209:24	202:9,21	anticipating 48:14	78:18 79:18 80:7	162:19 204:22
agreements 74:25	amendments 132:3	anybody 109:7,11	appropriated 194:5	arena 76:3
76:1 98:12	143:13 189:17	117:4 125:1	appropriately 24:23	argued 152:25
ahead 52:8 56:10	199:11,14,15	130:13 139:18	38:4 51:4 54:22	arrangement 93:23
57:6 59:13,18	201:1 206:9	158:10 159:18	82:14 160:20	arrival 54:11
64:24 82:10 129:3	amount 26:22 27:1	160:2,7 170:22	appropriating	arrived 56:18
130:18 161:25	48:4 49:19 68:25	173:19 176:7	191:24	articulated 119:11
166:19 183:11	77:3,11 89:8 98:21	182:10,10 183:6	approval 86:14	articulates 114:8
185:5	145:10 160:16	184:19 185:24	approve 107:19	artificially 43:3
aid 68:24	168:10,21	187:4	143:14 147:14	aside 44:24 129:7
aim 55:24	amounts 121:22	anymore 202:3	189:4 201:13	asked 49:5 50:12
aimed 35:20	analysis 10:9 11:5,9	anyway 169:10	203:5	52:19 108:16
ain't 163:9	11:10 12:2 19:5	176:19 177:6	approved 21:2 25:4	139:8 142:21
Alamos 28:22,24	27:12,13 30:25	apart 32:10 113:9	66:16 107:8 112:7	151:19 157:15,19
29:9 44:6 139:11	32:20 44:25 67:22	113:11 121:6	141:15 147:10	178:9 199:19
align 126:4 200:4	70:5 75:5 91:8	208:8,10	149:18 153:13	203:24
aligned 11:19 116:6	148:14 154:17,23	apologize 199:2	212:8	asking 14:11 17:2
122:21 149:10	172:16,18 181:21	Apparently 44:13	approving 106:17	44:19 68:10 69:21
alignment 125:16	analyst 103:20	110:11	189:5 201:5	88:13 94:16
206:1	analyze 11:11 38:20	appeal 160:7	approximately	101:18 126:11
allegation 175:11	48:16 55:9	appear 42:16	19:18 30:5 72:18	128:14,24 158:5
allegations 171:10	anchor 138:12	144:14 192:8	72:20	181:11,13 182:1,4
171:11,14,18,25	and/or 90:25 127:12	appearances 33:3	area 9:10 27:12,16	182:6 186:8
172:5,14 174:2	anemic 113:20	appears 61:18 176:4	27:17,21 28:12,13	200:19
175:1,6,9	announced 4:17	179:11	28:15,18 29:20	aspect 116:4 210:17
allocation 26:24	196:5,8	applaud 94:8,14	31:1,11,18 33:16	aspects 22:4 56:5
27:7 29:5 30:6	announcement	applicable 75:5	34:5,25 35:8,13,17	62:13 64:7
31:18 33:21 35:9	195:16 196:11,13	79:20 159:4	35:18 39:18 43:17	aspire 9:6 95:9
allotted 5 :10	196:20,22	applicants 84:16,22	53:22 54:10,12,17	assay 90:18
allow 20:20 81:7	annual 13:1,17	application 80:6	56:3 61:2,5 75:18	assembly 41:23
allowed 138:6	14:15 21:5,9,11	applies 70:6 117:1	143:6 146:19	assess 156:21
allowing 192:11	91:7	apply 13:7 47:4	151:22 160:16,25	assessing 93:2
allows 92:6 129:14	answer 14:8,17,19	94:13 95:6 162:14	161:8 173:25	assessment 41:25
alluded 151:18	41:18 43:25 49:3	applying 84:10	174:5 175:13	44:21 45:1 84:4
already-important	52:1 66:13 71:18	196:21	186:19,21	139:11,13 146:12
86:18	93:17 95:21	appointees 74:24	areas 10:1 11:17	156:7,9 158:20
	75.17 75.21	""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""	uivas 10.1 11.1/	150.7,9 150.20

9/28/2017

				[216]
assessments 23:21	attrition 23:25	33:4 34:20 41:15	115:16 135:23	192:11
23:24	75:23 90:4,20	43:16 51:7 52:13	143:5 151:21	Biennial 44:6
asset 160:19	104:16,17 107:11	60:23 62:25 69:11	176:3 208:6,7	139:10
assign 32:15	192:1	76:8 81:17 82:12	bee 181:3	big 47:15 53:14 56:3
assigned 15:1 44:20	audience 42:23	83:16,19 89:10	began 56:17	58:18 67:15
90:1 101:8 111:10	audit 58:8	105:4 108:18	beginning 28:14	108:10,12 119:18
114:25 125:1	audits 23:23	109:16 111:3,12	81:8 89:21 116:14	125:3 148:12
assignment 101:17	authorities 73:24	111:13 113:23	188:16 208:22	162:24
assignments 86:14	79:24	120:23 134:24	begins 30:1 52:19	bigger 17:11
assist 79:2 89:2	authority 188:21	139:8 144:9	beings 181:7 190:6	Biggins 2:11 4:15
assistance 41:16,17	189:12,14	155:21 159:14	210:8,14	14:23 16:3 52:18
87:20	authorization	160:4 162:4 166:2	believe 7:11,24 8:22	69:23 70:3,4,19
assistant 86:11	190:17,18,19,25	175:21 182:5	9:8 10:23 12:13	72:8 76:18 77:21
88:21 97:18 102:3	191:13 211:7	187:11 188:8	17:10 32:22 40:16	78:10 79:13 80:23
108:3	authorized 64:24	189:19 198:5,9,10	48:2 64:21 65:18	83:20,25 84:1
associate 2:17 41:17	88:19 107:13	198:11 212:6	69:4 80:17 81:6,22	93:24 100:10,20
46:18 97:18	194:5,6 197:5	backfill 181:2 182:2	83:19 85:11 87:23	107:1,4 108:1
180:23	200:2,5 211:14	background 71:24	96:12,16 98:24	109:8 110:9 112:9
associated 21:16	authorizing 201:22	103:11 115:5	108:23 111:10	184:7 190:25
23:9 35:1 39:16	202:1	backlog 63:10	112:11 114:7,17	191:3 203:25
78:22 95:4,7 135:1	automatically	balance 55:23	117:5,7,11,12	bike 166:6
associating 27:14	150:15 160:3	balancing 94:17	118:9 122:15	billets 181:5
association 140:5	availability 87:4	BAM-5 91:18	129:15 131:24	binned 34:8 42:18
assume 69:24 105:6	available 20:6 28:2	base 159:4	136:7,12 154:9	43:14
115:16 116:2	38:25 55:21 65:22	based 18:24 19:17	204:17 205:2	bins 42:18
191:10	105:9 165:5	21:3,13,22 22:16	believers 88:24	bit 8:22 32:13 42:7
assuming 57:7	203:11	23:7 24:14 37:1	benchmark 58:12	52:8 55:3 60:7,12
104:21 133:4	avenue 1:18 138:14	41:22 44:25 81:22	65:17	63:17 64:2 65:13
138:3 197:25	avenues 84:6	87:2 90:19 96:3	benchmarked 58:15	67:24 68:1 112:19
assumption 104:17	average 58:11	104:20 113:19	68:18	118:7,19,19
129:7	averaged 190:7	121:9 123:10	benchmarking	122:13 138:5,17
assurance 22:1	averages 162:8	137:13 139:21	60:22	143:24 148:2,3
31:13 32:21 75:6	184:14	144:16 149:22	beneficial 204:24	149:9 163:7
91:13 92:1 102:20	aware 70:1 132:22	159:18 173:9	benefit 43:22 56:7	165:18 180:19
astray 69:24	133:10	176:2 177:4	67:5,22 68:4,7	blank 202:11
attaboy 209:19	awareness 42:12	baseline 89:20	69:2,8 87:12 114:9	Blue 131:21 199:5
attention 45:10 61:1	awful 141:21 143:3	103:15	114:9,10 148:22	202:17
63:15 64:4 82:16	151:22 171:13	baselining 103:12	best 14:5 20:12	board 1:7 2:3,6,7,8
137:17 139:8	209:12,16	bases 21:13,18 30:9	68:23 69:8 79:14	2:10 3:18 4:5,8,9
144:19 162:12	awkward 210:6	48:1 51:1 91:8,12	82:12 86:5 88:4,4	4:10,12,13,20,24
166:12,18	ax 146:3	92:1 93:11,11	123:20 210:24	5:2,10,11,17,18
attorney 77:3 213:9	axis 26:25	basic 95:5	better 43:25 60:8	6:12,18,22 7:3,15
attorney/client	uais 20.23	basically 41:4,12	68:13 86:21 118:9	7:18,19 8:5,12,15
14:10	В	175:1 194:4	120:5 130:20	8:21,23,24 9:2,5,5
attorneys 84:9	bachelor's 92:5	basics 111:12,13	132:19 135:20	9:9,14,19,24 10:2
87:17 109:7	back 9:25 11:24	113:23	150:7 160:16	10:22 11:8,18 12:7
attracting 92:9	12:16 15:8 32:2	basis 27:23 49:20	beyond 38:1,2 70:9	12:9,10,13 16:13
atti atting 12.1				12.7,10,13 10.13

_

				[217]
16:16,23 17:24	155:9,22,25 156:5	books 179:18	39:24 53:14	57:16 98:17
20:8 21:2,2,2,4,6	156:10 157:12	180:17	brought 64:14	124:14 154:12
22:17,21,21 25:3	158:1 160:8 163:8	bother 134:2	105:13 140:11,19	180:22
25:11 27:25 28:2	167:2,19 168:15	bottom 46:3,22	140:19 184:8	calls 137:21 168:5
28:19 29:23 30:13	168:21,23,24	148:16	198:15	cancelled 72:23
31:21 32:8 33:18	169:4,8,12,13,25	boundaries 67:13	Bruce 2:5 4:8	candidate 19:21
34:6,16 35:6,24	172:6,16,21	box 202:22	budget 7:23 15:17	104:13
36:4 37:16 38:5	173:25 174:9,16	boxes 202:10,11,17	15:18,20 53:15	candidates 92:10
40:5,13 45:21	175:23,25 176:1	bread 11:6	59:18 60:14 61:15	capabilities 94:24
46:24 48:14,25	176:17 177:23,25	break 18:9 52:9	69:11 82:18 99:7	148:5
49:4 50:9 52:4	178:11 179:13	82:13 86:12 98:9	99:20 100:4	capability 23:4 24:1
53:4 54:4,8 55:11	182:22 185:17,23	breakdown 51:23	106:15 107:24	55:8 56:1 57:25
56:19,21 57:8	186:3 187:19,20	brief 88:8,9,10	112:17 188:23	66:6 91:3,15
58:20 60:23 65:20	187:22,23,23	132:7 174:22	191:15 197:24	capacity 5:15,17
67:23 72:9,20,20	188:17,20,21	206:15	build 26:7 42:21	24:1,21 91:15
72:21,21 73:7,22	189:14,22 190:6	briefed 54:4	53:2,7 54:14 56:13	capital 125:16
74:13,15,17,18	190:14 191:1,5,8	briefing 22:18 67:23	162:17 171:6	Capstone 54:3,6
76:2,6,24 77:1	192:4,11 201:16	140:14 177:25	building 168:23	capture 55:8 72:15
78:1,5,5,6,16 79:4	201:18 202:12	briefings 72:21 73:7	built 74:10 161:9	81:17
81:11,21,23 82:19	203:21 204:12,17	125:22 157:7	bulk 82:15	captured 15:6 40:18
84:11,23,25 85:6	205:4,8,13,23	briefly 8:1 39:8	bunch 128:21	79:21 137:11,12
85:12,16 86:16	206:1,7,16 207:15	111:25	164:23	capturing 81:14
88:17,20,21 94:9	207:19 208:1	bring 41:19 45:10	burden 87:10	card 175:5
102:3,17,24,25	209:2,9,9,14,15	54:22 57:23 60:18	bureaucracy 160:8	care 101:7 134:4
103:1 105:8	211:5,6 212:11	61:1 63:15 64:3	bureaucratic 170:5	career 92:4,13 95:15
106:16 107:8,11	board's 4:15,16,18	69:15 71:7 82:11	burnout 87:11	cares 109:12 141:2
107:13 109:16	4:22,23 6:5 18:18	84:23 136:16	business 1:10 4:6,14	carried 190:8
110:10,17,23	18:25 19:1,16 20:5	139:7 141:5	4:17,21 5:1 9:13	carry 65:13 78:17
111:6,10,21 112:7	20:13 21:22 23:18	144:18 153:22	84:4 114:7 175:5	119:10
113:8 114:2,8,9	25:16 28:8 30:23	159:10 164:17	busy 29:13 75:25	carrying 65:12
116:1,17,19,21	31:15 33:10 34:11	166:2 172:16	butter 11:6	case 107:18 120:1
117:8,18 118:6,13	34:19 35:2,3,7	182:4,17 184:15	button 168:17	134:18 146:2
118:14 119:3,6	37:23 45:10 63:15	189:1 192:20	buys 119:19	152:20 156:14,16
120:23 122:22	86:11,19 89:13,18	197:12 198:23	byproducts 204:25	156:20,22,22
123:1 124:8,21,23	90:14,19 102:4	199:22,25		157:1 165:17
125:8,23 126:20	108:3 137:1 139:8	bringing 69:19	C	168:3,8 186:8
131:15 132:15	204:10,15,20	138:23 141:9	C 2:1 4:1	195:13
133:18 134:23	Board-approved	168:14 175:9	C.F.R 168:1	case-by-case 70:11
135:9,25 136:20	206:5	179:12 197:13	cadre 32:20 182:2	151:21
137:6,10,17,19	Board-level 85:9	broad 129:12	call 6:9 13:15,16	cases 16:16 73:1
139:17,17,19	149:1 155:20	138:12 148:23,23	46:17 66:20 82:4	74:6 76:20 143:21
141:9 142:24	bodies 64:18 103:7	broadened 92:12	111:5 113:2	cataloguing 80:15
143:23 144:21,23	104:2 166:23	broader 17:17	117:15 132:21	categories 51:18
145:13 146:12	168:15 181:17,23	broadly 110:16	134:14 175:6	categorize 51:22
147:9,21 149:10	183:17 191:17	broke 46:1 95:22	181:3	categorized 27:11
149:13,17,19,21	body 98:6 164:2	103:12	called 32:13 34:22	29:14 35:17 37:24
150:6,8 153:18,23	bone 171:20	broken 18:15 39:11	43:7 51:18 54:3	category 53:21
	•	•	•	•

	J			[218]
74.17 75.1 0 10	17.1 (22.10.1	174.00 175.0 15	27.24.29.1.1.4	-1: f
74:17 75:1,9,18	17:1,6,23 18:1	174:23 175:2,15 176:24 177:22	27:24 28:1,1,4 59:5 142:22 159:6	clarified 140:24
152:16 156:20 197:13	35:23 36:19,20	178:15,19 179:9	201:10	clarify 106:19 118:21 165:2
cause 79:2	37:10,13 39:2,3 40:1 41:15 43:24	178.15,19 179.9		207:12
CDNS 45:5,12	40.1 41.15 45.24 44:10,15 45:23	183:21 184:24	changing 25:1 126:2 132:24 141:22	classified 54:23
CERT 2:25 213:3	46:17 47:6 48:19	185:5,22 186:10	132.24 141.22	79:16,19
213:17	49:3 50:3 51:25	185.3,22 180.10	characterization	cleaning 7:8
certain 54:18,19	52:2,4,7,13,18,24	188:7 190:2,16,21	93:8	cleans 29:25
86:4 88:25 89:1	53:1 61:10 62:4,7	191:7 193:12,17	characterized 175:1	cleanup 29:22
94:24 126:21	62:10 64:10,11	194:2,12 195:25	charge 60:13,14,14	clear 56:24 62:2
150:25,25 151:8	65:1 66:1,3,4 68:6	196:2,6,9,12 197:5	chart 25:25 26:23	63:16 78:15,16
150:25,25 151:8	68:10,15 69:10	198:19,22 199:1,7	27:6 29:8,13 30:12	85:11,13 106:14
210:10 211:7	70:22 72:1,4,8,9	200:6,16,21	33:22 35:13 38:15	111:9 121:19
certainly 14:1,2,4	73:4,4 76:10,13,18	202:20 203:12,15	42:16 44:9 46:5	126:8,24 134:11
14:20 42:2 49:2,17	77:15 78:3 79:5	202:20 205:12,15	101:15 104:22	134:18 135:16
53:23 56:5,18	80:9 81:25 82:2,5	204.1,4 203.19,20	chartered 204:12	148:21 149:20
58:13,14,21 59:2,6	83:22,25 84:1 88:6	208:17 212:10	charts 38:14,21 41:9	167:13 170:17
59:16,20 60:19,25	88:7 89:10 93:25	challenge 10:17	47:12	178:23 181:12
65:20 68:3 69:8,23	94:3,5 96:7,9	60:1 65:19 80:18	check 44:14 45:14	182:8 199:3 207:2
71:10,12 72:16	98:10 99:3 101:22	80:19,23 163:2	105:16 137:5	211:8
78:10 102:4,6	102:10,14 103:25	182:21 202:8	chew 183:16	clearance 54:24
106:8 107:3 122:9	104:8,11 105:18	challenges 24:19	chief 44:5,21 60:6,9	62:21 63:25 65:12
139:16,17 170:22	106:4,13,24 107:2	74:4 182:19	64:13 69:12	clearances 62:17,18
certificate 101:5	107:3,16 108:1	challenging 161:5	139:10 189:13,23	62:24 63:17,20
213:1	109:2,4,8,10,14	207:13	chime 185:24	clearer 135:15
certification 193:1,3	110:3,9,15 111:21	champion 207:25	211:21	clearly 33:22 119:11
194:17	115:3 121:19	championing	choice 177:17	171:11,15,18
certifications	123:5,21 124:21	111:24	choose 204:24	175:18 176:25
184:11 192:23	126:13 127:9,14	chance 8:24 119:20	chopped 142:25	189:7 190:8 208:2
certified 66:14,19	127:21,25 128:4,8	change 40:16 90:2	chosen 69:6	clears 63:23
180:4 195:5	128:20 130:13,16	116:4,19 120:7,13	Chris 46:15,18,21	clever 82:10
certifies 66:17	130:22 131:4,12	126:2 128:19	196:14,17	close 137:8 138:1
certify 213:3	132:20 133:13,14	129:2 134:17	CHRISTOPHER	198:24
CFO 60:18,21,21	134:8,22 135:4,6	137:2 142:7	2:17	closed 9:3 53:23
69:13,15,16,17,20	137:20 138:18	158:15,15 159:2	chronologically	108:25 186:23
69:24,25 70:10,12	139:7 141:1,8	160:16 174:18,21	9:20	187:1
70:14,16,18,25	142:16 144:4	177:8 178:4	chunk 47:15 49:15	closely 56:5 79:17
71:7,16 83:5	146:8 147:2,11	184:18 187:10	CIO 66:12 67:18	closer 29:18 114:15
116:11 160:22	150:23 151:13,17	189:8,9 200:23	circle 111:2	118:19 130:25
CFOs 71:7,21	153:11 154:12	201:7,10,18,25	circumstances	131:2 162:11
chain 86:12 212:10	155:5,15 157:13	209:15,15	178:4	closes 50:1
chair 58:21	158:10 159:9,13	change's 120:13	cite 14:15 167:25	closing 3:20 138:6
chairman 2:4,5 4:3	159:17 160:11	changed 27:8 36:4	cited 167:23 168:7	204:2,5
4:4,8 5:16,21,22	163:17 164:14	77:7 98:8 130:24	cites 167:8	closure 48:14
5:25 6:1,3,5,11,12	165:2,13,19	178:5 187:12	citizens 114:11	138:23
6:12 12:21 14:7,23	166:14 170:13	203:22	clarification 52:19	cloud 56:4,4,5,8
15:12 16:9,21,22	172:23 173:13	changes 20:9 23:11	52:23 68:6 98:19	66:6,8,10,15 68:4
				I · · · · ·

				[219]
110:13,13	134:15 135:1	80:5 193:10	94:15	175:13
Code 159:10,10	141:2,12,16	completeness 45:20	concerted 26:13	Congressmen 175:4
162:19 178:1,3,9	144:23 155:8	complex 10:11 22:4	concluded 155:16	conjunction 5:13
cognizant 22:6	158:14 174:22	22:22 28:23 31:14	concludes 5:15 6:5	connect 142:12
coincides 116:16	197:21	35:7 43:8 49:13	25:14 35:22 93:13	Connery 2:7 4:11
collaborate 56:2	commented 12:9	72:25 208:15	conclusion 128:16	6:3,4,18,20,23,24
collaboration 55:23	111:7 137:3	complexities 63:24	137:6 144:5	16:10,11 17:4,10
colleagues 4:7 58:21	commenting 126:4	compliance 70:18	185:18	18:2 70:22,23
83:17	142:5	158:24 159:3,7	conclusions 75:8	71:14 73:4 76:9
collective 148:18	comments 5:9 8:21	175:21	137:6	80:12,13 83:4 94:6
college 92:11	17:7 36:4 40:12,19	compliant 53:10	concrete 49:25	99:3,4,14,19,23
column 194:3,9	88:10 141:23	complicated 62:16	124:20 147:23	100:3,9 101:11
195:22 201:19,21	144:6 145:10	85:24	concurrence 77:24	102:11,13 111:3,6
201:23 202:2,4	149:15 155:17,18	complication 188:2	78:2 202:13	111:23 112:13,25
columns 199:24	158:1 170:9	comply 15:15 16:12	conduct 9:12 74:6	113:7,13 118:17
202:23	177:20 178:16	53:13 58:6 162:12	86:4 113:25 114:7	118:21 124:20
combine 100:14	203:13 204:2	162:16	conducted 19:10	130:15,20,22
101:9	212:13	complying 69:17	21:24	131:2,19,24
combined 74:1	commissioning	158:22	conducting 19:13	133:23 135:11
come 4:14 8:20 9:18	25:13 154:18	component 55:12	79:24	140:5 145:6
10:10 45:12,14	commit 145:20	82:13 96:4 100:7	conducts 29:7	146:24 152:6
46:16 48:6,17	commitments 21:3	103:23	confident 59:9 67:2	153:7 154:1,11
49:13 55:6,12,19	common 125:5	components 95:14	confidential 73:2	155:2 158:4
60:23 70:8 109:16	communicate 117:9	106:9	confine 121:9	160:13 161:23
136:2 145:24	118:2	composition 25:1	confinement 90:17	165:21 168:17,19
148:18 149:3	communicated 40:4	composition 23:17 compounded 84:17	91:13,25	174:22 176:20
155:21 158:19	191:4	comprehensive	confirmation 74:23	179:1,4,6 182:13
164:19 176:22	communication	19:11 22:19 55:6	confirms 24:17	185:4,6 186:7
185:18 187:9	34:23 124:25	55:13 91:5 103:11	conflicts 76:1	187:6 190:13
190:7 202:13	communications	comprised 82:19	conforming 59:5	194:12,14 195:7
206:9 212:6	35:5 125:9,13	compromise 206:8	confused 63:18	195:21 196:5,7,10
comes 8:4 48:24	company 66:9	207:14 209:25	208:22	196:25 198:15,17
116:13 188:13,14	compare 10:13	211:17,18,20,21	confusing 64:2	202:8 205:13
191:15	38:16 128:5	211:22	178:25	207:8,9 208:20
comfortable 54:8	compared 50:14	concede 160:3	confusion 83:22	211:17,19
59:8 124:12 134:3	comparison 125:5	conceive 120:16	86:13	Connery's 118:5
175:8 198:10	competing 85:5	concept 67:17 95:25	congealed 7:10	consent 193:15,16
coming 53:11 58:4	competitiveness	115:4 116:25	Congress 15:1 21:5	consider 37:22
61:24 73:16 81:9	84:21	148:1 208:22	111:9,18 114:25	86:16 91:2 94:16
107:7 116:7 144:9	compiling 73:21	conceptual 25:12	121:12 125:2,11	94:22 95:1 113:10
184:3 195:16	complain 173:5,6	154:14,15,22	129:12,16,23,25	174:7,19 189:2
command 86:13	complaints 11:1	concern 114:22,23	152:13,21 160:4	191:19 197:8
comment 3:15 8:24	complete 95:20	concerned 28:9	175:7,19 191:4	considerably 42:3
9:14 46:11 109:6,9	completed 22:13	45:12 67:24 148:2	205:7 206:24	consideration 74:13
109:11,12 111:19	38:16,17 90:11	concerning 81:3	211:5	78:1 79:4 81:23
113:22 131:19	179:10	86:4	Congressional	172:8 186:6 189:7
133:6,10,20	completely 77:13,13	concerns 79:23 80:8	14:24 108:12	considerations
	r , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			

				[220]
26:13	continuity 78:24	coordination 77:7	213:6,10	172:24 194:18
considered 22:23	continuous 28:21	80:2	counsel's 79:12	creative 92:12
38:3 51:12 103:15	98:4	copy 55:21	166:19 169:24	credential 71:5
150:9,18 155:1	contract 57:11	core 95:6 163:13	172:2 176:8,17	credentialed 60:9
201:11	85:22 89:5,5 98:11	Corporation 65:19	count 26:20 36:25	60:18 70:25
consist 90:8	99:10 100:6,21	correct 10:15,16	37:4 107:6 163:21	credentialing 71:15
consisted 155:24	101:3 103:5,20	44:12 62:2 68:9	163:22,25	credentials 71:2,20
consistent 19:1 20:5	181:19,21,24	69:13,14,23 97:24	counted 32:6 33:6	86:2
21:6 22:8 25:6	182:4	98:16 101:20	41:5 165:12,13	credit 6:25
29:11 37:16,23	contracted 87:20	190:23 192:17	counter 146:9	crew 87:11
48:6 85:4 86:22	contracting 75:13	195:3	counterargument	criteria 15:10 21:16
180:5 188:6 205:3	contractor 60:2	corrected 80:19	60:19,20	26:19
consolidate 102:8	63:8 79:1,1 92:20	correction 110:6	counterparts 56:25	critical 83:13 85:4
consolidation	92:24 93:5,7 99:8	168:8	counting 26:23	85:11,20 172:6
101:24	99:19,20,24	corrective 23:24	37:12 97:6,8 165:4	criticality 22:1
constant 36:8,12	102:21,22	44:22 84:4 139:13	counts 26:23	31:12 32:11,21
consternation	contractor-owned	correctly 7:7 32:3	couple 30:13 38:23	33:8 42:18,20
186:19	110:13	45:15 75:6 104:1	39:11 51:18 57:6	90:23 91:12,25
constitute 4:11	contractors 55:1	164:9 180:16	58:3 59:19 65:3	93:12 99:25
constraints 23:1,3	78:14,19 80:4 98:2	correspond 90:7	82:16 113:18	criticisms 208:9
24:7	98:7,22 99:18	corresponded 40:17	135:12,21 136:10	critique 139:25
constructed 13:5	contractors' 85:23	correspondence	136:14 180:7	cross 192:16
38:8	contracts 75:9,12,16	21:9 56:16,22 57:2	182:22	cross-cutting 10:8
construction 11:14	89:4 171:4,14	corresponding 51:2	coupled 90:4 103:20	20:17 21:23 22:5
21:7 25:8,12,13	contradictory 11:18	cost 65:7,8 67:5,22	course 53:16 54:17	22:12 24:18
33:19 118:12	contrary 204:21	68:4,7 86:7 99:10	55:23 168:19	135:20,24 148:25
145:15 151:1	contrast 78:20	costs 84:22 89:3	169:2	crossed 143:21,22
152:8,12 153:8	contributed 103:22	counsel 2:11 3:11	cover 137:24 201:5	crowd 210:12
154:17,21 157:16	control 22:13 26:5	4:15 5:6,14 11:1	covered 12:23	crucial 86:23 160:25
consulting 150:6	68:20 84:3	13:9 14:9 70:16	covers 18:20 29:6	culled 140:22
contain 28:21	controls 35:3,11	72:6,7 73:5,6,10	30:7	culture 31:20,25
content 9:22 38:7	49:23 93:3	76:11 80:11,16	CR 82:25	138:20
44:13 145:13	controversial 60:7	85:1,7 87:1 105:23	craft 159:1	curious 196:11
context 87:15	controversy 9:10	107:19 110:5,8	crashed 41:7	current 23:7 33:11
continuation 100:5	convenient 153:6	112:6 159:10,20	crashes 55:18	73:23 84:2 86:9
continue 12:6 54:14	conversation 111:3	159:22 166:21	crazy 145:23	87:10,12,24 91:1
54:14,18,20 56:13	112:16 132:8	167:1,3,6,10,10,18	create 70:16 82:8	91:21 92:14 93:23
57:5 58:9 63:10	140:20 152:22	168:5,20,22,25	115:23 116:20	95:24 104:23
73:10,16 75:25	169:18	169:5,6,10,12,16	164:23 180:24	116:3 142:24
83:8 84:5 92:3,19	conversations 125:2	169:17,20 170:20	186:2	192:14 194:16
93:22 112:3	125:10 140:22	171:2,6 173:3,4,8	created 9:24 117:1	currently 22:20
120:23 132:17	conveyed 79:23	173:19 176:5,11	180:15,15,16,20	34:22 68:14 76:2
135:20 176:1	conveying 79:3	177:3,24 183:22	181:1	90:7 93:2 96:14
189:18 207:4,24	COOP 56:6	183:25 184:4,17	creates 116:15	97:19 99:7,9 108:9
continued 84:20	coordinated 75:11	185:14 186:14	160:7	108:19 140:15,16
85:7 197:24	113:8	190:3,24 197:19	creating 104:14	199:10 205:16
Continuing 29:19	coordinating 79:18	200:11 203:16	116:9 165:3	cut 193:20

				[221]
cyber 54:10,15	decision 9:5 71:11	definitions 13:22,25	deploy 54:15	determine 10:7,13
56:12 58:3,10	169:8 187:24,25	degree 42:12 45:20	deployed 161:10	137:4 165:24
cycle 135:19 153:7,9	199:16	76:21 92:5 146:23	deputies 97:17	determined 11:23
195:19	decisions 80:16	degrees 92:7	deputy 2:13,14,16	determining 136:11
cycles 111:19	106:16 124:18	delayed 85:10	25:16 73:6 85:2,3	develop 14:15,20
cyclic 143:19	153:3 197:15	delaying 134:5	85:10,17 89:13	18:17 19:23 23:24
	212:3,4	delays 84:19	180:21 183:22	25:23 35:14 49:6
D	declared 204:9	delete 137:11 140:3	197:18	91:10 129:8
D 3:1 4:1 66:6	decline 27:10	167:11	derive 37:7 39:21	148:16
daily 85:21	decommissioning	deliberate 5:2,11	derived 26:1 51:19	developed 18:23
Daniel 2:8 4:10	145:15	16:25 158:2	150:15	28:25 31:10
Daniels 93:17	decouple 60:11	185:17 207:16	describe 25:17 39:5	145:19 176:23,25
data 11:6,10,12	64:15	210:1	39:8,21	developing 26:4
51:22 55:4,6,13	dedicate 49:15	deliberating 110:19	described 40:15	32:16 38:20 39:8
66:6,7,7,10,22	dedicated 42:10	deliberations 3:18	describing 74:14	59:15 91:5 93:2
67:2 70:7,7,11	dedicating 47:18	106:20 109:17	description 68:14	94:8 120:24
181:20	49:19	110:17 210:1	79:9 197:1	173:24 195:14
database 156:4	deem 178:4	deliverables 21:9	descriptions 187:18	208:9
date 112:9,11,22	deems 211:6	51:11	design 11:5,13 19:6	development 10:3
Davis 19:24 93:16	deep 135:23,24	demands 85:5 87:15	21:7,17 25:7,12,12	28:18 31:1 35:10
day 145:1 177:16,16	deeper 8:8 56:14	demonstrate 56:21	25:13 33:12,17,18	43:10 59:16 85:7
208:14	65:20 66:19	demonstrated 56:19	42:21 50:19,20,25	92:3,4 94:9,12,18
day-to-day 176:3	defend 163:6	depart 24:4	90:9 93:9 118:12	204:6
208:6,7	defense 1:7 2:3,10	department 19:13	127:7,17 145:14	devices 57:19
days 41:6 114:18	4:4 18:24 20:10	30:18 46:24 47:2	151:1 152:7,12	devil 153:19
133:19 134:14	22:3,22 24:12 25:5	51:15 115:11,13	153:8 154:14,15	devoted 85:25
137:15 209:1	28:10 29:22,25	115:14 116:13	154:15,16,20,22	DHS 129:11
DC 1:19	30:7,24 31:4,6,9	125:25 132:10	157:16	dialogue 207:3
dead 113:20	31:14 33:12,19	137:23 140:9,17	design/construction	difference 127:6
deadline 112:5	35:7 42:1 44:6,21	140:19 141:17	25:5	128:6,7,16 132:25
132:18	56:11 74:8 89:18	142:6 145:20	designed 15:2 78:14	
deadlines 135:1	93:9 127:7 139:10	146:1,20 151:1	95:3,14 116:18	205:6 208:1
deal 34:25 63:13	145:15 150:24	152:13 153:5	202:25	different 26:21,22
dealing 135:10	204:11,13 208:14	163:24 167:9,15	designing 55:14	29:9 36:24 39:11
deals 30:23 33:11	defer 14:21 50:6	167:20 168:5	desirable 102:5	43:14 44:4,4,10
73:12,13	66:12 69:22 70:2	171:20,22 173:6	desires 49:1	50:16 87:14,17
dear 57:9	81:5 98:17 112:10	175:10 204:19,23	desk 149:5	95:8 100:23
debate 50:22 147:9	157:17 197:4	Department's 126:1	despite 166:15	103:14 115:18,19
175:25	define 19:12	departments 116:12	detail 20:19 25:18	115:22 117:8,9,25
decades 78:7	defined 28:6 34:13	departure 83:2	62:11 78:9 123:7	121:14 124:13,13
decide 59:13 175:24	38:3 67:22 68:13	depend 38:7 87:4	124:9	124:15,16 126:15
188:17 189:12,21	98:3 153:15	dependent 23:13	detailed 66:13	127:12,18,19
200:16,18	defines 20:25	146:20	121:24 195:15	128:17,21,25
decided 16:13	defining 67:12	depending 157:17	details 153:19	129:19 130:7
decides 132:15	definitely 94:10	157:18 195:17	194:22	134:9 138:5,17
146:21	definition 70:10	depends 50:23	determination	143:24 146:5
deciding 26:7	113:16 183:8	64:20 115:13	156:9	156:12 180:19
	115.10 105.0	07.20 113.13	150.7	150.12 100.17

				[222]
192:3 206:23	89:16 97:17 103:8	185:7 203:13	137:3 140:17	162:20 163:15
210:21	105:25 107:20	206:18	141:13 144:15,16	164:5,5,19 165:4
differentiating	135:8 155:6	discussed 24:8	144:18 146:17	165:20,22 166:9,9
161:11	166:24 180:25	32:22 40:18 47:14	153:16 167:19	167:3 170:7
differently 115:22	182:6,11 185:15	discusses 19:8	168:4,23 189:21	173:24 176:1,1,2
128:24	186:13 189:25	discussing 153:6	documented 154:16	181:12,22 182:3
differing 7:15	director's 18:3	discussion 18:15,15	154:23	183:14 186:18
differs 121:20	52:21 157:22	34:21 40:7 52:20	documents 23:14	188:2 208:5,7
difficult 50:1 84:8	directors 97:18	81:5 91:16 135:7	48:2,6,7,9,17 75:2	209:7,20
84:15 211:24	180:21,23 189:6	148:20 155:6	75:4 77:16,17,18	dollars 114:5
diligent 80:24	189:13 199:25	157:21 159:18	77:23,25 79:17	double 44:14
diluted 186:17	203:9	160:12 166:17	81:15,16,17 122:3	dozen 105:14,14
diminished 84:20	disagree 114:20	191:12 193:6	131:9 144:14	dozens 73:6
direct 73:12 76:15	115:1 145:7	198:20 210:7,9,13	167:14	Dr 18:4 25:22 28:5
84:24 87:5 98:11	147:11 153:1	211:3	DOD 66:24	89:11 99:5 154:19
100:13 165:16	159:15 173:22	discussions 5:12	DOE 20:10 22:20,22	194:14
209:10	186:22 205:6	22:10,17 69:9	23:7,11,13,15 24:3	draft 6:14,16,17
directed 9:19 21:1	disagreed 176:11	82:14	29:1,24,24 31:14	12:6 16:11 22:18
43:3 152:21 175:9	disagreement 85:9	display 55:9	35:6 39:17 46:25	46:10 83:20 112:2
197:17	116:1 153:10	disposal 175:10	51:3,10,13,19	112:22,24,25
directing 114:6	disassembly 41:24	disposes 29:24	54:23 62:18 63:1,8	113:2,2,2 131:22
direction 27:25	disaster 56:7	dispositioned 40:6	63:23 65:10 74:8	132:13,16,21
58:18 60:4 85:12	discerning 26:15	disrupt 74:9	78:3,4,5,11,11,19	133:24 134:14,14
85:14,16 114:2	disciplines 22:9	distribute 24:22	78:22 79:1 93:11	134:15 141:13,16
120:11 126:21	92:15	distribution 29:8	129:11 151:7	144:14,15 145:8,8
186:10,21 197:7	disclosure 73:2,3	30:12 92:1 143:17	152:22 167:2	145:11 146:7
199:4	disclosures 74:24	dive 148:2	171:14 175:12	drafted 6:18
directive 21:14 46:9	disconnect 105:19	dives 135:23,24	204:21 205:15	drain 178:2
78:12 119:17	106:5,6	divided 32:24	DOE's 27:24 30:24	draw 62:25 65:11
145:21,22 146:1	discontinued 193:5	DMZ 80:25	31:2 39:15 47:22	82:15
156:13 164:23	discounting 166:4	DN 58:23 59:11	79:25 126:5	drawing 64:24
167:8	discover 32:7	181:1 183:3	DOE-OE-1:2015	drifting 111:11
directives 21:8 33:8	discrepancy 185:11	DN-5 97:13	46:4,23	drinking 123:3
46:4,6,22 78:3,4,5	discrete 152:2,3	DNFSB 1:11 53:8	doing 31:25 39:17	drive 20:12 23:15
78:11,17,23	discretion 169:24	57:4 58:17 59:22	45:22 48:17,23	55:18 117:10
141:11,16,18	discretionary 38:4	62:22	49:9 65:6 92:13	149:2
142:5,7,13,24	61:25 73:13,17	DNS 139:13 207:11	94:12,14 96:1,23	driven 34:6,7
143:17 145:8	76:15,19,22,23	207:12	97:2 103:6 115:14	146:10 159:7
146:6 147:8 167:2	77:1,11,13 80:14	docketing 68:21	115:21,21 120:2	162:25
directly 9:18 10:23	94:18 96:3 152:19	document 17:20	121:23,23 122:6,6	drivers 117:17,17
11:16 75:22	158:6 177:10	34:15 51:14 65:22	124:5,5,6,6 125:4	drives 55:17
185:14	discretionary/non	68:20 77:22 83:18	125:4 126:7 127:1	driving 158:24
director 2:15,16,18	152:16	112:2 113:14	127:2 134:2	166:11
3:7 5:7 18:13,19	discuss 18:16,19	121:25 122:6	135:20,23 140:10	dropped 120:25
18:23 25:16,21	22:3,7 27:20 32:13	123:7,15 124:10	141:15 142:3	dropping 64:25
35:1 41:18 46:19	89:15 92:2 143:23	126:14,16,25	145:4 147:15	DSA 51:5 155:3
73:7 88:16 89:7,13	147:18,19 172:2	128:21 131:7,15	154:25 160:9	due 85:9 112:13

durations 34:13 effor	iently 35:4 rt 24:14 26:13 :10 30:16 33:5	emerging 20:8 27:24 74:4	168:10 171:20,22	enveloped 174:11
durations 34:13 effor	rt 24:14 26:13			
153:15 29	:10 30:16 33:5		173:6 175:10	environment 57:17
		emphasis 11:7 32:11	204:15,19,23	57:20 61:4,6 66:6
duties 117:25 33	:23,25 35:12	54:10,17 56:3	Energy's 46:24 47:2	67:12,19 68:2,5,13
	:6 42:17,21 45:7	83:10 125:10	engage 206:21	88:11
-	:17 48:4,16	126:3,4 135:21	engaged 118:13	environmental 29:1
e e	:13,14 74:22	151:23	132:6	environments 57:18
•	:22 77:10 81:13	emphasize 27:22	engagement 132:7	66:18 67:14
81	:19 111:24	83:6 206:3	engagements 76:4	envisioned 185:10
	8:13,14 133:15	employed 87:20	engineer 95:12	envisioning 201:8
	6:13,14 141:21	213:7,10	164:2,4	EP 19:7 37:2 181:25
	7:23 207:23	employee 75:23	engineering 18:19	196:20
	rts 20:1 31:8	85:20 86:3,8 95:20	19:7,22 25:21	equal 68:25 107:9
	:2 91:17 123:12	164:7 213:9	34:24 89:14,24	209:10
	8:15 159:7	employees 15:5,16	90:1,6 92:23 93:9	equals 82:20
1 = 4 10 1 (0 01	8:11,13	62:23 75:21 76:1	99:5,15 100:7,8	equipment 21:17
	t 89:23,25	80:4 84:13 92:5,21	103:3,18,18,19,24	57:15
	5:21 184:6	92:25,25 94:24	181:24,25 196:19	equivalent 92:20
• • • •	8:4,8,10 200:13	95:23,24 96:14	engineers 22:6	equivalents 103:3
	er 27:15 29:15	114:21 165:9	89:22,23,25 90:3,4	eroding 148:3
	:22 43:23 84:10	employers 75:25	93:2 103:21	erosion 130:9
	4:21 172:25	employing 60:1	163:19 178:23	especially 95:10
	8:24 184:5	employment 73:1	181:4,4,20,24	essentially 101:3
1,	7:19 198:8	74:6 75:21,24	182:1,3 195:1	139:1 142:17
17	orate 56:11	87:21	enhance 73:22	164:1 201:3
	3:9 111:7	enable 93:1	123:1	establish 72:11
10	trical 21:25	enclosure 203:2	enhancements	established 4:13
	:25	encompass 27:18	73:18	28:8 110:18 205:7
100 00 100 0	tronic 43:12	encompassed 31:10	ensure 11:23 22:8	establishing 89:20
	:7 58:17,19 59:4	43:8	31:15 78:11	establishment 31:5
	:4,6 62:1 80:17	encompasses 28:11	174:12	estimate 36:13 88:4
aa . 100 10	:14	31:1	ensuring 79:18	107:12
	nent 15:11 35:21	encourage 204:18	94:11	estimated 36:2
	nents 34:25 45:9	encouraged 22:6	entailed 62:12	72:20
04.10.106.17	ble 91:19	58:25 59:17 136:6	enterprise 55:6	estimating 104:13
	inate 129:18	ended 41:5 100:25	102:6	ethics 72:17 73:1
10	3:10	179:25 181:21	entire 24:23 29:6	74:25 75:18 76:3
	inating 168:16	196:21	47:15 57:24 61:8	euphemistically
101.15.16	30:2,8,13,14	endless 112:20	89:4 129:8 176:14	210:4
	il 53:24,25	ends 141:22 189:17	177:1	evaluate 24:13
	ellish 130:3	enduring 88:8 119:9	entities 54:12 58:13	104:20 137:22
	ellished 130:2	energy 11:22 19:14	62:22 66:17 67:2	145:13
1 (0 01 1 (0 1	ody 9:7	115:12,13,14	69:6,9 88:24 89:2	evaluated 89:17
	rgency 31:13	132:10 137:23	entity 59:23 65:20	151:6 166:3
101.15.16	:12	132:10 137:23	89:4	
				evaluation 22:21
105.0.15	rgent 23:15	151:1 163:24	entity's 66:22	46:13 47:2 90:12
/3	:25	167:9,15,20 168:5	enumerated 53:16	93:7 137:3

Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board

[224]

				[224]
evaluations 51:11	162:13	expected 125:24	extraordinary 83:8	121:6 168:18
event 10:20 21:21	excess 29:24	expeditiously 203:5	extrapolate 151:9	201:3
153:5 191:10	exchange 84:25	expended 76:23	extrapolated 119:12	fashion 127:2
199:12	excluding 72:17	experience 24:3	extravagant 58:14	fast 198:3
events 149:5 150:25	exclusively 146:10	87:22 92:7,8,15	extremely 161:4	fault 83:1
151:2,7 152:2	Excuse 52:18	95:16 100:20	eyeballs 163:23	feasibility 12:3
eventually 10:12	execute 34:12 89:18	149:22 183:7	eyes 124:13	feasible 19:23
everybody 13:9 53:5	115:15 119:13,14	186:15		Fed 66:19 84:7
55:17 57:10 58:20	120:6 121:22	experienced 91:17	F	Federal 4:19 85:19
60:24 67:2 94:19	195:1,1,3,4	91:22	facilitate 209:25	88:23 93:21 97:24
94:21 95:5,6 97:9	executed 119:13	experiences 117:8	facilities 1:7 2:3,10	98:22 99:17
119:19 120:20	149:8 157:10	expertise 91:11 93:6	4:5 11:14 18:24	102:18 105:22
121:1 128:23	executing 26:5	99:24 149:23	24:13 25:6 27:19	102:10 103:22
130:11,12 135:3	120:3	150:9 169:3,4	28:20 29:6,23 30:1	117:3 158:23
144:3 193:10	execution 19:16	experts 22:8 32:15	30:1,7,25 31:5,7,9	159:11 164:7
209:11,19 212:2	20:13 39:25 78:19	32:20 91:1,3,21	33:12,13,20 42:1	165:9 178:2,3,9
212:14	118:8 149:10	expired 74:19	47:4 48:3 51:2	188:4,5 192:24
everybody's 104:14	192:10	134:13	74:9 89:18 93:10	federally 66:14
evolution 50:19	executions 127:19	explain 43:5 50:16	93:11 127:8,17	feds 98:7
evolves 83:18	executive 4:16 58:6	62:11 66:10,11	145:16 170:18	feedback 31:22
evolving 157:10	59:15 86:19 90:8	78:9 79:14	204:11,13	132:2,4 177:4,4
exact 31:25	108:17 159:13	explained 41:21	facility 19:5 21:17	199:17
exactly 54:8 145:9	180:5 183:24	167:22	28:10 30:8 33:16	feel 7:13,19 41:18
180:14 187:21	184:3,9,12,16	explanation 64:9	34:1 42:22 46:12	169:11 207:14,14
194:22 202:23	189:13,23 212:9	130:8	79:10 90:9 208:15	207:17 209:25
205:6	executives 197:11	explicitly 85:16	facing 24:19 136:15	feels 9:6 161:19
examined 75:14	exemption 209:23	explore 76:7	fact 27:14 61:21	fellow 55:12 147:21
examiner 132:9	exercise 60:22 69:4	explores 75:24	69:15 77:23 88:12	169:4 172:21
example 15:15,16	111:19 122:19	explosive 28:20 43:7	116:25 132:8	206:16
21:5,21,25 37:17	126:9 153:14	43:11,17,21	138:22 139:22	felt 17:8 125:3
40:23,25 50:14	159:16 164:23	expound 79:6	141:19 144:12	136:25 174:14
61:25 73:21 74:5	exist 81:15 108:18	express 188:3,3	145:7,24 152:7	209:15
76:24 80:3 124:20	164:24	194:10 207:18	197:15 206:18	FERC 65:18 68:19
127:4,13 128:6,11	existing 33:13 100:6	expressed 207:17	209:3	fewer 84:21
150:16 200:11	exists 17:12 115:17	expiressed 207.17 expunged 205:14	factor 47:22	Field 30:19
210:21	137:21	extend 199:14	factored 183:13	fields 32:17,18,20
	expand 108:7	extending 193:22	failed 142:11,11	figure 27:2 49:11,16
examples 79:15 exceed 102:22	expanded 70:9	extension 205:17	186:2	69:5 124:3 126:14
exceeds 24:21	expansion 68:13	extensive 29:2 68:20	failure 55:18	128:10 144:2
excellence 34:22	expansive 205:4,9		fair 61:20 67:16	
	1 · · · ·	extent 76:22 147:9	68:3 69:3	173:18 182:7
111:5,16 114:21 124:24	expect 118:3 126:18 159:18 191:24	165:14 external 23:21 71:1	fairly 11:2 57:17	185:1 189:3 192:5 200:22 201:16
			68:20	
excellent 86:2	expectation 70:17 131:20 182:24	71:11 92:8,17	fall 176:4	207:15 209:3,6 fill 32:18 84:19 85:3
exception 8:16 49:1		102:19 103:1	falls 177:16	
152:17	expectations 11:20	125:9 159:21	familiar 67:14	85:19 101:15,19
exceptional 71:25	114:24 118:7 122:16,24 129:10	externally 134:4 extra 177:11 191:17	far 45:12 113:9,11	101:21 102:25
exceptions 151:20	122.10,24 129:10	CAUA 1//.11 191:1/	ini 10.12 110.7,11	158:24 180:1,3

				[225]
189:14 192:15	26:13 39:11 44:8,9	flowing 13:1	92:18 151:3	125:5 129:13
195:12 196:13	44:16 53:4 55:4	flows 15:3 39:7	154:24 191:25	free 41:18 141:19
201:15 202:7	61:11,11 72:13	117:20	follows 19:3	174:14
filled 96:21 102:18	74:17 76:25 81:16	Floyd 66:20 67:18	foregoing 213:4	freeze 84:18 197:1
107:14 178:22	83:20 99:4 110:21	fluctuated 77:5	forever 201:12	frequently 171:21
179:18,19,20,21	114:4 118:25	flux 191:21	forget 133:19	fresh 104:5 156:7
180:7,11,12 181:5	120:15 132:17	focus 20:4,4 22:25	form 81:15 133:24	front 8:19 105:12
192:12 193:7	145:12,16 148:1	24:15 27:8 34:24	151:14	111:25 126:14
202:10	168:19 194:14	39:14 103:23	forma 7:18	147:4,13 149:16
filling 85:12 86:20	fiscal 1:12 5:2,3,4	122:11 123:11	formal 25:11 34:15	153:21 185:9
107:8	18:17,23 24:23	129:16 142:13	34:16 77:23 78:2	188:5,12 202:17
filtered 76:5	25:24 28:8 58:18	160:5,22 161:7	153:17 174:2	203:1 208:19
final 25:13 35:16	72:10 73:17 74:22	183:18	format 113:3 170:16	fronts 90:24
112:8 132:13	81:9 89:15 98:24	focused 37:3 91:7	formatted 7:7	fruit 192:13
142:20 154:16	104:25 105:13	122:17,18	former 8:10 207:11	fruition 49:14
161:21 188:22	106:12 107:6	focuses 30:8 39:12	formula 154:6	FTE 37:1 47:13,15
203:13	134:20 154:24	161:5	forth 63:5 202:9	48:22,22 64:15
finalize 81:3 144:8	190:5,9 192:7	Focusing 26:9	208:14	82:19 88:20 97:24
finalized 133:18	212:5,7	FOIA 74:5 171:4	forthcoming 80:5	97:24 98:14
149:4	FISMA 58:7,10	folded 149:24	forum 22:3	100:16 105:21,22
finalizing 133:7	five 4:11 11:21	folder 7:1,4 8:21	forward 7:11,12,21	105:24 107:6,6,9
finally 53:10 57:16	12:10 30:8 46:5	9:14,15 32:8 40:13	8:7 78:17 131:5	107:14 162:23
58:11 61:2	72:21 74:19 82:19	40:15 44:5,12	136:10 149:18	165:5 181:11
finance 59:18 61:15	90:20 91:19 92:20	74:16 131:8,9,21	150:7 161:6 174:6	182:2 188:12,14
69:12	116:1,17 117:8	144:6 147:23	190:8 202:6,16,21	190:7 191:23
financial 58:8 60:6,9	119:3,6 141:11	149:16 158:19	203:4 207:2	FTEs 36:3,8 69:7
64:13 69:4,13 73:2	147:3 148:19	172:25 185:11	208:24	88:17 99:15 106:1
73:3 74:24	163:8 167:19	189:18 199:4,5,6	Foster 20:1	163:7 197:25
financially 213:10	179:17 183:25	199:22 202:16,17	found 31:17 141:20	210:5
financials 69:5,7	184:15 190:15	203:8 205:14,17	158:7	fulfill 92:16 183:18
find 45:8 60:12 69:7	191:8 211:16	folks 12:19 61:8	four 11:13 34:15,16	fulfilled 15:11
71:1 86:17 134:1	five-member 201:16	65:12 83:11	47:13 53:14 74:19	fulfilling 169:25
141:19 142:2,2	205:8	132:10 136:15,18	74:24 87:17 90:3	fulfillment 85:5
144:17 158:24	five-minute 18:9	182:23 183:1	92:21 102:19,20	full 173:24
162:18 173:7	five-year 115:6,12	185:13,19	103:2,3 116:20	full-time 42:16
207:13	115:15	follow 13:6 45:19	141:11 144:13	92:21,25 101:4
finding 163:16	fix 32:7	80:25 105:18	146:24 147:3	103:2 195:20
168:13	flaw 145:21	147:22 153:8	153:17 154:13	fully 54:6 58:17,19
findings 45:6	flexibility 74:3	158:18	181:10 198:17	59:4 61:6 159:22
fine 17:6 83:24	150:4	follow-on 70:15	four-year 116:9,15	function 88:2 101:6
121:24 132:15	flip 6:15 55:2	107:24	fourth 34:19 44:2	108:17 118:15
finish 18:10	float 129:15	follow-up 62:5	46:3,22	121:15 137:18
finished 196:2	floaters 101:17	68:17 70:23 95:18	frame 12:24 116:14	145:12 152:7
fire 21:25 90:16	floor 76:8	followed 51:4	152:8 199:10	170:21 173:21
94:5	flow 7:22 11:16 15:4	following 20:15,25	framework 82:8	174:4
first 6:7 8:3 9:21	48:11 73:18	22:12,17 25:11	Frances 19:25	functional 57:13
16:11 18:16 20:15	121:11	45:7 90:15 91:24	frankly 102:7 121:7	functionality 57:20
	I	I		l

Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board

				[226]
69:19	5:14 6:9 10:25	198:21	193:17 194:7,9,14	134:4,16 136:12
functionally 132:25	13:9 14:9 15:17	giant 159:20	201:6 206:11	142:8,17,18,21,25
functioning 184:14	21:3 23:23 52:15	give 14:7 17:14,15	209:7 211:19	144:12 147:20,25
functions 60:15	52:15 64:18 66:2	48:19,22 51:23	goal 9:21 10:4,19	149:6,14,16
71:13 84:12 88:25	70:15,24 72:6,6	57:25 61:8 63:10	11:3,5,13,21 12:14	150:25 159:14
89:1 98:12 100:14	73:5,6,9 76:11	66:13 88:14 114:2	14:14 15:10,18,19	160:20,24 161:4,6
100:23 102:4,9	79:7,11 80:10,16	124:20 138:12	16:8,17,18 28:9,11	161:6 162:18
108:4,7,9 111:10	85:1,7 87:1 90:24	143:23 144:1	29:19 30:23 33:10	163:9 166:7,18
111:11,17 118:11	98:18 101:25	175:5 186:21	34:19,25 75:2 82:6	167:5,14 174:20
123:19 129:25	105:22 107:19	187:1 200:25	114:22 120:17	177:7,17,18
169:25 173:23	110:5,8 112:6,10	203:20,21 207:16	123:23 124:2,23	181:13 183:8,15
185:9 186:3,16,18	112:16 145:3	given 38:18 44:11	127:6,11,22,23	183:16,17 185:8
fundamental 204:8	157:24,24 158:3	106:15 115:9	128:2,5 129:21,21	187:21 188:2,9
205:16	158:14,16 159:9	134:12 140:9	goals 6:19 7:20 8:18	193:14,15 194:20
further 20:19 40:24	159:20,22 160:15	170:8 188:15	9:17,18 13:5,7,15	198:23 199:15
80:22 193:18	162:8 166:15,17	189:6,21 200:7	15:9,14 16:5 19:2	200:25 201:12,17
207:3,3 213:8	166:19,21 167:1,3	202:24 203:2	21:11 28:7 121:8	201:18,21 202:6
future 44:24 134:18	167:6,10,10,17	gives 159:1	121:13 122:24	202:19 203:17,18
147:16 161:14	168:5,20,22,25	giving 114:1 189:14	125:17 126:23	204:2,5 206:2
169:22 193:25	169:5,6,9,12,16,17	glad 207:22	127:24 129:9,12	209:3,6
FY 3:5,13 6:8,14,14	169:19,20,24	glasses 124:13	129:16 204:10	good 4:3 8:6 15:19
12:24 18:21,23	170:20,21,24	GLEN 2:12	205:11	18:11,12 25:19
19:8,12,19 20:7,14	171:1,6,23 172:2	Glenn 6:9	goes 11:24 39:8	45:13 51:23 55:19
20:24 22:13,18	173:3,4,8,18 176:5	global 126:2	42:11 44:1 183:12	59:2 71:22 77:9
23:1,8,10,20 24:14	176:8,11,17 177:3	glossy 122:3	198:1	94:11 96:5 103:16
27:5,9,9 38:22,22	177:15,24 183:22	go 10:6 14:4 15:23	going 6:25 8:1 12:13	112:1 114:24
53:2 54:5 55:14	183:24 184:4,17	17:12 18:2,8 32:2	15:14 16:24 27:6	115:8 125:10
57:8,14 72:17	185:14 186:14	35:18 38:12 41:15	29:2 32:2,23 33:4	139:6 141:17
73:11 74:12 75:10	190:3,23 197:18	47:3,24 59:13 60:4	33:6 41:11 44:17	146:21 149:13
75:10 80:21 81:8	200:11 203:16	63:1,13 65:20	45:2 46:8,9,10,11	150:10 157:9
81:21 82:6,18	generalized 124:16	66:19 69:23 71:1	46:12,17 47:1,17	158:25 161:18
83:15,20 89:21	129:14	77:23 78:1 81:17	48:2,10,11 50:19	173:24 184:9
90:20 98:25 99:7	generally 87:8 179:9	82:25 94:1 100:24	50:25 51:1,7 55:3	194:10 199:24
107:10,15 193:11	197:20	109:15 110:18	56:14 59:7,9 60:22	202:20 207:1
193:24 201:22	generated 51:14	115:7,17,19,23	63:19 65:7 66:8,11	212:12
	78:6 171:19	116:2,23 117:11	66:12 71:1,3,4,6,6	gotten 132:2 177:23
G	generates 39:5	120:22 122:18	76:13 79:6 80:20	178:11
G 4:1	generation 32:16	126:9,20 129:3	80:21 83:16 89:6	Government 4:21
gain 92:6	generations 57:6	130:18 132:15	94:1 101:15	4:23 66:7,8,9,16
gained 87:21	generously 6:20	134:10,24 141:6	107:22 109:6	66:17,24 114:11
gaining 92:8	geotechnical 22:2	148:11 149:7	111:18 112:17	116:11 117:3
gap 125:3	93:8	150:16,21 161:25	113:7 115:7,11,15	119:2,9 145:23
gaps 158:24,24	getting 45:9,15	162:4 163:13	115:17,19 116:2	government-owned
gathering 207:19	48:14 63:24	165:15 166:8,19	117:5,23,24 118:2	110:13
gatherings 72:20	107:10 113:23	171:14 185:5	120:8 121:17	GPRA 14:24,25
general 2:11,12,13	149:24 160:23	187:11 188:8	128:12,15,18,23	15:2
3:9,11 4:15 5:5,6	184:10 197:8	189:2,19,24	129:4,5,8 131:7,8	grabbing 127:5

				[227]
graded 108:15	212:11	93:8	50:21 84:22	57:12 88:15
187:14	guide 119:4 128:23	hazards 100:1	118:19 161:14	182:18 193:17
granular 118:22	guides 91:10	head 14:18 37:9	182:25	horizon 39:13
granularity 118:17	guiding 33:14	119:7 138:4	highest 18:20 20:14	hour 44:23
great 60:13 63:13	guilty 158:12	152:10	21:19 25:24 26:9	house 70:8 162:20
111:1 155:15	gum 183:16	heading 16:7	27:20 119:15	HR 53:15 61:22
160:19 164:14	gut 14:19	headquarters 42:10	147:8	62:3 84:5 187:11
greater 25:17 157:3	guys 68:18 94:8,14	health 10:21 11:24	highlight 15:9 40:21	187:13,15
205:25	94:16,22 95:1	31:4,16 90:22	53:3,19,22 74:12	huge 45:17 83:11
greatest 75:17	161:6	204:16	highlighted 32:9	89:8
ground 53:23		hear 102:1 134:8	highlighting 127:18	human 58:16 61:15
group 14:3,6 26:24	<u> </u>	156:2 193:23	128:7	125:16 151:14
37:2 83:15 90:9	H 2:6	194:25	highly 82:6 83:7	160:18,23 181:6
91:21 92:23 99:6	half 47:13 165:6,7,7	heard 101:23 160:2	90:25 91:17 92:10	190:6 210:7,14
99:15 102:20	Hamilton 2:5 4:9	167:6 182:14,21	Hill 132:11 174:23	humor 171:16
103:4,24 141:11	5:19,20 6:12	185:19,22 186:22	hinders 84:2	
180:22 196:18,18	153:21 154:12	200:12	hinge 199:14	<u> </u>
196:19,20 211:11	190:12,14 198:6	hearing 72:21 77:1	hire 61:5 100:13	IACTS 155:24
groups 125:3	204:3,4 206:23	77:4 82:2 121:20	102:2 105:8 125:8	156:4,15 157:3
grow 140:1 183:9	207:10	hearings 21:5 72:23	136:9 185:2 187:3	idea 67:18 119:5,6
growth 198:1	Hamilton's 190:10	76:25 77:7	188:22 189:14,24	122:5 139:6
GS-14 108:13	hand 116:17 125:21	heavy 87:10 169:15	190:2,22 191:9	182:16 199:23
GS-15 60:13 71:10	handbook 59:15	help 20:12 22:8 56:6	192:11 195:20	ideal 194:6,8 200:2
86:21,23 102:3	handed 32:7	68:1 77:4 92:15	200:8 202:6 211:6	201:20 209:22
108:13,15,18,19	handle 11:1 40:10	99:16 112:6 121:1	hired 185:8	ideas 7:2
185:8 186:10,11	handled 86:5	123:14 135:25	hires 23:25 24:4	identification 10:11
GSA 66:16 67:1	handling 79:16,19	161:16 185:10	94:10 99:17 105:3	20:17
guardians 114:5	hands 55:7	192:20 204:18	hiring 82:8 84:18,25	identified 19:18
guess 13:21 36:24	Hanford 30:4,15,17	208:14	104:15 105:10	22:12 24:20,22
50:20 67:4 71:14	33:23	helped 19:23 41:1	160:24 183:23	37:20,21 40:4 69:1
80:13 96:3 100:10	happen 44:24	helpful 132:3 135:2	194:24 196:25	79:20 89:19 90:15
104:22 112:5	118:15 125:24	135:17,25 158:8	historic 73:23 81:17	90:21 108:7 147:8
113:8 132:12	131:17 163:9,10	161:18 204:23	historically 88:14	199:8
133:25 145:1	168:9 170:15	hereto 213:10	history 9:25 179:20	identifies 69:25
147:6 154:5 157:5	187:21	HERRERA 2:13	HLW 48:3	identify 39:17 68:23
160:1 181:3	happened 34:21	108:24 187:9	hold 4:20	78:23 90:13 124:8
182:25 193:23	143:20	193:2	holdover 46:23	136:1
197:22	happening 57:4	hey 192:19	honest 80:5 117:13	identifying 19:21
guidance 6:19 7:17	170:12,14	high 19:9 26:18 28:3	118:1 159:2	79:3 94:22
13:13,16,24 14:1,3	happens 42:2 54:9	43:11 45:20 61:7	honing 129:17	identity 174:13
14:5 15:6,25 16:4	116:21	108:14 148:12	honored 106:10	IG 79:25 175:12
16:8,12 17:14,15	happy 50:6 63:25	high-demand 32:18	hope 58:23 95:8	imagine 66:24
17:17 20:23 31:3	hard 32:18 55:18	high-level 58:3	99:25 130:12	immediate 4:8
70:7 79:21,22	59:21 97:21 118:9	129:9	142:23	immediately 166:8
114:1 130:23	144:2 158:17	high-quality 12:1	hopeful 14:2 206:4,9	Immobilization
145:3 149:1	161:11 209:20	84:6	212:4	33:24
174:10,16 207:4	hazard 29:11 42:19	higher 10:18 36:17	hopefully 55:14	impact 23:17 24:1
	l			-

				[228]
125:14 137:6	improvements	148:1,4,13,14	informed 172:10	intent 14:24 45:4
146:13 149:13,20	20:15 73:18 84:5	152:2 153:4,14	infrastructure 19:6	interagency 98:12
176:17	87:7 90:14	163:5 171:1	33:17 53:9,10 63:3	interest 21:22 27:13
impacts 24:5 90:13	improving 35:20	independently	66:22 90:9	40:5 44:23 78:4,6
imperfect 72:15	85:15 125:13	11:11 51:13 77:13	initial 75:13	143:4 167:2
implement 22:20	205:14	202:12	initially 75:12	175:13 195:18
27:19 39:22 40:14	in-depth 56:12	Indiana 1:18	184:10	interested 61:3
54:3 68:24	in-house 59:25	indicated 52:20 80:4	initiate 81:9	65:21 67:24
implementation	63:17 67:6 71:3	101:13 147:18	initiative 32:14	143:21,22 174:25
9:23 10:5 12:3	139:24	154:19	61:24 111:24	213:11
21:8 22:14 30:10	include 19:15 21:1	indicates 21:16	initiatives 19:16	interesting 38:13
31:2,6 46:24 55:15	22:19 23:21 25:7	168:11	20:9 94:9 101:7	interests 85:23,24
78:22,25 145:14	72:22 73:25 91:6	indication 123:9	inordinate 168:21	86:6 143:25
implemented 10:15	91:18 105:2 139:5	indicators 172:12	input 17:2 24:10	interim 185:20
10:16 20:14 54:7	191:1,4	individual 5:16 7:9	48:20 88:3 102:16	202:11
165:25	included 7:19 8:13	7:23 13:3,18 20:20	149:13,22 150:9	interject 193:13
implementing 4:22	8:14 20:23 23:5	31:9 82:13 100:15	172:7 174:1 200:7	internal 19:15 23:21
importance 160:21	43:9 68:8 100:6	102:5 149:22	201:12 203:3,8	26:5 35:11 71:11
importance 100.21 important 7:20,25	156:5	150:8 169:13	inputs 205:14	77:19 84:3 93:3
24:15 53:20,24	includes 6:18 17:18	172:6,8 185:12	inquiries 137:14	156:13 162:22
54:9,16 58:1 73:15	17:19 21:16 25:10	186:14,17 206:22	insider 54:13	163:3 165:10
73:18 82:9 83:14	26:1,4 28:25 31:12	individually 206:11	insight 145:19	internalized 207:21
84:11 108:14	35:2 76:3 90:16	individuals 54:24	insights 87:21	internally 71:12
117:11,14,17	92:21 97:9 104:16	62:20 63:1 171:19	inspector 21:3 23:5	134:3 195:12
131:1 136:25	186:3 190:20	175:18	23:23 90:10	interpret 38:10
144:21 145:18	including 20:8 21:4	inefficiencies 162:25	171:23 195:9	61:18 190:25
164:16 169:16	23:22 31:12 53:7	inexpensive 59:2	198:16	192:9
172:15 189:20	54:7,20,25 74:24	infinite 194:7	inspectors 28:22	interpretation 73:23
199:3 206:20	91:7,12 111:4	inflate 42:14	30:3 42:9,15 95:12	interpretations
207:25	154:14,16 188:25	inflated 43:3	163:10,22	128:17,21
importantly 9:17	197:7 207:12	influence 209:6	instance 71:16	interpreted 75:6
189:11 208:3,13	incorporated 31:23	influx 74:5	instances 78:23	127:1 153:23
imposing 166:13	incorporating 31:23	info 140:15	85:23	interrupt 160:1
improperly 168:7	41:3 135:13	informal 177:25	instinct 187:11	162:1
improve 19:16 35:3	increase 74:6	information 24:11	instituted 84:18	interrupting 199:2
35:4 72:12 91:11	197:25	37:7 38:25 45:11	instrument 117:6,7	interval 155:19
91:15 92:14	increased 43:18	45:13,21 49:18	instrumentation	interview 91:9
122:10,14,25	181:11	50:4 55:2,9 56:1	43:13	interviewing 84:10
123:6,10,23,23	incredibly 54:16	61:13 64:1 66:5	insufficiently	intranet 172:25
123.0,10,23,23	incumbent 186:20	67:19 77:19 79:11	165:20	introduce 4:7 6:13
129:21 157:11	independence	79:20 80:4 81:8	integrated 28:25	6:21 67:17
163:3 168:14,15	123:18	98:19 138:13	intend 101:21	invest 114:21 115:1
204:10,12	independent 9:22	140:21 151:19	119:15 194:8	invested 94:12
improved 20:11	10:2,5 11:8 34:12	156:21 157:15	intended 31:21 87:5	investigation 10:19
improvement 72:16	44:25 51:10	166:2 170:19	138:11 145:3	174:1,3
123:11 158:16	122:13 123:25	171:12 172:7,18	205:7 206:24	invite 135:9
164:11 181:15	140:10 146:12,19	175:18	intense 74:22	involve 165:25
107.11 101.13	170.10 170.12,17	1/0.10		1110110 105.25

				[229]
197:16	49:22 61:13 74:13	124:5,6 127:1	178:25 179:22	late 149:3
involved 19:21 24:6	77:12 130:23	138:11 158:17	180:14 185:19	law 8:7 48:3 73:1
43:21 62:18,19	146:24 147:3	192:21 193:15	186:6 187:7 188:1	87:21 116:10
80:2 93:15 98:21	149:8 150:5,5,13	202:1,2	191:12,20 193:3,7	117:1 171:22
145:11 174:12	150:18,21 154:7	keeping 113:10	193:19,25 195:6	211:10
195:18	154:10 157:8	kept 36:7 180:21	201:5 205:24	Lawrence 42:4
involvement 77:11	166:25	key 21:24 22:4	210:5,11 211:2	laws 119:12
involves 55:16	iteration 43:6 72:14	59:19 60:11 91:9	212:10	lawyer 167:20,21
IP 137:5 138:21	99:9 189:19	93:14	knowing 94:13	lays 73:15
irrespective 201:4		keyboards 55:7	knowledge 32:13	lead 10:12 76:13
isolate 71:12	J	kind 10:1 11:6 17:17	81:18 91:16,23	87:6 95:8,19
isolated 69:7	J 2:8	32:6 33:6 67:5	knows 146:16	127:18 196:18,20
isolation 34:2	James 2:11 4:14	80:18 93:23 98:6	180:17	leader 90:10 96:10
issue 63:6 91:8	January 57:14	111:2 120:6,16,25	Kool-Aid 123:4	179:5,16,23,24
136:2 140:7,14,23	jeopardize 57:21	129:14 149:7,20	kudos 135:12	181:10 202:2
141:3 144:17	Jessie 2:6 4:9	170:2		leaders 114:3
149:11,12,12	Jim 161:2 170:10	knew 166:8 170:11	L	leadership 20:3
154:3 155:9	Jo 2:25 213:3,16,17	208:23	L 2:7	22:11 24:9,10,17
156:11,14 163:2	job 13:24 60:14 77:9	know 8:2 14:13,17	Lab 28:23	24:19,21,24 119:8
170:1 172:11	86:1 108:7,10,11	14:17,17 17:19	labeled 61:16 76:14	183:10 207:4
185:3,7,8,18,21	108:14 114:24	42:13 43:12 45:19	79:8	208:4
198:15	115:8 125:4,4,8	49:19 51:11 64:13	Laboratories 43:10	leading 10:17 33:9
issued 11:15 20:22	129:9 167:9	68:19 78:6 80:20	43:12	172:11
issues 10:12 11:2	171:23 172:19,22	98:1,2,8 100:10	Laboratory 42:5	leads 24:25 87:23
16:19 20:9 22:22	173:24 183:19	104:18,18 105:6,7	lack 78:24	180:22
24:12 27:25 28:20	186:19	105:11 108:2,22	lag 107:10	learn 58:22 175:12
29:24 35:6 48:14	jobs 96:1 101:9,18	112:9,17 114:14	laid 116:22 121:13	183:15
49:4,20 63:20 73:1	Joyce 2:7 4:11	114:18 115:4,11	147:22	learned 135:13
78:1,22 79:3 81:3	judgment 197:9	118:24 120:1,19	land 137:5 198:5,11	148:17
82:16 86:4 93:12	July 25:3	121:5,6 122:5	language 153:21	leave 13:25 86:14
135:20 136:1,1	jump 45:24 49:7	123:11 126:22	LANL 41:21 43:20	104:19 105:7
140:10,12,18	57:6 64:23 102:12	127:12 128:14,15	45:5	131:9
143:9 155:20,25	117:4 141:7	128:16,18 129:5	large 41:22 47:18	leaves 75:23 212:9
156:1,5,5,6,14,16	Jumping 59:18	129:25 130:3	49:15 66:23 67:15	leaving 101:1
157:1,2	June 20:23	133:1,5 134:19	81:13,20 89:4	136:19 168:23
item 3:3 12:17 44:2	junior 92:6	135:22 136:22	116:11 146:23	led 144:5
44:3,3,16 45:5	justification 83:5	138:2 143:9 144:4	147:9 179:11	leeway 14:20 143:24
46:3 63:12,22 64:4	179:12 182:8	144:5,24 149:24	largely 23:10 64:2	left 4:11 12:19 13:2
65:4 69:12 72:5	189:6	150:1 157:7	88:13,22 165:11	16:7 47:9 138:22
74:14 76:19,23,25	justify 86:20 108:4	158:12,16,18,21	177:4	157:23 159:4
77:12 79:6 139:8		158:23,25 159:3	larger 27:15 31:20	169:23 186:16
141:9 156:18,19	$\frac{K}{K}$	160:14 161:2,9	42:3,8	193:7 196:21
158:11 170:17	KATHERINE 2:13	163:11,14 164:17	largest 29:10 30:15	legacy 29:22
171:1,9 173:2	Katie 19:25	165:6,8 168:1,6	35:13	legal 13:22,25 14:21
items 8:19 9:13 16:7	keep 18:8 36:11	169:22 170:4,14	lastly 19:6 21:10	69:23 72:18 73:21
17:11,20 23:20	115:21 117:5	171:3 172:9 174:3	92:17	73:23 75:5 78:18
36:11 40:4 46:5	121:23 122:6	174:6 176:12	lasts 116:20	81:10 84:7,13,24
	I		I	I

				[230]
87:20 167:8,23	list 32:5 56:11 57:16	195:23	186:5	37:23
169:3,4 170:24	78:5,7 108:24	long-standing 87:2	Los 28:22,24 29:9	maintaining 93:3
171:8 174:12	142:13,24 143:25	87:3	44:6 139:11	maintenance 28:16
legislative 9:25	144:1,25 146:9,22	longer 8:12 62:20	lose 81:18 136:17	31:2 35:11 93:10
lend 211:15	146:25 152:4	79:14 119:23	160:18	maintenance/infr
lends 87:22 211:2	167:11 177:7	look 9:24 12:22	losing 182:23	22:15
lengthy 78:7 122:3	187:1,4,10 199:7	36:25 38:13 39:13	loss 85:25 103:19	major 23:1 33:12
lens 87:22	listed 8:14 9:20	40:23 42:7 46:9	losses 105:2	161:5
lessons 135:13	14:14 44:8 47:11	47:1,3 50:13,23	lost 149:9	majority 9:8 176:3
let's 9:17 72:4 82:3	47:25 61:13 93:22	51:3 56:5 58:9	lot 8:11,12 14:20	176:18 202:13
95:18 103:25	145:12 154:10	59:21 60:2 64:22	42:2,17 53:18,23	making 86:22 88:12
135:7 191:10	156:6,15 168:25	82:10,11 104:5,22	55:4 58:10 77:7	145:10 146:12
195:1 196:14	170:25	106:21 107:5	94:19 100:11	162:7 184:17
letter 138:23	listen 121:5	109:6 116:5,10	106:1 108:10	201:1,2 203:10
letting 112:16	listened 207:20	117:23 118:11	118:13 122:7	204:14 206:17
level 10:18 24:2 49:9	listing 156:25	120:10 121:10,21	134:8 135:15,15	207:23 208:12
74:2 77:10 79:1	lists 29:14 34:4	124:17,21 134:5	136:15 138:8	malleable 60:25
84:2 85:3 86:21	35:16 143:10,12	138:8,12,24 139:3	141:16,21,22,23	manage 15:14
87:8,13,19,24 95:5	143:13,14 144:7	140:10 141:10,25	143:3,5 151:22	managed 119:4
95:7,11 103:16	literally 58:6 69:5	142:9 143:19	152:5 154:4	management 19:14
118:10,17,18	little 8:22 12:24	145:18 148:9	158:15,16 159:15	21:14 22:15 29:1
119:15 130:1,4	32:13 34:20 42:6	150:7 152:4 156:4	159:21 160:6,14	31:13 32:12 34:23
137:18,18 148:12	43:6 52:8 60:7,12	156:23 157:6	161:9 162:18	35:3 53:22 56:16
148:23 155:9	65:13 67:24 68:1	163:3,20 165:24	163:14,23 164:10	56:22 57:2 59:1,17
161:13,14 172:13	112:19 118:7,19	166:6 167:20	164:16 169:1	62:1 103:19,24
175:2 178:22	118:19 122:13	169:13 172:15	170:14 171:13	111:5,16 114:22
192:22 195:2,17	138:5,17 143:24	174:6,20 184:25	172:20 177:5	124:25 183:6
197:11,23	148:2,3 149:9	185:6 201:9,13,20	181:20 182:5	manager 2:12,13
leveling 13:2	163:7 165:18	207:2 210:12	186:3 189:5 193:6	3:9 5:5 6:9 52:15
levels 35:12 87:14	175:25 180:19	looked 69:5 144:25	207:17 208:25	64:18 66:2 70:24
88:4 91:18 92:12	live 134:16	161:14	209:12,16	71:25 79:7 90:24
95:4 103:13 180:4	Livermore 42:4,7	looking 41:19,24	lots 59:13	98:18 101:25
lexicon 140:7,23	43:20	44:4 46:14,15 89:1	love 105:16	112:10,16 157:24
life 117:8 153:7,8	living 112:2	93:20 101:13	low 41:20 42:1,5	158:16 160:15
lift 169:15	load 49:9	102:15 103:7,13	84:2,7	170:21 176:13
light 203:17	loading 20:7,16 90:1	113:19 120:20	low-hanging 192:13	177:15
likewise 88:10	loadings 20:21	123:22 124:13	lunch 3:17 5:11	manager's 52:16
limit 211:8	loads 20:6	125:18,19,22,23	109:16,18	157:24 158:3
limited 22:9 160:4	locally 59:25	127:25 128:1		166:15,17
204:14	located 6:17	137:25 140:8	M	managers 15:17
limits 24:5	logical 25:8 34:14	145:8 146:11	ma'am 100:8	71:22 126:6
line 46:5,22 94:23	75:8 153:16,20	148:1 154:7	magic 82:23	managing 74:8
123:19 180:14	154:14	156:16 160:22	magnitude 33:22	mandate 31:15
184:11	long 82:25 96:11	167:7,21,22 170:9	42:2 50:16	mandates 53:11,13
lines 195:7	134:18 142:25	195:10 200:8	main 26:12 144:20	53:24 58:4,5
linger 55:3	169:24 179:19	looks 8:2,3 46:2	148:24	mandatory 58:5
linkage 126:8	180:18 193:1,3,8	136:10 158:12	maintain 23:18 30:3	61:14,16,17,18,21
ппкаge 120:8	100:18 193:1,3,8	150:10 158:12	manualii 25.10 50.5	01:14,10,17,18,21

9/28/2017

				[231]
61:22 62:5,13 64:7	210:11	16:16,24 17:24	milestones 23:14	modified 33:19
77:14 96:3 158:5	meaning 51:12	22:17 24:2,4,5	military 161:12	127:7,17
manned 159:22	61:16 149:4	35:24 50:9 52:5	Millennial 68:21	module 56:20,23
178:6	meaningful 118:3	67:23 72:9 73:8	Millennium 65:19	modules 56:20
manner 38:9 114:7	119:21	74:19 82:20 91:18	mind 121:11	moment 12:18
manning 163:19	means 66:10 70:25	91:23 92:6 110:23	minimal 122:23	64:21 93:14
manpower 177:11	71:15 131:13	111:6 116:1,17,18	minimum 94:23	134:12
178:2	211:18	116:21 117:8	minus 105:3	momentum 120:24
manuals 143:18	meant 119:12 142:9	119:3,6 131:15	minutes 12:19	Monday 32:1
march 147:15	181:13	136:21 139:17,18	157:23 166:16	104:24,25 131:10
market 84:13	measure 179:12	147:21 158:1,2	198:22	212:6
marking 79:19	mechanism 149:13	163:8 167:19	miscalculation	money 65:7,11
master's 92:7	mechanisms 149:19	168:24 169:13	145:25	194:7 201:20
match 16:6 106:22	media 108:11	172:21 182:22	misguided 146:7	monitoring 35:10
189:8 192:5	meet 21:11 58:10	184:2 185:23	misinterpreted	month 75:20
material 29:20	73:16 113:16	187:19 190:15	210:19	months 39:14
materials 19:4	126:23 149:6	191:1,5,8 202:12	missed 132:17 179:1	113:18 132:23
27:17 28:13 29:25	meeting 1:10 4:6,14	203:21 205:4,23	missing 17:8	184:2,6 195:11
80:6	4:16,17,21 5:1 7:5	206:1,7,16 207:15	mission 6:18 8:3,6	morning 4:3 6:22
math 103:6 104:4	9:4 10:7 32:23	209:9	15:1,3 18:25 19:16	18:12 25:19 110:6
105:21 163:8	56:15 100:25	members' 20:21	27:8,12,16,17,21	110:20,22 150:19
190:10 198:3	134:25 198:23	150:9 169:4 208:1	28:12,13,15,18	151:19 157:15,20
matter 13:14,17	203:21 212:16	memoranda 81:10	29:20 31:1,11,11	186:23 199:8
14:13 42:4 76:20	meetings 21:24 22:2	memory 156:18	31:17 33:15 34:5	203:19
122:15 143:19	22:6,10 72:21,23	196:12	34:24 35:4,8 37:3	motion 125:7
153:2 167:16	73:7 125:11	mention 100:3	86:1 87:25 89:19	200:23,24
174:17 202:14	174:23	mentioned 28:5	90:15,19 92:16	motions 130:17
matters 74:7 75:13	meets 13:22	33:14 36:21 37:15	94:17 113:24,25	131:5,14
79:10 80:3 87:21	member 2:6,7,8 4:9	37:17 39:7 61:3	115:9,15 119:9	motivated 82:7
170:18	4:10 5:17 6:18,23	93:24 97:24 99:14	120:2,9 125:17,21	92:10
matures 48:10	8:12,23 9:5,14	198:6 199:23	126:1,5 182:20	move 7:12 18:10
MBA 71:19	31:22 36:4 40:14	210:15	183:14,18 204:13	43:3 53:17 54:23
mean 12:23 40:22	54:8 73:22 110:10	merging 31:24 33:4	205:1,5 206:20	54:25 58:22 59:4
45:4 64:6 65:5,6	111:21 122:23	merit 27:2	210:25	59:25 63:7 72:4
69:16 78:17 95:19	124:22 128:9	message 117:19	misspoke 110:11	82:3 86:10,23
99:17 101:14	134:23 135:9	120:23 123:16	Missy 160:18	101:24 110:4,16
102:24 103:6	149:20,21 168:22	124:17 128:9	mistaken 112:14	120:11 130:14
105:5 114:19	172:6 174:25	met 26:18 81:2	misuse 145:23	131:6 133:1 135:7
117:13 123:7	183:23 184:8,12	metadata 55:10	mitigating 49:24	141:3 152:15
124:12 128:11	184:16 205:13	method 33:7	mix 125:20	157:14,17 167:11
129:6,22,23 130:2	209:2	methodology 26:14	mobile 94:25	178:19 183:21
136:23 156:12	members 4:13 5:9	metrics 38:20	mobility 94:19 95:1	202:5 203:4,13
164:15 166:3	5:18 6:13 7:3,18	microphone 207:19	model 92:11 194:20	moved 54:2 149:18
173:17 176:25	7:19,24 8:5,15,21	Microsoft 66:15	modernization	181:5
177:14 181:4,9	8:24 9:2,9,19	mid-level 182:18	14:25 53:6	moves 54:21 56:4
184:13 199:3	10:22 11:8,18 12:7	middle 142:6 161:20	modernize 53:6	152:24
201:14,17 204:20	12:9,10,13 16:13	mighty 73:9	modifications 33:13	moving 7:21 58:17

				[232]
58:18,23 59:12	66:20 69:17 70:17	negotiating 202:14	167:10	52:2 68:15
61:3,25 69:20	71:19,20 82:23	neither 213:6	no-cost 63:12 64:4	notice 7:6 135:21
108:10 210:10,20	90:21 94:25,25	nervous 160:17,17	65:5	noticed 4:19
MPA 196:18	95:20 104:2 105:4	NES 43:4	nodding 112:15	notifying 28:19
mud 209:24	106:20 117:22	net 180:23	nomenclature 16:6	29:23
multiple 33:3 54:4	120:23 121:24	network 55:20	16:14 69:18	noting 34:11 185:23
66:17 81:2 84:6	123:10 124:4	neutral 175:8	156:12 158:6	notwithstanding
mystery 209:2	126:4 130:3,5,24	Nevada 42:7	nomination 74:23	144:11 206:8
J	133:1 135:19	never 143:11,13	nominations 74:17	NPA 19:5 31:11,17
N	136:11 139:20	144:7 150:13	74:21	33:1 42:20 52:20
N 2:1 3:1 4:1	142:2,3,4 144:15	177:23 178:10	non-supervisor	141:11
name 4:3 18:12	151:17 153:4	179:17 180:12,18	181:4	NRC 119:3
46:21 86:24 89:12	156:20 160:22	nevertheless 144:9	non-technical 13:8	NSA 29:7
names 187:1,4	161:8 162:11,12	147:2 191:14	13:13	nuclear 1:7 2:3,10
naming 69:18	162:16 163:13	new 6:16 11:13	nondestructive	4:5 18:24 19:3,3,4
national 23:11	165:24 167:1,3,12	20:23 23:25 24:2,4	90:17	19:5,13 20:10 22:4
28:23 42:4 43:10	167:17 168:7,12	27:25 30:1 32:20	nondiscretionary	22:22 23:12 24:12
43:11 66:24	168:15,16 170:7	33:12,19 34:21	21:1 26:10,19	25:5 27:11,13,16
naturally 100:18	172:22 173:4	47:3,4 48:1 53:11	29:15 30:22 33:1,2	27:16,25 28:10,12
198:5	176:6 177:24	57:11,12,15,15,18	34:5,7,8,17 35:17	28:13,14,17,20,20
nature 68:22 126:25	178:24 181:17	57:24 58:7,22,22	37:16,25 38:6	29:4,7,19,22,25
Navy 115:5 119:1	183:1 184:15,25	59:11,12,12 61:5	47:25 48:22,23	30:7,25,25 31:5,6
near 28:21 57:9	189:10,11 191:19	61:23 74:8 86:11	76:17,20 150:13	31:9,14 32:11,21
134:17 147:16	192:4,20 198:9	89:22 95:23 100:7	150:16,18 151:5	33:12,16,19 35:7
195:11	192:1,20 190.9	112:4 113:11,12	151:10,11 152:19	42:1 43:7,13,17,21
near-term 90:16	200:23 201:9	116:5,21 127:7,13	155:1	44:21 74:8 89:18
nearing 50:19	206:21 207:15	127:17 128:25	nonexistent 147:10	89:19 90:8,14,22
nebulous 129:22	212:11	131:2 150:5 157:8	nonmandatory	91:12,25 93:3,10
necessarily 49:12	needed 7:16 21:10	161:9,21 205:11	158:6	93:12 103:21
86:6 94:11 100:17	23:23 74:2 91:2	212:5,7	nonoversight	127:8,17 139:10
106:16 112:20	92:15 103:8	news 179:8	209:12	145:16 146:13
126:1 128:22,23	105:25 136:8	NFDI 19:6 42:22	nonsupervisory	204:11,13 208:14
141:23 151:7	138:10 146:3	47:11	108:4 179:7	nudge 204:18
153:4 169:18	174:13 183:4	NFDIs 150:17	nontimed 148:8	number 7:9 11:8,21
181:16 207:20	206:18 207:14	NFPI 196:22	Nora 186:9,15	23:4 26:12,16,17
necessary 11:23	209:7,15	nice 122:3	normal 191:3	36:7,8 37:4 41:19
56:2 62:24 86:18	needs 10:17 11:9	nicely 177:2	normally 132:5	47:24 82:23 83:11
182:8,9 208:2,3	24:22 47:20 63:4	nimble 53:12	203:16	103:23 104:7
211:7	73:20 87:3 88:5	nine 90:5 96:16,17	north 173:15	105:11,12 106:12
need 10:24 13:24	90:16 94:17,22,23	96:20,21,22 97:5	notational 131:17	107:14 124:23
14:4,4 15:21 16:25	104:20 105:24	107:18 182:24	134:10 211:13,13	128:5 148:8,9
17:11,13,13,14,15	104.20 105.24	NMPS 19:4 29:23	211:15,18	156:15 162:23
23:16 41:16,17	130:21 134:11	30:2,5 31:11 40:23	note 9:9 16:11 39:1	163:18 166:22
49:7,15 51:8 54:6	137:17 138:2	NMSA 23:12,13,15	61:22 86:9 89:25	180:21 188:15,22
54:24 55:23 56:4	167:13 170:14	28:19,20 29:1	99:6 136:5 137:20	188:24 190:8
59:5,6,10,24 63:11	171:6 188:17	139:11	138:19 155:2	191:1,5,20 197:22
63:11,13,20 64:18	199:12	NNSA 44:6 139:22	noted 37:13 39:3	200:12
,,	177.12	11110/1 77.0 137.22	HULLU J 1.15 37.5	200.12

Public Business Meeting

Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board

				[233]
numbers 32:25	occurs 104:17	73:19,21 75:1,4,10	70:9 112:16 132:8	18:19 21:17 25:17
88:14 103:17	October 74:18	75:20,25 77:4,6,11	132:9 133:21	25:21 28:10,16,21
162:23 185:1	107:7 131:11	77:17,20,21 78:10	160:7 191:4	29:21 30:1,9,10
188:13,19 189:8	odd 86:17	79:17 80:2 81:10	omitted 8:11	35:7 42:3 93:11
189:17,19 190:4	OE-1 52:21	84:2,19 85:4,7,19	onboard 194:4	123:24
192:5,8,9 198:7	offense 169:4	85:20 86:10 88:1,3	once 32:3 67:16,21	opinion 45:22 49:21
200:1,4,5,15,17	170:10	88:4 107:4,11	120:9 149:18	50:5,21 85:23
201:4,6,15,17	offer 117:10	167:13 174:19	168:12 195:5	102:8 114:25
203:6	offhand 105:7	176:12,13 188:4	one-for-one 104:18	121:18 129:1
numerous 75:20	office 3:7,9,11 5:5,5	OGC's 72:11 76:7	104:21	130:19 139:14
NW 1:18	5:6 10:25 13:2,9	76:25 78:23	one-on-one 73:7	159:8 188:3,3
NWP 19:3 29:16	18:3,22 30:19 35:1	OGM 53:2 54:11	one-pager 113:15	204:15
30:21 31:11 41:17	35:15 58:25 59:17	75:11,14 77:8	113:15	opinions 73:22
50.21 51.11 11.17	64:17 72:6,12 73:6	79:18 80:24 85:9	one-third 178:7	80:16 81:10
0	73:9,19 74:2,3,10	88:11,18 113:15	one-to-one 105:6	OPM 15:6 16:4,7
04:1	78:8 79:25 80:16	159:6 161:6,13	onerous 178:8	84:19 86:22 89:1
Oberreuter 93:16	81:7 85:1,2,6,21	162:8,18 192:14	ones 8:15 119:10	187:1 194:18
object 138:25 169:6	86:3 87:1,6,6,8,10	192:14 197:22	136:24 197:13	opportunities 75:22
objection 102:7	87:14 88:1,16 89:7	oh 38:13 50:3	208:9	90:12 94:18,20
110:21 193:21	89:16 90:24 98:9	108:21 126:19	ongoing 81:19 91:14	207:3
204:8 205:16	100:21,25 101:7	155:18 176:9	open 21:10 22:20,23	
objective 5:1 11:11	103:7 105:20,25	179:14 203:15	30:14 31:23 48:13	opportunity 6:13 49:21 50:1 57:18
16:18 35:2 123:24				
objectives 10:1,22	107:18,20 112:15	okay 14:7 16:9 17:5	56:15 90:7 109:6	61:9 76:7 136:22
13:5,7 28:7 31:8	135:8 139:11	35:23 43:24 47:6 49:17 52:24 65:1	110:23 137:4	150:8 160:4 162:6 187:20 195:11
39:18,20 125:17	140:20 155:6		138:19 139:2	203:22 209:10
128:1 204:10	158:15 159:19,22 160:15 166:23	65:15,24 67:8,25	155:19,24 156:4,5 156:5,8 157:1,2,7	
205:12		68:10 94:4 96:17	150:5,8 157:1,2,7	oppose 86:12
obligated 37:22	167:1,17 169:20	96:24 97:11,15		opposed 49:24
obligations 58:8	169:24 170:19,20	99:2 100:9 101:11	opening 3:4 5:15,20	146:12 171:3
obligatory 62:2	171:1,6 173:2,3,8	102:10 103:25	6:6	opposition 102:1
observe 87:18	173:18,20 174:24	104:8 106:13,17	operate 17:18	optimal 87:24
obtain 11:11	175:11 176:4,5,8	106:25 113:5	124:22 128:12	optimistic 162:9
obvious 140:1 202:6	176:18 177:14,24	126:20 128:4	operates 116:3	163:12
obvious 140.1 202.0 obviously 7:16	178:6 180:24	130:12 131:4,12	operating 4:24	options 86:21 178:8
55:11,17 56:8,13	182:6,11 183:24	153:2,7 157:13	79:22 133:3	Orange 7:1 8:21
56:24 57:1 58:4	184:4,13,17 185:9	158:10 161:20	134:12	9:14 40:13,15
59:7 60:17 65:11	185:14,15 186:13	177:7 178:15	operation 47:16	144:6 149:15
	186:13 188:6	179:14 187:7	49:14 85:4,6	185:11 205:13
66:14 76:25 83:8	189:6,13,24 190:3	191:11 194:6	145:15	order 4:14 6:7 12:12
88:23 89:3 105:10	199:25 203:8	198:18 207:2	operational 6:19	20:23,25 36:9
106:10 108:13	officer 60:6,9 64:13	old 12:23 49:5	11:6,10 13:12,16	47:19 58:6 76:6
174:7 185:17	69:13	113:10 126:16	13:23 14:2 15:25	78:12 81:1 84:22
occupied 186:9	offices 188:18	127:9,15 128:25	16:8,19 20:9 21:21	86:20 94:1,2
occur 48:5 151:2	202:23	130:7,21 155:20	42:12 53:15,21	110:18,19 120:5,6
occurred 79:17	official 77:25	157:2,3 204:8	57:21 61:12 62:9	120:15 125:20
194:21	OGC 13:25 72:18	older 155:20 156:7	130:23	126:23 143:1
occurring 95:1	72:23,25 73:13,16	OMB 7:17 16:12	operations 11:12	156:21 192:6

				[234]
209:9 210:24	131:21 198:25	owes 199:9	51:13 61:23 63:22	perceive 130:9
orders 50:16 115:9	213:11		74:12 76:19	perceived 24:16
143:21,22 146:13	outline 8:1 18:14	P	106:11 115:9	percent 29:5 30:6
159:13	output 201:12	P 2:1,1 4:1	123:15 127:22	31:18 32:2,2,3
organization 53:13	outputs 38:15	p.m 110:2 212:16	136:2 141:3	33:20 35:8 37:2,3
57:22,24 60:10	outside 54:12 59:23	page 3:3 12:25 62:9	152:11,20 153:12	41:20,25 47:10,11
71:25 82:12 83:12	59:24 70:17 75:21	66:5 67:11 69:11	161:4 185:18	47:11 50:20,22
85:21 87:3 95:2	91:3 93:6 99:24	104:23 127:6,21	186:8	75:14 90:1 136:7,7
112:23 121:9	125:3	127:23 139:9	particularly 32:17	157:2 163:8,10
123:1,2 166:1,10	outsider 113:19	141:10 150:16,17	53:20 119:8	164:1,2,3,3,5
197:23	outspoken 206:6	150:17 153:11	151:21	173:10,15 177:15
organizational 19:2	outstanding 20:1	201:6 202:17	parties 213:7,10	182:16,16
19:10 93:23	overall 191:5	206:12	partners 55:12	percentage 36:22
organizations 13:24	overarching 31:15	pages 142:25	parts 66:23 108:11	37:1 48:21,21
60:16	overdue 206:17	paid 177:19	166:1	50:15 51:9
organized 9:20 19:1	overhead 162:7,21	painful 205:24	party 175:8	perception 84:12
28:6 73:11 121:17	162:24 170:5,5	Pantex 28:23 29:10	pass 78:12 143:7,8	perfect 206:10
original 17:20	183:12	41:21 43:2,4,9,14	143:12 162:6	207:22
113:14 138:6	overkill 146:23	43:19,22 166:6	189:21,22	perform 23:16
149:5 187:13	overshoot 82:24	paper 45:21 49:22	passed 112:11	51:13 76:21 120:9
OTD 18:23,24 19:2	188:16,25 191:19	81:15 140:14,16	path 92:5 95:16	148:4 152:3,9,11
19:10,15,21 20:3	oversight 10:2 18:25	papers 77:19	pay 98:13 103:13	185:9
20:11,14,19,22	19:9,11,13,17	paralegal 86:2 87:1	137:17 162:11	performance 7:22
21:12,23 22:11,16	20:13 21:24 23:16	87:4 100:14,18,22	166:12 180:4	7:23 13:1,3,18,18
22:23 23:10,17,20	24:3,10,16 25:5	100:24 101:5,6,8	payroll 107:23	13:19 14:15,21
23:23 24:9,9,17,21	26:2,6,8 27:18	parallel 93:23	190:11 191:15,23	15:4,7,7,10,11,22
24:24 25:6,23 27:8	28:11,15,21,25	parameter 75:15	people 13:8 21:16	16:2 18:13 19:2,7
27:21 28:5 34:12	29:21 30:3,24 31:1	parameters 75:16	59:8,8,9 71:3	19:22 21:11 34:24
36:2 56:25 57:4	31:10 33:23 34:12	paramount 56:8	84:23 94:10 95:9	35:10,20 58:19
75:2 86:10 89:19	36:3 39:5,7,9,10	parcel 10:6 165:22	95:16 96:22 97:16	59:4,12 62:1 74:7
89:21 90:6,8,11,20	39:15,18,20 42:18	166:4	98:7,21 101:14	86:5 89:14,24 90:2
90:23 91:2,5,20	43:4 47:22 48:12	pardon 75:10	102:17,23 104:15	92:23 99:6,15
92:3,17,19,24	51:2 62:22 70:17	part 7:14 8:18,19	102:17,25 10 1.15	100:8 103:4,18,24
93:15 94:7,19	75:2 89:19 90:15	10:6,24 16:19 17:4	107:18,21,23	126:22 129:8
95:20 96:10,14	90:19 93:4 94:13	23:11 38:19 41:4	112:14 125:21	133:2 134:19
135:10 162:19,24	103:16,21 115:11	46:19 47:9 68:11	129:19 132:3	194:19 196:19
163:2,6,14 164:2	115:13 119:16	81:13 115:25	136:9 142:1 143:8	performed 10:10
166:10 174:11,20	124:1 148:18,20	119:9 120:2	160:23 163:13,25	100:23
178:22 179:4,5	148:24 149:2	145:25 152:10	170:20 171:15	performing 10:8
192:20 193:23	153:14 164:5,20	157:5 165:22	174:14 175:8	15:1 34:13 91:23
195:24 200:12	165:5,7,16,20,23	166:4 172:8,19	179:13 181:5,14	96:14 101:6
OTD's 28:12	166:5,5 182:19	179:16 181:18	182:5,19 189:1,14	148:13 153:15
ought 134:3 141:18	183:15	182:11 203:7,20	189:24 190:3,11	period 3:15 75:13
142:12,14 144:16	overspend 192:2	209:13	190:11 191:15,23	100:22 101:1
166:12 167:18	overview 19:8 25:25	participating	196:23 210:3,11	103:13 133:19
200:9	27:6	212:15	211:16	184:5 194:17
outcome 5:12	owe 113:1	particular 30:9	people's 185:21	195:15
Sateome J.12	011011011			170.10

Public Business Meeting **Defense Nu**

6:16,17,21 7:15,17

7:22 8:19,20 12:8

12:10,12,22,23

13:1,1,15,17,18

16:20 17:17 18:4

19:1,8,23 20:2,4,7

20:24 21:8 22:18

23:2.2.6.6.10.17

23:21 24:10,15,25

25:6,10,23,24 26:1

26:3,10,25 27:4,22

28:4,5,8,25 29:6

29:14,17 30:6,8

31:19,23 32:22

33:11.21 34:22.23

35:9 36:3,9 38:14

38:20 39:9,10,15

41:3,7,12 46:19,24

47:19 52:16 53:2

53:14,17,18 56:6

72:6 73:11,11,15

73:25 74:1,12,14

75:3 76:7 81:6,7

61:19 64:14,23

39:21,24 40:11

18:18,21,24,25

14:14 15:3,11

117:15 121:8,12

personnel 54:18,21

54:23 58:25 59:17

62:12 64:22 65:4

79:10 80:1.3 84:7

perspective 50:24

pertinent 169:19

philosophy 205:4

phone 57:9,13

phones 57:12

physics 90:22

picked 127:20

picture 165:17

pie 41:21 42:16 47:9

piece 18:16,20 39:12

39:25,25 55:3,16

56:18,22 77:12

208:8

208:10

47:10

physical 53:8,9

54:17,19 65:4

pick 145:2 171:20

phases 25:12 152:11

88:14

Peter 19:25

130:1 163:16

171:9 175:22

176:2 177:22

184:25 185:9

199:6 202:20

204:6 205:11

209:17,17

161:2

pointed 105:23

points 25:9 34:14

58:3 59:19 60:11

61:7 153:16.20

154:14 166:16

180:16 207:16

120:24 151:9

policy 11:15,17 21:6 25:4,4,7 33:14

34:6,8 37:17,19,22

48:7,25 118:10,14

141:14 142:10,10

37:24 38:3,5,8,9

118:23 127:13

142:12,14,17

policies 37:16

182:7,13 184:13

188:8 189:3 190:9

191:18,22 193:13

195:22 196:13,14

Defense Nuclear	9/28/2017			
				[235]
periodically 11:14	172:7	82:4,6,9,22 83:13	205:16,18 206:5	plug 83:14
28:3	pieces 18:15 29:10	83:15,21 85:2,16	207:11 208:2,21	plus 82:19,20
periods 82:25 184:1	39:11,25 41:21	86:9,12,17 88:3	209:14,14	181:10 190:13
184:5	54:19 56:7 57:18	89:15 93:15 94:3,4	planned 24:14 27:7	198:4,8,8,9,9,10
peripheral 57:19	66:15 95:22	100:11 101:24	34:1,10 36:22	198:14 210:3
permanent 34:2	108:10	102:2,15,23	38:17 73:13 74:9	plutonium 41:23
50:13 53:25	pilot 34:2	104:16 106:11,17	76:14 80:14	point 6:22 24:18
permission 85:18	pitch 64:13 83:15	107:19 110:23,25	139:21 141:9	31:19 35:24 51:21
permissive 171:12	pivot 37:6	112:4,8,17,18	177:9 179:19	55:18 60:5,25 68:3
175:19,23	place 9:1 32:19	113:1,2,12,24	187:19	71:17 80:14 83:6
person 27:2 42:10	55:20,25 56:11	114:2,14 115:4,6	planning 13:23	83:10,16 93:20
85:1 97:2 101:4,8	63:3,14 64:3 88:13	115:12,16,20,20	19:11 20:12,20	94:1 100:12 105:3
108:5 116:13	97:19 102:9	115:23 116:7,9,15	21:24 26:21 48:17	109:5,15 112:1,12
161:1 164:4 165:6	146:21 180:4	116:20,22 117:6	72:12 74:13 83:12	113:4 118:6,23
165:7,7 173:16,17	183:2 194:24	117:11,23 118:1	119:5 120:15	120:12 123:22
personal 41:25	210:10 212:12	119:4,15 121:22	124:17 125:19	127:4,5,19 130:21
55:16 100:24	placed 178:1	122:1 123:10,13	131:25 135:22	144:7,20 145:5,21
102:7 114:3	places 120:5 170:6	123:22 124:22,24	138:22 139:12,22	146:25 157:14,22
139:14	plan 3:5,7,9,11,13	125:5,14,19	139:25 146:16	158:2 160:13
personally 86:12,17	5:3,3 6:8,10,14,16	126:20,23 127:9	151:4 154:25	162:6 164:21

156:16 157:10

207:24 209:1,8

plans 1:11 5:4 7:20

7:23,24 8:10 13:2

13:3,18 14:16,21

19:17 23:7 26:2

15:7,8 19:12,12,15

27:24 31:10 39:6.7

47:22 75:3 92:19

148:18,20 157:23

192:15 206:5,19

206:19 212:8,8

plant 47:19 49:10

plant's 34:2

59:12

platform 58:24

play 55:4 60:24

pleased 57:10

plenty 201:20

please 6:15 18:14,22

20:11,22 21:23

22:25 24:7 25:3

41:18 46:13 50:12

122:1 123:19

132:5 134:20

162:5 177:4

171:7 183:5 201:2

For The Record, Inc.			
(301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555			

127:15 128:25

129:1 131:2

132:14,21,23

135:10,18,19

136:9 137:9,21

139:9 141:21,25

144:10.23 145:17

146:25 147:13,14

149:7,8,10,11,14

153:11,24 155:7

162:9 163:12,20

165:4.23 166:15

166:17,19 169:23

173:19 176:8.12

178:19,24 182:12

182:15.24 183:9

156:23 157:6,9,22

149:25 150:3

157:25 158:3

170:3 172:3

176:13,15,21

184:21 189:4

192:10 195:17

197:10.21 201:3

204:6,7,8,9 205:10

133:2,8 134:7,11

134:17,20 135:7,8

				[236]
143:7,12,16,17	202:3 210:20	preliminary 22:17	134:6,7 137:6	pro 7:18
144:12,22 145:3	212:7,9	31:22 36:2 136:5	170:9 177:3	probably 10:24 16:6
147:7,10 150:12	positioned 54:13	136:23 154:16,22	183:13 204:7	38:10 45:25 57:6
150:14,15,20,20	positions 82:25	155:3	previously 61:3 77:6	59:10 70:10 79:14
150:24 151:3,18	84:20 89:23 90:7	premium 58:17	125:12 174:10	104:13 105:5
151:20,23 152:14	97:20 102:18,18	prepare 47:22 48:8	205:9	113:17 118:18
152:17,18 153:13	107:9,13 180:5	77:4	primarily 31:9 77:6	119:22 123:20
154:5,13,24 167:4	181:1,7 183:10,11	prepared 14:8 25:14	77:24 88:1,10	130:24 132:14
205:9	189:15 192:12	77:22 93:13	157:4 197:24	138:16 159:23
political 76:5	194:19 196:15	preparing 76:1	primary 9:24 114:1	162:18 173:14
Poloski 2:16 18:4,12	202:14,15 210:4	93:15	143:16 169:11	176:10 178:5
18:13 25:22 28:5	210:10	presence 28:22 77:3	prime 7:4	185:7,16 191:25
36:6,14,17,24	possibilities 134:9	present 18:16 25:23	principal 42:19	202:18
37:19 38:7,19	possible 74:21 102:4	51:22 74:21 75:17	Principally 82:24	problem 15:13
39:10 40:9,12	158:25 203:5	77:2 89:14 98:18	principle 23:2	101:2 140:1
44:13 46:15 47:21	206:12 208:12	presentation 3:6,8	principles 6:19 7:6	141:19,20 191:14
49:2,17 50:18	212:5	3:10,12,14 6:10	8:9,11,14 86:22	192:3 197:14
51:17 89:11,12,13	possibly 71:11	17:5 18:3,5,7,7	prior 6:16 23:14	problems 10:12
95:3,21 96:12,16	151:15	35:22 41:20 52:15	54:11 81:10	15:20 24:12 45:14
96:18,20 97:13,15	post 133:12,23	82:3 155:11	105:11	45:15 79:2 143:10
99:5,12,17,22	posted 108:19,21,23	presentations 5:8	priorities 20:5,24	procedure 4:25
100:2,5 103:10	133:18 186:23,25	109:5 157:12	23:18 86:15	211:12
104:4 154:9,19	posting 85:10 195:3	presented 25:22	148:24 180:2	procedures 4:24
155:24 156:3,13	195:4	39:24 77:25 87:2	prioritization 20:16	21:7 79:22 162:22
156:20,25 179:23	postmortem 139:1	94:2 105:20	20:22 22:8 26:14	163:1 164:24
179:24 180:12	potential 9:10 22:3	110:20,22 112:1	111:11 135:15	165:10,14 174:11
194:14,15 195:4	22:5 23:10 24:12	131:3 143:11	150:22 154:2	176:21,23,24
195:14 196:17	28:19 29:24 66:21	presenting 75:2	prioritize 124:18	177:1
197:3	74:9,23 75:24 79:2	presents 107:22	prioritized 38:4	proceed 17:24 206:2
poorly 84:15	84:13 90:13,19	190:9	prioritizes 21:12	proceedings 52:12
portfolio 108:12	107:11 137:16	preserve 54:1	priority 18:20 19:9	213:4,8
portion 47:18 81:20	140:1 199:13	preside 4:5	20:14 21:18,19,20	process 7:2 10:4
110:16 182:15	potentially 84:22	President 197:8	22:7 25:24 26:9,11	21:18 22:14 24:19
184:9	86:24 92:11	President's 58:5	26:11,12,16,17,18	25:9 26:21 34:14
position 60:6 71:10	103:14 160:21	74:20	26:20,24 27:20	37:19 38:19 39:5
85:2,3,4,10,11,13	195:12	press 125:2 168:17	28:3 29:15,17	40:13,16 63:3,16
85:17,19,20 86:18	power 204:18	presume 143:6	30:22,22 32:25	63:19,25 71:9
86:20,20,23,25	practical 202:8	pretty 9:11 42:1	33:1,2,7 34:9	74:23 78:2 81:8
87:4 88:22 97:2	practice 10:20 69:8	45:6 67:20 95:9	35:19,19 40:19,24	84:5 104:20
108:5,19 161:4	practices 68:23 69:1	103:10 121:12	41:2 44:2,9,17	113:21 119:19,20
179:18,24,25	73:19 74:8 150:7	136:23 141:17	94:17 104:20	119:24 120:15
180:7,15,24	pre-certification	162:24 177:13	139:12,21 140:4	129:8 131:16,21
183:22 186:23,25	194:18	178:12 195:16	147:9 151:12	131:25 134:10,24
187:10,14,18	precise 37:11	prevented 145:25	154:2,6,9,10 180:8	135:14,22 142:7
193:6 194:16	predated 179:25	preventing 49:23	private 55:25 66:9	143:19 147:22
195:19 196:14,20	predictable 152:23	previous 38:16,21	66:22	149:3,11,16,21
196:22 197:1,19	preference 67:21	43:6 47:2 124:24	privileged 14:10	150:10,22 153:16
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1-	Ι	* 3	l

9/28/2017

				[237]
157:5 158:18	31:12 129:12	protect 174:13	pursuant 4:21	47:7,8,8 50:12
172:24 173:24	programs 19:3,5,15	protection 11:23	pursue 84:6 180:9	51:7 59:23 61:11
174:2,20 177:18	26:5 27:11,13,19	21:25 30:18 31:4	193:25	61:20 63:21 64:12
185:11 187:5,8	29:4 30:11,25 31:6	31:16 51:6 90:17	pursued 197:3	65:2,5,16 67:9,11
188:10 193:4	32:11,19 91:1	137:7 144:18	pursuing 91:20	67:16 68:17,18
197:15 199:5,22	161:9 181:25	169:14 172:13	push 160:4	69:3 70:15 71:18
200:19 205:25	progress 40:24	204:16	pushed 49:8 80:22	76:14,16 81:4 94:7
206:10 207:13,22	54:11 137:21	provide 10:2,4 37:7	put 8:7 16:19 26:16	95:18 96:8 97:22
207:23,24 209:8	prohibit 132:22	37:11 42:12 48:25	30:20 32:5 40:22	99:4 100:9,10,12
209:20 211:24	project 33:24 34:2	49:4,18 50:4,4	41:7,8 58:16 59:2	101:12,23 104:1
processed 63:4 65:9	39:16 47:23 48:10	51:8 63:25 67:4,19	60:24 80:18 87:9	104:10 106:5
72:18	49:13 56:17,19	68:19 73:17 74:2	100:11 112:25	107:2,22,23
processes 20:12	151:2,23	76:6 84:3 85:16	113:1 114:4,8	108:13 110:10
23:22 30:10 68:24	project-cognizant	87:5 98:4,23	125:10 131:5	112:5 113:6
75:20 162:22	95:12	115:11,12 119:4	142:20 146:11,17	114:16 120:1
163:1 174:3	projected 105:2	119:16 133:20	149:12,16 151:5	129:5,6 132:12
processing 19:4	projects 19:14 50:18	145:2,19 149:1	158:5 168:4 170:3	134:24 137:15
27:17 28:13 29:20	56:10 61:23 67:12	150:8 156:9	171:17 172:25	139:9 142:9
34:1 42:21 54:21	98:3 154:21	171:16 174:16	196:11 197:2	159:24 167:15
62:12 63:9 79:9	promote 95:15	199:20 200:14	202:9,15,21	170:23 173:2
170:18	127:7	207:4 209:9	208:12,13,25	176:21 180:10
produce 38:22	promoting 33:18	provided 12:3 22:2	209:17	186:7 197:22
122:5 144:17	proper 63:2 174:3	38:25 68:14 72:24	puts 198:6	198:13 199:21
167:18 204:22	properly 45:10 60:8	74:15 87:12 174:9	putting 7:11 56:11	203:10
produced 48:2,9	60:18 70:25 167:8	187:16,20	114:12 151:22	questioned 170:22
77:18 154:23	167:23	provider 57:15	170:10 183:3	questioning 51:16
producing 20:1	proponent 119:18	59:24		questions 3:6,8,10
23:13 132:23,23	proportion 26:18	provides 51:5	Q	3:12,14 5:8 12:20
208:19	27:15	117:19	Quade 2:25 213:3	17:24 18:7 35:24
product 77:25	proportional 27:1	providing 31:3	213:16,17	37:14 50:8,9 52:4
132:19 142:20	75:22	118:6,16	qualification 95:23	59:20 61:9 65:4
149:4	proposal 11:21	prudent 169:2	96:4	66:2 68:15 72:1
productive 141:24	144:22 152:5	PS-6 34:11	qualifications	74:7 76:8,10 80:10
products 204:22	186:5 201:24	public 1:10 3:15 4:6	184:11	80:25 81:25 83:19
208:10	proposals 147:24	4:17,18,21 5:1,9	qualified 84:15,21	93:18,25 94:2
professional 92:2,4	152:1 186:1	10:21 21:4 31:4,16	90:25 95:23,24	104:9 106:18
profile 108:14	propose 87:23,23	51:6 73:2 84:11,12	96:1	109:2 136:24
program 21:14 26:5	139:6	84:14 100:25	quality 22:1 23:19	139:18 141:7
28:12 35:15 39:16	proposed 25:25	109:6,9,11,12	31:13 32:21 88:8	148:5 149:23
43:17 91:6,10 92:3	26:25 27:21 73:10	133:6,10 135:1	91:13 92:1	157:19 158:1
92:4,6,9,23 95:4	73:15,25 74:11	204:16 210:2,7	quarterly 149:6	166:16 171:8
99:15 102:20	76:7 86:17 110:24	211:11	157:6,12	177:20 199:8,10
136:7 180:4	111:13 161:13	published 9:4	question 12:21 13:4	199:13,19 200:25
195:15	184:18 200:3	pull 37:6 142:12	13:14 14:12,16	quick 65:4 70:23
program's 27:16	proposes 26:10	pulling 121:15	15:24 17:4 36:1	108:2 173:10
28:14	proposing 137:19	purpose 114:1	37:11 39:4 41:18	176:20
programmatic 28:6	140:3 142:1	123:13	42:5 44:1,17,19	quickly 53:17 54:22

[238]

				[230]
54:25 87:11 107:7	83:14 95:11	133:21 142:16	reduction 90:3	reiterate 119:1
158:25 212:5	108:16 113:7	recommend 59:14	redundant 55:21	175:15
quiet 135:11	115:20 117:10,16	127:6,16 131:22	56:6 57:3	relabel 16:1
quite 58:1 105:12	121:5,16 122:3,17	169:22 200:4	refer 210:4	relate 136:3
142:1 152:5	131:24 142:3,4,13	recommendation	referenced 52:21	related 11:12 15:14
204:21	142:19 143:19	33:3 41:6 46:25	references 205:14	28:17 80:25
quorum 4:13 77:2	146:18 148:19	52:22 60:3 62:21	referred 111:6	145:14 213:6
quote 153:12	159:4 160:22,25	137:9,9,12,23	referring 17:9 62:8	relates 62:6
4000 100.12	161:7 163:3,4	138:7,20 139:1,2	70:12,13 71:14	relations 108:12
R	168:16 170:6,11	192:21 193:9	175:11	125:11
R 2:1 4:1	198:3,7,9 208:23	200:14	refers 118:17	relative 26:24 87:18
radioactive 29:25	209:20 210:23	recommendations	refine 149:1	199:6,23 213:9
raise 135:10 170:16	realm 55:4 56:3	11:22,25 12:1 21:9	reflect 23:18 143:20	relatively 51:21
171:8 172:13	59:3,11 61:22 62:3	21:10 22:21 30:14	188:20	59:1 194:21
raised 166:25	170:19	31:24 40:25 62:23	reflected 28:24 99:7	relevant 45:13
raising 170:15	reason 7:12 41:5	137:4 138:19	99:9,11,11 100:4	121:16 153:13
ramp 66:19	44:19 108:5 126:9	148:10 204:14	reflects 27:14	releveled 36:9
random 149:5	140:13 143:16	recommending	153:24 203:24	relied 30:11 85:21
range 29:6 58:13	144:24 152:25	33:18	refocus 135:25	relies 168:22
198:10	171:5,19 196:7,10	reconciliation	refresh 156:18	religiously 172:9
ranking 84:8	197:1,18	106:21	196:12	relook 164:25
rate 75:23 104:16	reasonable 89:3	reconvene 52:9	regain 120:25	rely 59:24 88:25,25
Ray 93:17	192:6	110:4	regard 11:1	remain 75:25 77:19
re-allocated 41:8	reasonably 126:18	record 14:22 25:19	regarding 28:16	156:8
re-announce 187:12	reasons 9:24 100:24	37:10 39:2 46:21	64:12 110:24	remainder 88:15
re-engagement	116:24 180:2,6	48:20 51:9 52:1,10	148:6 155:9	89:6
132:6	182:16	52:14,20,23 64:1,6	regardless 120:22	remaining 30:14
re-evaluate 11:4	reassigned 89:24	64:10 67:20 68:8	121:1 190:6	90:6
reactivate 142:14	reassignments	68:11 89:12 98:5	207:25 208:7	remarks 3:4,20 5:15
read 8:2 44:22 45:18	103:17	105:16 110:7	regards 9:12 17:16	5:16,20,24 6:2,4,6
79:9 110:6 124:11	rebinned 43:16	134:23 199:9	113:23 119:25	9:2 25:14 88:8
128:15,24 139:16		200:25 203:21	135:18 160:14	93:13 103:11
139:18 154:17	rebinning 43:23	200.23 203.21 205:2		136:6 204:5
173:9,10,16	recall 65:16,17 133:7,12 144:8	recorded 213:4	Register 4:19	remember 40:3
readiness 22:14	,		regs 14:12 regular 27:23 42:11	176:14 192:25
reading 104:1 106:4	recategorize 187:6 receive 171:12	recording 4:24	135:23 143:5	208:25
reads 127:13		recordkeeping 54:2	171:5 182:2	
ready 7:4 59:8 110:3	172:17 175:17,20	records 53:22,24,25		remind 196:15 209:11
168:17	receiving 58:7	54:9	regularly 116:19	
real 65:4	recess 3:17 5:10	recovery 56:7	regulations 4:22 31:2 159:11 178:2	removing 111:7
realistically 27:4	52:11 109:15,18	recruit 84:8 100:15		170:5
realize 191:21	recite 88:13	161:3,3	178:3,9	renominations
really 7:10 14:11,16	recognition 123:9	recruiting 84:6,15	regulatory 75:5	74:21
26:17 27:9 39:12	recognize 5:9 55:25	recruitment 90:25	78:13,18,25	reorg 179:16 180:17
39:12 49:7,11	recognized 26:21	recusal 76:1	117:16,16 133:5	180:19,25 181:12
53:23 55:5 61:4	40:5 54:12 98:16	redo 118:1 168:7	regurgitate 17:14	181:13 195:25
	recognizing 143:24	reduce 200:12	regurgitating 177:5	196:2
63:12 71:22,23	recollection 70:5	reduced 213:5	reinforce 140:11	reorganization

				[239]
103:20 179:10,11	107:13	resolving 49:20	123:1 143:3	93:12 95:8 136:23
179:25 180:1	requests 7:23 21:2	resource 20:5,16	166:10 184:4	137:11,22 139:11
181:14 197:8	64:23 70:8 72:17	29:5 30:6 31:18	restart 61:5	139:12,12,15,21
reorganized 181:17	72:19 74:5 75:20	33:21 35:9 64:22	restate 130:1,5	140:4,7 141:12,16
reorganizing 197:16	86:14 92:24 106:9	138:2 160:23	restating 129:14	145:13 148:17
repeated 9:2	106:10,22 177:17	resources 20:19	restore 130:25	151:4 153:17
repetitive 8:22	require 24:4 26:22	22:9 23:3,23 26:22	restructure 120:17	155:18,19,19
replace 97:3 136:17	48:16 64:15 70:4	26:24 27:8 28:2	restructuring 120:4	156:3 165:4,4
136:17	77:2 199:11	36:8 54:15 58:16	120:4	167:2,14,19 169:1
replaced 186:9,10	required 133:22,23	61:15 81:7 92:17	result 26:12 36:4	170:4
197:25	134:15 163:14	102:19 138:16	37:2 41:2 70:11	reviewed 20:3 75:4
replacement 57:9	171:13 172:1,20	145:24 160:18	74:22 75:15 84:20	78:11 145:9 162:5
76:5 104:18,21	212:1	respect 15:6 45:2	85:25 86:13 87:11	167:17
195:20	requirement 70:20	49:9 77:1 79:12,13	129:17 151:23	reviewer 95:19
replacing 86:7	81:1 177:25	80:1 81:4 85:1,12	181:11,22 205:15	reviewing 75:12
replicate 136:18	requirements 15:4	85:17 107:4 205:5	resultant 75:3	78:21 139:15
report 21:6 44:22	15:15 31:3 51:4	206:6,22	resulted 86:7	141:21 142:5
45:3,20 48:8 57:10	58:10 61:21,22	respected 205:13	resulting 19:12	144:14,15 146:5,6
100:18 137:13	69:17,19 70:18,20	207:20	results 21:18 34:15	146:14 169:10
139:13,16 154:22	75:6,7 78:13,15,18	respective 5:4	46:13 47:1 84:15	reviews 10:10 21:7,8
185:13 186:12,14	78:25 79:1,19,21	respond 16:25 27:23	90:5 153:17	21:14,14,15,23
REPORTED 2:24	112:23 113:16	126:11 140:6	retaining 92:10	22:3,13,16,18
Reporter 2:25 213:1	118:20 133:6	168:18 174:17	retention 90:25	24:13,18 25:8 26:3
reporting 100:16	158:22 159:21	responded 136:24	rethink 194:1	26:6,11,11,12,18
reports 11:10 21:4,5	166:11 167:8,24	155:10 207:21	retire 91:19	27:15 28:3 29:14
25:11 34:15,16	192:23	responding 163:15	retirement 182:23	30:21 31:12,14
73:2,3 153:17	requires 7:17 34:12	166:9 176:16	182:25	33:1,7,15,17 34:5
154:16 156:15	153:13 173:25	response 14:22	retirement-eligible	34:6,7,9,13 35:18
represent 29:4 30:5	175:16,20	17:25 21:3,21 43:9	83:11	36:7,10,23 37:12
33:5,20 35:11	rescheduled 72:22	49:4,5,6 52:6 72:3	retirements 136:15	37:20 39:23 40:17
204:24	rescope 36:10	76:12 80:7 82:1	return 5:11 93:3	40:24 41:2 51:8,10
representing 27:4	research 28:18 84:9	109:3,13 110:10	175:21	75:4 77:17 79:24
represents 7:9 32:14	87:5	110:11 114:19	REVCOM 10:4	137:14 141:11
35:8 47:13 88:3	researching 71:19	137:15 157:19	review 6:21 9:22,22	148:8,8,10 149:2
reproduced 41:9	75:25	177:21 178:17	10:5 11:9,13 20:17	150:25 152:11
reps 42:8	reshaping 119:25	203:14	21:8,25 22:4,7,14	153:15 154:25
reputation 84:14	reshuffling 64:17,21	responses 48:15	22:19 23:12,15,19	155:3 157:16
request 9:18 70:11	reside 82:15	responsibilities	24:9 25:1,1 27:22	163:5,5 164:20
72:15 81:22 82:18	resident 23:5 28:22	64:17 73:24	31:23,25 34:15	182:3
82:18 85:13,15	30:2 42:9,15 90:10	responsibility 6:25	36:2 39:6 44:6,18	revise 141:18
88:12,20,21 98:24	95:12 163:9,22	158:5	45:5,12 46:4,9,10	146:16
104:14 106:15	195:9 198:15	responsible 15:18	46:12,23 47:15,19	revised 20:19 46:25
107:5 137:10	resilience 74:10	28:15 29:21 46:19	48:7 49:22 51:14	144:18 146:15
166:22	resolution 197:24	77:6 89:3	52:21 70:11 72:18	200:1,1
requested 74:1 81:6	resolve 45:19	responsive 73:19	75:1,11,14,16	revision 146:11,17
requesting 68:7	resolved 44:15	126:3 176:19	77:20,21,24 78:4	revisit 151:17
85:18 88:19 92:17	45:15	rest 9:9 75:19 103:4	79:16 80:17 93:10	RFBA 176:22

				[240]
RFBAs 149:19	risk 12:2 41:22,25	103:18,22,22	36:23 37:12 39:18	129:3 130:5,19
Rich 37:3	75:17 204:16	108:6	42:20 43:7,18	131:11 134:22
Richard 2:14 18:18	risky 41:23	roll 57:14 59:7	44:21 45:13 48:1	135:5 141:4,6
25:15,20	River 30:3,15	108:9 129:20,24	48:14 51:1,8,10	147:17,20 151:6
Richland 30:19	Roberson 2:6 4:9,10	130:3	78:1,13,19,22	151:16,25 153:1
ride 166:5	5:23,24 16:21,23	rolled 180:1	89:18,19 90:14,23	153:10 155:8,12
right 4:8,9 16:25	17:3,7,22 35:25	rolling 42:13	91:8,12,12 92:1	156:2,11,18,23
17:1 33:7,10 35:13	36:1,13,16,18 40:1	room 53:5 55:17	93:4,11,12 99:25	157:5 158:14
40:22 44:15 45:4	40:2,10 41:14 65:2	56:14 57:10	103:21 114:4	159:12,15 161:8
45:23 46:1 48:19	65:3,15,24 67:10	109:12 122:10,11	123:23 127:7,16	161:24 162:3,17
49:7 51:9 52:7,13	68:16,17 94:7	122:11,12,14,25	129:22 138:20	164:10,22 165:12
57:4 58:1 59:9,21	95:18 96:6,21,24	123:6 138:12	139:10 146:14	165:17 170:8
61:10 64:12 72:4	97:5,8,11 104:10	162:15 164:10,18	148:9 152:24	172:4,5 173:12,22
76:10 82:2 96:19	104:11,12 105:5	209:23 210:6	154:15,15,17,22	178:20,21 179:3,5
96:22,25 97:1,14	105:15 111:1	211:21	154:23 156:1,5,6	179:7,14 186:1,22
99:21,22 101:22	113:6 114:19	Roscetti 2:17 46:18	156:14,16 163:5	187:2,7 190:1
102:14 104:15	117:4 119:22	46:20,21,22 52:19	171:10,11,14,17	192:13,19 193:9
106:23 109:2,10	120:19 121:3,5	196:17	171:25 172:5,14	193:16,19,21
110:3,15 117:12	122:9 123:17	rotations 195:12	174:2 175:1,6	194:10,25 195:6,8
120:11 123:9,21	124:11 126:10	Roughly 96:18	204:16 207:11	195:23 196:1,4
125:20 127:10,11	129:4 130:11,18	round 200:11 206:9	sail 115:7,8	198:14,18,21
128:4,20,24	131:23 133:4,9,11	route 59:14 173:1	sake 120:4,13	199:1,21 200:10
130:16 131:10,13	133:21,25 136:20	routine 167:16	salary 84:22	200:18 203:7
133:9 134:8,13	138:1 139:5 142:8	routinely 171:8	Sally 2:25 213:3,17	206:14,15
135:6 136:12	143:15 144:20	rules 14:12	sanctity 57:21	sat 180:17
137:20 138:18	147:6 149:15	run 15:20 62:18	Sandia 41:24 42:1,4	satisfactory 202:19
139:7 141:8 147:2	155:8,11,13,17	116:12	42:7 43:19	satisfied 27:3
147:17 149:12	158:12 159:25		Santos 2:8 4:10 6:1	Savannah 30:3,15
155:5,12,14,24	162:1,4 163:6	S	6:2 36:19,20 37:10	save 65:7,11 83:18
157:21 158:23	164:12 166:7	S 2:1 4:1 195:24	37:15 38:5,12 39:1	savings 65:14
159:12,17 160:11	174:6 176:9	s/Sally 213:16	39:4 40:2 50:10,11	saw 161:13
160:23 161:1,20	178:14,18 183:18	sacrifice 56:9 84:24	51:7,25 52:3 62:4	saving 41:20 48:24
163:7 166:14	184:22 188:1	safe 11:12 55:22	62:5,9,11 63:16	94:20 98:20 104:2
179:15 183:21	190:12,19,23	safeguard 21:15,15	64:5,9 66:3,4,5,21	122:10 126:15
184:24 185:14	191:2,6 197:20	safer 208:15	67:4,8,11,21 68:1	130:10,12,12
186:6,11 187:2	198:12 205:21,22	safety 1:7 2:3,10 4:5	68:9,12 69:10,11	141:12 145:1
188:7,11 190:1,16	Roberson's 134:24	10:3,17,21 11:1,2	69:15 70:15,21	147:14 153:2
190:17,22 192:16	Robert 93:16	11:9,24 18:25	83:24 94:1,4 96:7	162:5 166:12
194:6,12,25	role 72:13 73:16	19:14 20:9 21:13	96:9,13,17 97:21	181:9 188:21
196:17 198:19	76:25 78:21,23	21:14,18 22:13,22	98:1,16 99:1	192:4 194:11
200:23,24 202:19	79:12 92:22 95:8	26:3,6,7 27:13,13	106:19,25 108:2	195:8
200:23,24 202:17	96:15 97:4 118:23	27:18,19,25 28:10	108:21 109:1	says 15:19 44:16
202:24 205:12	169:5,11,17,20	28:16,19 29:21,24	110:11 111:23	46:3,10,11 64:20
210:20	172:8 174:23	30:9,10,11,24 31:4	112:21 113:5	102:23 110:17
rightly 175:7	181:6 186:8	31:6,13,16,20,25	112:21 113:3	121:25 122:6
rigorous 67:1	189:23	32:11,20,21 33:8	121:4 127:4,11,16	121:23 122:0
ringleader 133:15	roles 92:22 95:12,17	33:11,18 35:6,18	127:23 128:3,5,14	127:6,16,24
	10100 /2.22 /3.12,17	,, •	127.25 120.3,5,14	127.0,10,27

	_			[241]
129:21 137:21,22	secretaries 86:10,24	203:19,23 210:12	209:18	188:11
150:24 168:2,6	185:13 186:12	seeing 109:14 138:4	series 21:24 45:6	short 73:5 88:9,10
171:12 175:17	secretary 4:16 11:22	seek 131:15 144:13	189:16	100:22 195:8
182:9 188:11,19	85:18,19,22,25	seeking 75:21 85:11	seriously 134:5	short-term 93:1
190:10,22 191:7,8	86:19 100:13,17	181:2	serve 4:15 93:1	shorter 143:25
191:9 192:14	100:21 101:3,3	seen 122:1 176:3	116:18 169:12	shoulders 176:4
195:8 201:9,19,21	116:13 141:20	sees 130:12 175:7	service 57:13 59:24	show 27:7 123:14
202:9 211:10	144:19 188:4,5	segment 89:9	89:4 183:24 184:3	127:14 149:5
scalpel 146:3	204:14	segmented 55:20	184:9,12,16	200:1 208:4,4
scanning 81:15	section 8:9,17 12:19	segments 53:15	services 53:15,21	shown 25:25 40:20
scenario 168:3	13:13 15:25 33:9	seismic 22:2 93:8	61:12 62:9 66:25	shows 27:7 29:8
200:2,2	62:7 66:6 67:11	100:1	73:13 76:16 84:3	30:12 33:22,25
schedule 18:8 23:11	73:12,13 76:14,15	send 123:15 124:4	88:24 98:2,17,22	122:18
27:23 51:19 52:8	76:16 79:7 111:5	124:16 139:17	SES 58:19,22 71:8	shuffled 181:22,25
146:10,14 148:4	177:2,9	167:14,19 168:8	85:3 97:1,9,10,12	shuffling 71:3
scheduled 18:8	sections 61:11 73:12	169:9 175:20	97:19 180:24	196:16
151:2 154:21,23	secure 53:8 55:20,22	188:9	183:7,8 192:25	shut 53:12
schedules 23:17	55:25 66:18 67:3	sender 175:21	195:24	side 13:10 160:24
25:2 51:11 86:14	security 23:12 28:23	sending 169:7	Sesame 46:7	162:19
schematic 12:25	53:8 54:18,19,20	sends 124:3	SESs 97:5,8 180:20	sides 152:23
scheme 154:2	54:21 56:8 62:12	senior 32:15 90:8,9	SESS 97.5,8 180.20 SESSION 110:1	sign 27:12 109:7
scope 23:9 25:1 29:6	62:17 63:17 65:5	95:10 96:10 119:8	set 7:20 16:12 44:23	signal 124:4,5
29:11 30:7 34:13	66:25 74:7 79:8,10	126:6 178:22	75:15 92:14 95:6	126:19 185:1
	-		124:8 129:7,9	120.19 185.1
67:13,24 68:12 98:3 153:15 156:3	79:10,11,11,12,13	179:3,5,16,23,24 181:10 182:23	124.8 129.7,9 141:17 197:24	
	79:16,25 80:2,3,7		203:1	signaling 126:5
scopes 50:15 67:22	161:24 170:18,18 170:19	183:23 184:3,8,12		signature 142:20 168:24
score 21:15,15,18 40:19	see 27:9 29:9 30:15	184:16 186:20	sets 156:17	
scorecard 135:14		192:22 195:2	setting 197:14	signed 57:11 109:8 109:11
	30:17 32:5,10 33:3	197:11 202:2	211:11,18	
scored 29:16 30:21	33:8 35:12 40:24	sense 15:25 16:3	seven 26:10 29:7	significant 26:18
scores 42:14 84:7	45:8,15 46:2 49:22	50:6 51:23 98:6,21	41:2 142:25	28:4 33:25 35:12
scoring 29:16	64:1 70:12 94:18	102:8 114:13	198:14 200:13	39:17 45:6 48:4
screening 46:12	105:2 109:7	116:10 125:6	seven-page 146:9,22	49:19 50:23,25
scrub 159:20	113:23 115:10	126:2 131:6	146:25	79:16 90:16 132:1
scrutiny 29:18 70:2	123:9 126:20	168:20 194:9	shaking 138:4	132:25
Sean 2:4 4:4	127:5 129:19,19	210:24	152:10	significantly 50:21
Seated 4:11	130:9,11 136:6,25	sent 126:19 128:9	share 30:16 85:13	105:23 126:15
second 8:9,17 10:19	138:9 139:20	sentence 153:12	147:20	similar 30:20 38:9
11:11 18:20 20:16	140:21 142:19,21	175:17	shared 88:24 98:2	38:15 47:10 50:11
30:23 53:5 54:10	144:14 146:14,18	separate 30:17 43:7	98:17,22 187:18	50:15 118:14
55:21 60:5 61:14	146:19 153:22	43:17 60:16	SharePoint 57:5,7	124:1 127:2
83:6 95:7 99:9	159:6 161:8 167:7	170:25 191:14	sharing 172:21	150:22
193:13 200:14	168:17 170:1,25	209:19	shift 128:11,13	similarly 69:9
201:19,23	172:10,23 175:5	Separately 202:4	shifts 27:10	107:20
secondly 26:17	180:8 184:15	separates 30:18	shine 204:22	simple 129:11
secretarial 92:21	186:4 196:14	September 1:15	ship 115:6,7 118:25	177:13
102:19 103:2	200:15,19 202:12	4:18,20 112:14,21	shooting 82:22 83:2	simpler 104:1

				[242]
simplest 111:12	66:11,23 67:7,16	174:13	specifics 74:11	123:8,16 125:17
simply 26:15 106:5	67:25 68:3 69:3,14	somebody's 63:21	117:21	125:23 126:6,17
120:12,17 139:21	69:22 71:9,17 72:2	187:24	specified 25:8 34:14	126:18,19 128:8
144:5,11 175:11	82:4,5 83:6 88:6,7	someplace 113:11	153:16,20 154:13	128:15 129:16
189:3 207:16	97:21,25 98:23	soon 194:22 195:16	specify 117:20,22	132:2,3 135:12
simultaneously	99:2 101:12,20,23	sorry 12:23 44:3	spell 124:9	136:1,21,23
143:10 201:10	102:2 104:12,22	46:11 99:12	spelled 7:7	137:13,22 138:4
single 55:18	105:9,17 106:3,8	102:11 127:25	spend 101:6 159:23	138:12,22 139:12
sir 47:21 96:12	108:3,8,23 110:11	140:15 156:2	169:22 171:10	139:15,20,25
97:25	112:7,11 133:4,8	161:25 162:2	177:5	140:4,9,15,16,24
sit 63:9 180:14	133:10 192:17,18	179:1 185:4 199:1	spending 54:7 58:12	141:12,24 142:1
209:23 210:1	198:3	203:15	68:25 163:23	143:6 144:1,4,24
site 21:4 39:16 42:8	SL 193:3,3 194:16	sort 115:10 158:20	165:3 171:24,25	145:8,11 147:15
42:11 47:23 93:7	194:19	177:19 197:10	spent 164:4,5	148:7,15 150:4
117:23 137:14,18	slide 18:14,22 20:11	211:1,3	spite 144:22	153:14,22 155:18
139:11 140:20	20:22 21:23 22:25	sound 12:2 14:10	split 10:1 185:24	155:21,25 156:6
148:25 149:23	24:7 25:3 33:2	62:16 210:22	187:22	156:11,14 157:7
163:23 165:25	34:4,9,18 38:12	sounded 166:16	spoke 101:25	157:11 163:4,20
sites 19:14 29:7,9,12	40:3,3,23 41:16	sounds 63:12 106:20	spotlights 204:22	164:4 166:24
30:2,8,13,14 42:9	44:1,11 45:24,25	source 45:13 62:13	spreadsheet 72:15	167:4,23 172:18
42:11,15 43:20	47:8,24 50:12	155:19	stab 145:6 147:12	174:10 181:7,22
78:19 136:2,4	slides 32:24 41:9	space 178:23	stabilization 19:4	182:17,18 183:6,9
sitting 53:5 74:19	slightly 36:17 62:16	speak 24:8 106:8	28:13 29:20	183:19 185:2,12
191:22 210:5	113:20	108:8 111:22	staff 2:10 5:13 7:13	185:17,24 186:20
situation 87:12	slot 71:6 195:10	143:7 190:12	7:24 10:2,6 11:7	187:22 188:10
six 40:16 97:19	slots 192:15	speakers 101:24	11:19 17:14,15	190:22 191:9,11
105:24 106:2	small 26:11 58:12	speaking 76:4 80:15	19:17,21,22 20:7	195:10 199:5,9,12
107:5,9,12 184:2	58:14 60:20 73:9	87:8 193:19	20:15,19,20 21:12	199:17,22 200:7
190:2 195:11	158:23 173:20	special 86:11 88:21	21:20 22:7,9,11,23	201:12 202:5
six-month 195:15	175:13 176:5	102:3 108:3	23:4,25,25 24:2,3	203:2,16 205:24
size 43:18 87:25	177:9 191:19	197:13	24:5,11,20,21,23	206:1,20 207:5
88:2 116:4 159:5	smaller 33:25 60:21	specialized 95:13	24:24 25:10 28:1	208:4,18,25 209:3
160:5	197:8	specialty 54:24	41:8,8,11 44:19	209:4,6,16 210:16
sizeable 105:12	smartest 94:10	91:11,24	45:2 47:1 48:4,16	211:6
sized 69:9	smarts 94:14	specific 15:8 16:5	49:15,19 51:12	staff's 18:17 24:1
skeleton 87:10	smooth 149:21	23:14 30:13 69:24	73:22 75:8 77:16	25:20 30:16 45:7
skill 90:16 92:14	smorgasbord	70:4,6 71:15 81:4	77:18,22 78:15,21	78:20 89:17 92:14
skilled 82:7 92:10	174:14	98:3 121:12,15	79:2,8,22 80:21	137:15 150:23
skills 94:24	snapshot 103:14	124:14 129:25	81:12 82:19 85:8	staff-intensive 155:4
skipped 207:10	snuck 76:9	138:15 148:10,11	87:4 88:12 89:21	staff-wide 91:7
skipping 56:10	software 57:19	151:25 158:11	90:13 91:2,3,6,14	staffed 160:20
Sklar 2:12 6:9,11,24	solution 93:1 96:5	161:23 164:22	91:15,17,18,21,22	staffers 32:16
12:18,22 13:21	solution <i>5</i> .1 <i>5</i> 0.5 solutions 60:17	specifically 19:24	91:23 92:6 93:1,6	staffing 1:11 3:13
14:19 18:2 52:17	somebody 46:13	70:12 93:16	93:14,22 95:10,11	5:3 32:22 41:10
52:25 53:1 61:11	63:23 71:2,4,24	129:16 140:18	102:11,15,17	64:14,23 74:2 81:5
61:20 62:15 63:19	104:19 125:8	specificity 71:18	102.11,15,17	81:6,7,22 82:4,6,9
64:8,20 65:8,18,25	136:10 138:25	118:7	117:9,20 119:5,8	83:13,15,20 84:2
,20 05.0,10,25	150.10 150.25	110.7	117.7,20 117.3,0	03.13,13,20 04.2

9/28/2017

				[243]
85:15 87:8,14,19	stated 17:7 75:7	stilted 210:2	56:12 154:15	113:15,18
87:24 88:3,4 89:15	102:16 174:4	stockpile 28:17	Street 46:7	subsequent 22:10
90:22 93:15 94:22	statement 6:19 8:4,7	stop 59:20 61:9	strengthen 204:11	105:20 106:7
100:11 103:13	8:10 11:16,17 25:4	stopped 135:23	204:13	subsequently 4:19
106:11 125:19	25:4 33:14 34:8	store 55:9,25	strengthening	48:20
136:9,13 141:25	37:18,20 38:3,8,9	story 146:5	205:15	subset 122:21,23
176:18 178:19	48:7,25 58:8 63:22	straight 8:4 92:11	strengths 90:11	substantial 88:12
182:12 184:21	114:8 141:14	straightforward	stressing 168:13	substantively 137:5
189:4 192:10	142:17 150:20,24	9:12 11:2	strict 13:22	substitute 169:3
197:10,21 201:3	151:3,18,21,24	strategic 1:11 3:5	strictly 17:12	subtract 37:1
206:5 212:8	153:13 154:5,13	5:3 6:8,10,14,16	100:17 115:16	successful 92:9
stages 92:12	167:5 170:8	6:16,17,21 7:15,17	128:18	107:17 183:5,8
stakeholder 132:6	statements 150:13	7:20,22 8:20 9:25	strike 144:13,23	succession 83:12
stakeholders 34:23	states 115:5 159:10	10:22 12:8,9,12,23	strive 12:15	125:18 183:4
35:6 124:25	161:14	12:25 13:5,15,15	strong 16:16 32:11	sufficient 12:2 81:5
125:12	stationary 27:17	13:23 14:14,14	84:14 87:13 88:24	167:5
standard 9:21 46:25	status 28:3 137:4	15:3,9,13,19 16:8	114:12 130:19	sufficiently 78:14
47:4	156:8	16:17,20 19:1,11	stronger 136:13	suggest 12:6 110:18
standards 9:23 10:3	statute 8:5 9:19,21	24:9 28:7,8 29:19	206:25	suggesting 192:7
10:8,9,14 30:24	10:23 11:25 13:6	30:23 33:10,11	strongly 58:25	suggestion 132:16
38:6 75:7 78:13,15	17:12,12 47:20	34:19,21 35:2 82:8	59:14 169:6,11	193:24 194:10
118:8 143:18	70:5,6 116:18	82:10 110:23,24	struck 17:20	suggestions 150:2
145:14 146:13	119:11,14 121:11	112:4,8,18 113:12	structural 93:9	164:15
165:24	121:12,16,22	113:24 114:1,13	122:14	suited 118:9
standing 20:23,25	124:14 127:12	115:4,6,20 116:7	structure 19:2 116:3	Sullivan 2:4 4:3,4
standpoint 53:8	130:8 131:1	116:15,20,22	120:7 121:10	5:22 6:1,3,5 12:21
staple 132:7	145:12 152:11,21	117:6,11,23 118:1	122:17 186:2	14:7 15:12 16:9,22
start 14:9 18:4	171:11 172:1	118:18 119:4,5,15	210:23	17:1,6,23 18:1
69:17 72:10 81:16	174:4 175:16,19	120:8,13,15 121:8	structured 32:19	19:25 35:23 36:19
81:24 104:25	175:22 191:7	121:22,25 122:1	35:14 92:4 115:22	37:13 39:3 40:1
111:1 123:25	211:8,13 212:1	123:10,22,23	120:10 122:15	41:15 43:24 44:10
135:9,12 146:11	statutory 15:2 70:9	124:2,22,23 125:5	struggle 116:8,25	44:15 45:23 46:17
166:20 187:5	70:20 118:20	125:14 126:23	struggled 115:3	47:6 48:19 49:3
193:5 201:17,23	173:20 204:17	128:1 129:21,21	struggles 118:24	50:3 52:2,4,7,13
202:22	stay 88:13	131:25 132:5,14	stuff 129:14,15	52:24 61:10 62:4,7
started 38:23,24	staying 53:7,12	132:21,23 133:2,8	139:24 144:23	62:10 64:11 65:1
75:12 113:14	stead 59:2	132:21,25 135:2,8	146:11 165:9	66:1,4 68:6,10,15
209:8	steady 88:11,22	135:18 145:17	166:6 177:6,7	69:10 70:22 72:1,4
starting 112:1 113:9	161:12,14,17,21	204:5,7,7,9,10	sub 57:12	73:5 76:10,13
130:21 190:9	steel 49:25	205:10,11,18	subject 70:1	77:15 78:3 79:5
204:6 205:11	stemming 74:6 75:7	206:19 207:11,24	subject 70.1 submission 88:9	80:9 81:25 82:2
starts 104:23	stenotype 213:5	208:2,21	113:3	83:22,25 88:6
state 88:11,22 112:3	step 58:18 202:11	strategies 20:13	submit 112:17	89:10 93:25 94:3,5
129:14 131:6	stepping 208:24	39:19,22 125:16	137:10 171:21	96:7 98:10 99:3
140:13 161:13,15	steps 138:21	149:2	199:11 203:4,18	101:22 102:10,14
161:17,17,21	stick 119:22 120:22	strategy 19:13 39:12	submitted 81:23	101.22 102.10,14
170:13	sticking 134:6	39:25 55:5,7,13,16	102:21 106:16	105:18 106:4,13
1/0.13		57.25 55.5,7,15,10	102.21 100.10	105.10 100.7,15

				[244]
106:24 107:3,16	Sunshine 4:22,23	205:17 208:5	system 13:20 15:22	109:19 168:11
109:2,4,10,14	209:23 211:14	supported 43:8	16:2 20:20 29:16	178:10 210:25
110:3,15 111:21	supervise 102:5	72:25 73:6 121:15	34:3 50:2,14 54:20	takes 122:7 168:21
115:3 118:24	supervising 163:21	supporter 148:12	56:16 57:2 58:19	169:1 173:12
121:19 122:9	185:13	supporting 43:21	58:22,23 59:5 62:1	192:24 199:12
123:5,21 126:12	supervision 86:3,11	72:13 73:16 98:21	68:21 72:14 78:12	talent 82:12 136:16
126:13 127:9,14	86:23 213:6	179:12	81:1,3,11 133:2	136:17
127:21,25 128:4,8	supervisor 181:1	supports 28:19	156:4,13 194:19	talented 84:8 210:15
128:20 130:13,16	186:18	81:21 191:16	195:5	210:16
131:4,12 132:20	supervisors 96:13	suppose 115:13	systematic 11:5	talk 20:18 99:5
133:14 134:8	97:3,13 163:21	supposed 15:4,7,8	systems 22:1 58:9	141:2 157:24
135:4,6 137:16,20	181:2 183:3	119:13 170:23	76:6	158:11 178:20
138:18 139:7	supervisory 23:5	187:1 194:21		182:10 183:22
141:1,8 142:16	86:19 89:23 96:15	198:24 211:9	T	208:20 211:19
144:4 146:8 147:2	97:3 103:22 108:6	sure 11:19 16:24	tab 6:15 53:17	talked 34:17 61:12
147:11 150:23	108:20 179:6	17:3 26:14 32:9	table 30:20 35:16	61:14 64:16 99:23
151:13,17 152:6	181:6 183:11	39:10 41:10 44:14	37:6,11 44:16	130:22,22 132:1,9
152:14 153:11	186:11 187:10,14	51:3 54:6,21 55:24	45:25 60:25 93:19	132:9,10 144:25
154:12 155:5,15	187:15	57:2 62:15 63:2,6	105:19,21 106:6	145:17 160:21
157:13 158:10	supplement 91:3	63:8 67:20 82:11	141:10 157:25	197:7 211:17
159:9,13,17	supplied 43:13	101:17 103:10	160:2 163:20	talking 14:9 56:24
160:11 163:17	support 23:24 25:11	105:9,17 116:5	tables 40:20 47:12	83:23 99:13,19
164:14 165:2,13	29:23 31:8 33:17	118:2 121:20,24	105:20 106:7,21	116:24 127:15
165:19 166:14	37:21 53:4 57:7	122:12 125:20	188:12,18 192:9	146:24 147:4
170:13 172:23	58:20 63:11 72:15	132:18,24 134:1	200:1,9 201:7,10	161:23 165:9
173:13 175:15	72:17,18,19,22,24	135:4 141:6,24	tackle 59:10	178:12,14 185:19
176:24 177:22	73:12 74:3 75:8,19	152:4 157:2	tailoring 20:20	186:4 188:9 198:4
178:15,19 179:9	76:15,24 77:15	159:17 160:20	take 6:25 14:1,5	207:16 210:2,3,7,9
179:15 180:10,13	79:7,9 81:1,3 84:3	162:14 166:12	15:12,16,25 18:9	210:17,20
183:21 184:24	84:3,4,7,14,25	167:21,23 177:18	19:20 36:11 39:1	talks 9:21 12:25
185:5,22 186:25	85:5 87:5,14,21,25	178:12 179:19,20	44:23 45:11 48:3	124:24
187:3,17 188:7	88:1,4,23 89:24	187:9 189:9,22	49:13 50:6 51:3,25	targeted 140:18
190:2,16,21 191:7	90:14 92:18,19,20	195:18 198:5	52:9 61:8 64:5,10	task 32:15 81:21
193:12,17 194:2	92:22,24,25 93:5,7	200:6	71:4,13 83:17 87:6	151:14 155:22
194:12 195:25	93:22 94:21 98:5,6	surely 86:21	93:14 95:19 98:5	tasked 116:9 139:19
196:2,6,9,12 197:4	98:11 99:6,8,15,24	surface 66:12	106:14 116:2	152:12 211:4,5,6
197:5 198:19,22	100:8 101:25	surge 161:11,20	123:18 128:10	taskings 5:13
199:7 200:6,16,21	102:3,6,19,20,21	surging 161:17,21	134:4 138:14	203:17
202:20 203:12,15	102:3,0,19,20,21	surplus 29:25	141:9,23 145:6	tasks 23:18 76:4
202.20 203.12,13	102.22 103.1,2,4,3	surprise 83:2	158:4 169:9 172:7	181:23
204.1 205.20,22	125:8 134:2	surprised 158:9	172:24 173:8	
208:17	125.8 134.2	174:25 175:12	177:1,6,8 183:1,9	taxpayer 114:5 team 20:3 22:11
summarize 5:12	177:23 178:11	survive 136:25	183:14,17 187:4	24:9,10,14,20,22
194:15 198:24	181:19 182:5	survive 130.25 suspect 40:7	192:16 193:4,8,11	24:24 25:1 139:12
203:16	181:19 182:3	suspect 40.7 sustain 35:5	192:10 199:1,0,11	141:12
summary 5:13	185.25 180.2	SWOT 90:12	211:21	tech 102:20 103:2
Sunderland 19:25	189:20 199:5,22	sync 12:7	taken 23:3 69:2	195:10
	107.20 177.3,22	Sync 12.7		175.10

				[245]
technical 2:14,16,17	tend 122:15	207:9 208:11,13	52:7 53:19 54:15	168:9,10,11,11,15
3:7 5:6 7:6 12:2	tends 42:14	208:16,17,18	57:25 60:7 65:8,10	169:1,2,5,15,15,16
13:6,10 14:11,22	tentative 101:24	209:20 212:2,13	68:19 70:4,19	169:18,19,23
17:16 18:3,13,17	tenure 77:5 87:16	212:14	71:17,19 82:14	170:2,5,14 171:10
18:18,22 19:17	term 16:17,18,18	thanks 203:10	88:8 94:9 100:12	171:11,24 172:14
20:6 21:12,20 22:9	26:2 71:14 148:7	themes 53:3 147:25	103:10 104:12,12	173:23,23 174:4
22:11 23:4 24:1,3	161:12 195:11	thing 17:8 31:19	105:15,19 108:14	174:10,17,18
24:11,20 25:10,16	terms 11:4 17:16	32:1 43:2 48:13	108:15 109:15	175:7 176:17,18
25:20 32:15 35:1	44:18 49:18 63:4	52:14 55:19 69:25	111:12,16,25	177:2,14,24 178:2
41:17 46:18 67:20	74:19 107:6 116:1	93:19 110:21	112:19,22,23	178:7 179:18
75:1,3 77:16,16,17	116:19 125:24	116:8 125:15	113:3,12,16	182:13,24 183:13
77:18,22,22,23	129:2 134:25	136:5 147:4,7	115:21,25,25	183:16 184:1,25
78:20,21 80:23	136:11 159:3	168:12 173:8	116:6 117:14,14	185:7,16 186:20
88:16 89:7,13,16	162:21,21 163:4	174:8 176:10,15	117:15,22,25	188:6,17,19
89:17 90:13,21	165:20 170:4	177:8 189:20	118:14,15,18,22	189:11,20 190:16
91:1,6,8,11,14,17	175:2 181:3,10,20	things 8:17 13:12,14	119:18,20 121:7,8	190:19,21 191:19
91:23 92:6,22 93:5	182:25 190:5	15:21 17:8 32:4	121:12,24,25	195:15 197:20
93:6,6 97:17,18,18	test 29:22 57:17,18	38:1 42:13 43:14	122:7,10,11,11,14	198:15 199:2,16
99:8,24 102:11,15	57:20,24 67:12,14	49:21 51:20 58:7	122:18,25 123:2,3	199:24 200:9,24
102:16,21 103:4,7	67:19 68:1,4,12	58:22 60:12 62:2	123:12,15 124:11	201:17,18 203:23
104:2 105:25	testing 28:18 43:11	63:7,13,14 64:16	124:16,18 125:13	205:25 206:24,24
107:20 135:8	43:12 67:1	66:14 81:14 94:15	125:15,17 126:8,9	207:10,10,25
155:6 157:22	thank 5:22 6:11,13	97:22 101:10	129:7,9,13,18	208:3 209:18,24
166:24,24 167:4	6:24 16:9 17:22	110:19 127:2	130:5 131:1,8,13	211:25,25
167:14,18 180:21	18:1 19:20,24	134:9 141:23	132:7 133:1,5	thinking 71:4,5
180:23,25 181:22	35:23 36:18,20	142:2,4,19,21	134:2,11 135:2,14	111:18 138:6
182:6,11,23	38:12 41:14 43:24	143:1,2,3 144:13	135:16,19,24	158:18
185:15 186:13	45:23 47:6 50:7	145:2,17,18	138:2,10 139:6,15	thinks 186:16
189:25	52:3,24 53:1 62:10	146:15 147:15	139:16,24 140:6	third 10:8 11:5
technically 12:1	64:9 65:1,24,25	149:4 152:19	141:15,20 142:2	20:17 33:10 44:3,3
techniques 91:9	70:21 72:8 76:6	153:24 154:20	142:10,15,25	53:7 95:11 163:11
technologies 161:10	77:15 78:3 79:5	160:3,6 162:12,15	143:25 144:1,21	194:3,9 195:22
technology 55:2	80:9 82:5 84:1	164:6,17,20 165:8	145:3,9,18,23	201:21
57:7 61:13 66:5	88:5 93:14 96:6,9	166:3 167:7,25	146:2,2,4,21,22	Thirteen 150:18
tectonic 27:10	101:11 102:10	168:16 169:7	140.2,2,4,21,22	thought 37:19 71:9
tee 60:24	101.11 102.10	171:3,17,21 172:2	149:3,9 150:10	83:4 87:9 100:11
telegraphing 144:11	107:16 109:4,17	172:12,15,22,24	151:15 153:2,22	108:17 120:13
tell 46:13 138:13	110:9,14,15	172:12,13,22,24	154:1 155:20,22	121:21 162:2
141:20 155:22	111:23 123:5	175:20 177:11,19	156:25 159:16	197:11 203:24
165:14 173:9	131:4 135:5	197:6 199:7	160:3,6,9,16,22	thoughtful 82:11
177:10 187:20	136:20 147:20	201:11 207:18	161:4 162:5,7,10	83:13 162:15
202:5	157:13 170:10	208:19	162:11,12,14,15	thoughts 14:3
telling 175:24 185:2	178:18 187:5	think 7:8,14 9:11,15	163:12,13,15	147:21
189:23	188:7 193:21	9:20 11:3 17:11,15	164:10,12,22	threat 54:10,13,15
template 59:11	198:12 204:1,4	36:14 40:18 41:2	165:19,22 166:3	threats 90:12
temporary 53:25	205:19,20,22,23	42:22 43:25 46:1	167:1,3,4,6,9,11	three 8:14,23 9:13
ten 163:9 198:22	205:19,20,22,25	47:7 48:13 50:21	167:16,24 168:1,6	10:1 47:13 75:24
WH 103.7 170.22	200.13,10 207.0,7	TI.I TU.IJ JU.21	107.10,27 100.1,0	10.1 77.13 73.27

				[246]
87:17 89:22 90:6	171:7,10,25 173:9	totaling 92:20	tried 36:11 40:14	turned 41:13 155:13
93:5,12 95:4 97:13	177:5 179:19	track 61:23	69:5 192:25	155:23
97:17 132:22	183:11,16 184:10	tracking 38:24	trigger 70:19 194:24	turning 58:16 74:11
157:23 159:23	192:24 193:13,13	72:11,14,16	trimmer 177:3	140:16
176:10 181:1,6	193:22 196:17,23	tradeoff 71:8	trip 82:16	turnover 63:8
184:1 188:12,18	198:1,23 199:10	traditional 114:13	triple 32:6 33:6 41:5	160:24
188:18 192:8	199:13,15,18	traditionally 65:9	166:22	turns 157:8,8
199:24 200:8	203:17 204:2	78:10	tripped 82:17	tweaked 27:3
202:4,23 211:20	209:4 212:6	train 32:19 91:1	tripping 56:25	Twelve 190:13
three-column	timed 51:18,18	183:1,19	trips 172:19	twice 151:14 182:14
202:22	148:8	trained 95:24,25	trouble 8:8 116:6	two 12:9 13:21
threshold 75:15	timely 63:7 124:1	training 24:4,6 26:4	troublesome 60:12	18:15 22:16,21,23
Thursday 57:13,14	times 54:4 81:2	35:15 59:6 91:5,6	true 103:9,9 106:14	23:2,6 26:12 29:10
tie 15:8 152:1 153:5	87:17 153:24	91:7,10,14 94:9,23	151:13	30:17 32:24 39:24
tied 23:10 150:15	193:4 195:18	95:4,6,14,20,22	truly 160:6	40:17 41:1,2 42:15
151:7 152:20	207:17	96:2 103:23 136:6	truth 115:7	46:1 56:20 60:11
157:6	titled 60:9,18 70:25	136:13 164:16,16	truthfulness 63:22	60:15 61:11,14
tier 142:18,18,18,20	titling 70:1	165:15 182:15,19	try 15:25 36:9 37:23	69:6 73:12 85:22
143:2,2,18,18	today 14:2 16:15	195:11	39:14,17,19 53:6,7	87:16,17 89:4 90:4
ties 34:20 174:2	32:23 57:14	transcribed 213:8	58:12 81:2,20	90:24 91:1 92:23
till 150:2,3	104:24 115:17	transcript 4:25	110:18 129:6	92:25 93:10,21
time 5:10,24 6:4,15	192:12 208:12,20	transcripts 9:4	142:12 157:17	95:22 96:12 97:12
7:4 12:18,20,24	210:9	transfer 91:16,20,24	192:6 201:9	97:17 98:8,24
19:20 25:15 32:7	today's 4:6 18:14	transferred 77:8	203:16 211:16,25	99:12,15 101:14
49:15 51:21 53:18	71:22	196:23	211:25 212:1	101:16,23 102:17
54:2,7 57:24 61:8	Todd 19:24 93:16	transferring 103:21	trying 15:20,23	102:25 103:2
63:7,7 73:5 79:15	told 111:18 115:8	Transfers 32:14	16:15 49:8,11,16	105:14 111:19
80:15 81:18 82:25	129:12,23 153:23	transforming 97:22	61:5 68:23 80:25	132:5 133:1
83:18 87:19 88:15	179:15 186:15	transitioned 89:22	82:7 84:19 95:15	137:15 142:18,21
89:7,8,22 93:17	187:19 208:21	translate 98:14	98:15,20 101:10	146:4 151:8,8
100:13,22,22	tomorrow 202:21	129:1 143:5	121:21 124:3	155:2,20,25 156:7
101:1,6 102:18	203:19	translating 97:23	125:18 126:13	156:23 157:3
103:14 107:10	Tontodonato 2:14	transmit 140:17	128:10,12 142:10	161:24 176:14
108:2 112:12,19	18:18 25:16,19,20	transparency 9:6	143:13 144:2,8	178:21 179:8
112:20,22 113:4	40:22 42:6,25 43:5	transparent 9:3	152:1 153:21	180:20 181:18
116:14 117:5	44:8 45:4 96:19,22	35:5 75:19	159:23 173:17	182:4 184:2
118:1 120:12	97:1,7,9,12,14,16	travel 149:23	180:3 182:7 189:3	187:18 192:13,15
131:14 132:18	133:14,17	177:17	191:18 197:16	192:16,19 196:15
133:11,17 134:17	tools 20:12	treat 27:1 34:6	200:22 201:16	205:3 206:16
137:8 139:2,19	top 14:17 37:8	treated 26:19	203:9 208:5 209:2	two-part 142:10
141:24 144:2	127:23 180:2	treatment 33:24	209:13 210:23	two-thirds 162:9
145:11 147:1	top-notch 53:4	47:19 49:10	211:17	tying 114:24 150:25
150:4 152:8	topic 91:16	tremendous 54:11	turn 5:18 6:22 12:16	type 13:23 21:13
161:11 163:23	topics 40:7,17 91:7	158:15 160:15	25:15 49:25 83:16	34:17 51:24 57:19
164:3,7,8,16,24	total 23:4 36:21 46:5	tremendously	83:17 150:5	58:24 71:11
165:3 168:10,21	82:20 90:5 96:15	210:15,16	166:18 189:1	150:19 159:7
169:1,22 170:2,3	98:6,20 104:14	trend 71:23 98:8	204:2	186:2
,,.	, -			l

				[247]
types 71:20	understands 71:25	192:15 195:24	205:5 206:6,7	111:23 115:24
typewriting 213:5	understood 123:6	vagaries 78:24	208:1	116:23 117:11
typical 57:17	126:17 181:14	valid 174:18	violated 191:13	120:21 122:22
typically 24:2 51:19	undertake 60:22	valuable 117:6,7	visibility 148:19	124:7,22 126:10
60:15 71:7	undo 120:7	140:21	157:9 159:1	130:13 131:6
	undone 160:9	value 113:24 117:10	161:19	132:12,13 133:15
U	unfair 71:18	117:24 119:20	vision 7:21 8:10	136:16 137:2
U.S 114:11 119:9	unfilled 180:17	172:20 180:9	114:8	138:11,12,24
162:19	unfortunate 211:4	204:21 205:25	visiting 136:3	139:7 141:6
ultimately 51:5	unique 74:8 169:21	210:16,22	visits 21:4 42:11	142:14,19 145:6
101:1 114:10	unit 210:21,22	value-added 57:3	137:14 165:23	148:9 152:15
Um-hmm 100:2	unitary 116:13	valued 210:14	volume 175:3	158:2,4,11 159:19
unanimous 193:15	United 115:5 159:10	varies 145:10	vote 5:2 12:5,6,12	159:25 160:13
uncertainties 23:1,9	universal 95:10	various 93:9 175:18	134:10 137:1	162:1 163:6
24:8	unknown 195:2	vehicle 118:16	143:15,16 169:9	164:15 165:23
uncomfortable	unnecessary 160:8	ventilation 22:1	170:4 211:18	168:18,22 172:9
210:6,13,18	untimed 51:18,20	34:3 50:14 90:17	voted 4:20 137:8	172:10 173:6
undergone 160:15	untracked 73:1	91:13,25	142:11 143:9	176:7,9 178:20
undermanned	unusual 83:7 160:8	verbatim 4:25	votes 5:14 207:17	181:6 182:10,17
105:23 159:19	update 28:2	verbiage 8:11 11:4	voting 56:19 131:16	183:21 184:19
166:21 176:6	updating 73:21 87:3	189:5,8,9 202:25	131:17,20 143:11	185:24 186:4
underneath 11:4	UPF 50:14,20	version 31:22	147:23 200:19	187:24 194:13
129:15,24	upgrade 54:18 57:5	versus 16:8 38:17	205:2 211:13,14	200:3,6,7,17 202:3
understand 10:24	upload 81:9	95:22 99:17	211:15	206:15 207:12
40:6 41:22 43:25	uranium 34:1 41:22	103:14 148:8	vulnerabilities	wanted 32:8,12 40:5
44:20 47:12 48:24	42:20,21	161:12 211:1	137:16	51:13 52:23 60:24
50:3 51:15 69:20	usable 16:1	vested 86:3	137.10	61:1 63:2,14 64:3
86:9 107:16 110:5	USDA 88:25	Vice 2:5 4:8 6:12	W	67:17 70:24 80:20
112:15 114:4	use 9:2 20:21 26:2	72:8 206:23 207:9	wait 145:20 150:2,3	106:13 111:7
120:6 122:16	30:24 66:16,18,25	view 7:15,18 9:8	186:4 197:10	129:13 141:4
126:18 134:25	91:2 92:3 130:7	17:13 34:7 84:11	waiting 108:24	147:18,19 151:7
143:4 144:20	149:14 195:10	114:3 118:4,20	194:17,23	160:5 171:9 172:2
149:7 150:20	204:17,24	120:12,21 129:11	waivers 162:13	174:16 179:16
153:10 161:16	uses 24:10 78:12	136:8 138:5	walk 183:15	180:1 185:20
164:8 173:13	93:6	140:23 141:15	walking 198:9	188:8 194:15
192:20 206:7	75.0	142:3 144:8 146:7	wall 178:12	195:5 208:18
understandable	V	150:14 160:1	want 12:15 14:8	209:11
152:23	vacancies 89:20	168:3 176:2	16:19,24 17:3,17	wanting 120:9
understanding 16:4	90:6,7 93:20	185:25 188:1,18	37:4 46:16 50:4	wants 98:18 105:24
23:7 39:6,14 78:16	101:14,16,16,21	204:12,25 205:5	53:19 54:13 55:5,8	110:6 126:20
87:13 112:13,21	103:1 198:1	204.12,25 205.5	55:22,24 56:9 57:1	135:9 173:5 176:1
120:8 138:21	vacancy 96:24	210:25 211:3	57:23 61:7,8 62:19	187:22
140:7 148:24	196:21	viewpoint 120:16	63:6,8 64:23 71:12	warhead 41:23
149:6 155:23	vacant 83:1 84:19	121:2	80:13 82:15 83:8	
163:17 183:3	96:10,11 97:1,19	views 7:9 8:12 16:16	84:24 94:20 97:23	warm-up 58:23
186:12 192:22	179:7 188:19		102:12 106:8	warrant 120:3
194:16,18 195:9	190:14 192:9,14	16:16 111:8,9 117:9 185:21,23	110:24 111:15,21	warranted 21:20 Washington 1:19
17 1110,10 170.7	1,0,1,1,2,,,1,1	11/.7 103.21,23	110.21111.10,21	Washington 1:19

				[248]
wasn't 101:9 111:15	104:23,24 108:2	week 172:9 199:12	25:24 26:1,3,10,25	209:10,12,16
118:21 126:24	108:24 113:3,9	weekly 58:7	27:1,4,11,20,23	211:7 212:3,8
138:10,10 151:6	115:11,14,17,19	weigh 187:23,23,24	28:4,5 29:2,5,8,14	work-around
152:1 180:7	116:9 117:22,24	welcome 59:20	30:8,12 31:19	211:12,14
181:12 184:11	118:25 120:8	well-positioned 54:5	33:21 34:23 35:9	work-in-progress
196:7,10,25 197:2	121:16,17,23	went 7:1 36:6 37:4	35:14 37:23 38:16	10:25
197:2	122:6,20 124:5,6	38:1,2 41:1,7	38:20,24 39:15,22	worked 80:24
waste 29:22 33:24	124:13 128:12	43:18 103:11	39:23,24 40:10	208:21 209:5,19
34:2 47:19 49:10	129:11 131:7,20	136:7 142:23,24	41:6 43:8,9,21	worker 175:5 181:3
170:2	133:2 134:16	143:13 164:22	46:19 48:21,23,24	workflow 85:20
water 76:5	136:12 144:10	176:14 182:15	51:12 52:16 53:2	workforce 82:7 83:7
way 8:2 10:15,16	146:3 151:22	184:7 196:16	53:14,17,18 55:21	90:13
33:9,14,23 35:14	158:23 160:18,20	208:18	57:1 59:9,13 61:19	working 18:6 36:3
37:5 38:10,11	161:19 162:18	weren't 158:8 180:3	72:6 73:10,11,11	55:11 72:11 90:23
56:12,17,18 60:4	166:13 173:23	White 70:8	73:14,15,17,18,25	112:18 195:14
78:11 95:3 98:1	175:24 176:1	wholly 146:20	74:1,1,12,13,14	workload 72:11
100:14 104:4	179:4 183:15	willing 58:21	75:3 76:3,15,21,23	74:10 87:9,18 88:2
108:8 111:12	188:9,10 191:21	window 49:21,25	77:3,8,25 80:14	88:3 101:2,4
116:3 120:11	192:2 195:14,18	WIPP 50:13	81:20 84:5 86:14	workloads 87:25
123:20 124:12	195:19 198:4,4,9	wisdom 205:7	87:13 93:4 106:24	works 12:10 24:24
127:12 128:11	198:21,22,23,24	wishes 85:17	112:3 117:24	104:5 164:1 203:7
146:8 175:2 177:2	201:5,17,18,22	wonder 113:9	118:8,9 120:7,24	world 56:4 71:22
185:6 187:25	202:1,6,16 206:2	162:20,24	122:12 123:18	194:7,8 209:22
191:3,6 194:3	206:24 208:4,9	wondering 94:21	124:17 130:21	worry 42:19
200:8 209:5	210:7,23 211:4,9	104:19 133:25	132:17 135:8,10	worse 165:18,22
211:25	we've 9:1 11:15	word 9:3 112:2	135:19,22 136:21	worst 168:3
ways 13:21 36:25	27:11 33:5 43:16	138:17 207:10	137:21 138:8,9	worth 34:11 45:7,22
92:12 163:3	53:14 54:4,12	worded 138:5	143:5 144:2,10,23	105:1 153:6
we'll 14:1,5 17:16	111:19 118:11	wording 70:6	145:2 146:25	worthy 7:11 159:16
46:2 57:5 59:6,10	131:25 132:1	130:24,25 139:3	147:13,14 148:1,4	wouldn't 16:6 48:9
63:25 97:16	136:22 160:14	words 57:23 76:21	148:14 149:7,11	71:12 100:17
136:25 141:3	179:17 180:18	79:25 123:7,25	149:12,14,25	112:20 138:25
193:15 201:1,19	183:25 184:2	127:18 128:19,25	150:3 152:13	150:21 165:21
203:8	194:16 196:23	130:6,7 154:18	155:7 157:8,11	168:22 192:1
we're 11:25 14:8	weaknesses 90:11	188:25 201:8,11	158:3 162:23	wrestle 209:24
15:14 16:24 18:6	weapon 29:4 43:9	201:25 202:1	164:5 165:7	write 15:21 151:9
32:23 33:5 45:12	weapons 19:3 27:16	work 1:11 3:7,9,11	166:15,17,19	171:16 173:5
46:8,9,10,11,12,17	28:12,14,17,17	5:4 9:16 12:7 13:2	169:23 170:3	175:19
47:17 48:14,17	43:13	13:8,10 17:17 18:4	171:7 172:2 173:2	writeup 62:15 64:2
49:9 52:8,10,13	website 4:18 133:18	18:17,20,24,25	173:19 174:5	writing 11:25 74:15
56:24,25 59:12,15	websites 9:4	19:8,9,18,22,23	176:8,12,13,15,19	91:8 134:19,21
60:20,25 63:19	wedge 31:20 32:1,12	20:2,4,4,7,14,24	176:21 177:3,10	146:1 165:3
64:24,24,25 68:23	43:7,15,19,19,19	20:24,25 21:12	181:20 183:24	203:20,23
68:25 69:19 82:7	43:20	22:18 23:2,2,5,6,6	184:3 192:14	written 7:1 10:14
83:2 88:11,12,24	wedges 30:17	23:7,9,17,21,22	193:7 204:25	14:5 108:9 137:24
89:1 95:15 99:19	Wednesday 131:13	24:5,20,22,25,25	206:5,19 208:2,5,6	wrong 7:7 84:25
103:13 104:5,13	199:11	25:6,10,10,17,23	208:18,25 209:1,8	166:9
		1		1

9/28/2017

				[249]
wrongly 175:7	190:5,8,9 191:22	124:2 143:2,18	41:16 153:11	88:17
wrote 150:14,24	191:25 192:7	154:2 156:19	187:14	2017 1:15 4:18,20
WTP 47:9,11,16,25	193:2 206:2,4,12	177:2 202:4,23	14-1 137:9,22	20:23 25:3 72:17
48:21,23	209:11,18 212:5,7	1.0 184:14	138:10	75:10 88:18 89:21
	year's 8:18,20 12:22	1.1 123:24	15 44:2 50:22 71:7	2018 1:12 3:5,13 5:3
X	102:15,23 154:1,6	1.2 123:24	73:1 150:17,17	5:3,4 18:17,21,23
X 3:1	188:23	1:00 109:16 110:2	187:15	19:8,12,19 20:7,14
	year-by-year 49:20	10 12:19 25:3 41:20	15th 4:20	20:24 22:13,18
<u>Y</u>	yearly 48:5	50:22 103:7 104:2	16 27:9 31:18 38:22	23:1,2,8,10,21
y 26:25 28:23	years 22:24 26:15	143:1 167:25	91:18 98:25	24:14 25:24 73:11
Y12 34:1 41:19 42:2	28:9 38:14,16,23	10-minute 52:9	17 38:22 48:13	74:12 88:19 89:15
42:5,15,17 43:22	47:16 49:5,6,13	10:30 18:10 52:10	98:25 104:15	204:7 205:10,18
yeah 36:17 43:5	85:22 87:16 90:20	10:35 18:8	105:3,15 191:16	2022 90:20 204:7
44:3 49:17,18	91:19 96:2,12 98:8	100 75:14 157:2	18 3:8 6:8,14 12:24	204 3:20
51:17 62:5 65:18	98:25 105:11	163:8,10 210:3	27:5,9 28:9 39:13	21 47:8 50:12 198:8
66:11 96:20	116:20 125:25	100,000 102:22	53:2 54:5 55:14	198:9
101:20 105:17	135:22 136:10,14	103:5	57:8,14 74:18	22 6:14 33:20 88:17
106:3 108:24	146:16 155:20,25	109 3:15	80:21 81:8,21 82:6	23 88:18,18
147:11 150:17,17	156:7 157:3,18	11 35:8 37:2	82:18 83:15,20	24 64:25 69:25
151:13,25 155:11	159:23 161:22	11-1 41:6	99:7 106:1 107:10	88:19
163:17,22 165:21	176:11,14 178:21	11:54 109:18	107:15 201:22	25 30:5 143:2
172:5 178:21	179:8,17 180:8	110 3:17,18	185 72:18	26 29:5 64:25 88:19
179:23 180:10	181:19 182:22	113 105:1	1856 159:14	28 1:15 73:2
187:17 193:12,23	183:13 184:1,15	114 104:24,24 198:4	19 45:24 198:9	280 19:18 26:1
194:15 195:16	205:3 206:17	198:5,11	1s 40:24 154:7,10	36:21,22
198:16 203:15	Yellow 7:3 9:15 32:8	115 62:23 82:19,20	1st 107:7	29 47:11
year 1:12 5:2,3,4	44:5,12 74:15	82:23 83:2 106:11		
18:17,23 20:11	131:8,9 147:23	188:12,14 190:13	2	3
24:23 25:24 26:14	158:19 189:18	197:21	2 18:14 26:11 29:15	3 6:15 18:22 56:10
27:2,10,10 38:18	199:4,5,22 202:16	118 191:16	30:22 33:1,7 34:9	62:9 67:12 127:23
38:21 39:13 47:10	203:8 205:16	119 191:25	35:19 44:2,9,17	156:14,22 157:4
47:18,21,23 48:8	yield 76:8 88:15	11th 112:14,22	69:12 73:13 76:14	3(e) 68:14
48:15 49:8,16	89:6	12 28:23 32:2 41:25	139:12,21 140:4	3:00 193:14
55:15 56:17 58:18		89:19 103:1 106:1	143:3,18 154:9	3:30 212:16
72:10 73:17 74:22	Z	107:21 189:24	156:19,20,20	30 47:15 133:19
79:14 81:8,9 84:17	zero 202:6	120 82:19,20,21	157:1 202:23	134:14 163:18,18
89:15 90:5 93:21		122 82:22 83:3	20 46:1	30.5 36:15
102:25,25 103:8	0	97:24 188:15	20004 1:19	30.9 36:14,16
104:3,5,5,6,25	0 202:4	191:23 198:6	2009-1 46:25 52:22	162:23
105:8,11,13		13 38:12 141:10	2010-1 52:22	3009 47:1,3,3
106:12 107:6,18		130 190:15,16 191:9	2011-1 33:4 138:20	31 36:3 162:23
107:21,22,24	1 21:19,19 26:11,12	191:11 211:8	2012-1 40:25	163:7,18,18
134:6,20 135:16	26:16,17,20 28:9	135 190:10,11,15	2012-2 40:25	32 91:18
150:3 151:3 154:3	28:11 29:17,19	191:14 192:2	2014 205:10,18	33 26:11
154:24 158:7	30:22 32:25 33:2	198:7	2014-2018 204:9	38 19:12 26:1 39:7
173:14 176:14	35:19 40:19 41:2		2015 88:17	75:2
177:8,8,10 178:9	73:12 76:15,16		2016 72:10 75:10	39 136:7 182:16
	73:12 76:15,16	13th 4:18 14 12:24,24 28:9		

9/28/2017

[250]

	105.2 127.6 21		
4	105:3 127:6,21		
4 3:4 20:11 32:1,3	139:9		
65:4 66:5 103:13	90 72:20 173:10,15		
124:23 128:6	177:15		
156:16,22 157:4			
4(b) 62:6			
45 157:23			
5			
5 53:17 67:11 82:20			
104:23 105:19,21			
106:6 136:7			
182:15			
5-0 12:12			
50 47:10,11 50:20			
72:20 90:1 164:1,2			
164:3,3,5 207:1			
500,000 102:21			
103:5			
52 3:10			
5th 131:11			
6			
6 3:6 20:22 21:19			
25:4 33:14 34:8			
37:18,20 38:9			
150:20			
60 114:18 163:18			
625 1:18			
69 26:10			
7			
721:23			
70 30:7			
72 3:12			
8			
8 22:25			
81 102:25			
81 102.25 82 3:14			
82 3.14 83 102:23,25			
830 168:1			
85 102:17			
89 37:3			
9			
9 24:7 69:11 96:19			