#### APPENDIX 4

### REQUEST FOR BOARD ACTION BY A BOARD MEMBER

Requester: Board Mem	ber Bruce H	Iamilton		October 11, 201	6	
Brief description of Requested Action:						
The Technical Director is directed to develop and implement a comprehensive and integrated scorecard of nuclear safety for the defense nuclear facilities. The scorecard shall be modeled on the NRC Reactor Oversight Process, recognizing that substantial differences will exist due to the greater heterogeneity of the weapons complex when compared to operating commercial power reactors as well as differences in USNRC and DNFSB regulatory frameworks. The final product will be designed for publication on the DNFSB public website no later than September 30, 2017, although the decision to publish the scorecard will be determined by a future vote of the Board.  The Technical Director will present the developing product to Board Members and senior agency staff at each weekly gathering for technical issues (i.e., "Tech Tuesday") beginning November 15, 2016, such that continuous ideas, suggestions, and challenges can facilitate an iterative development process throughout the course of fiscal year 2017.  The Technical Director will prepare a draft directive documenting the process and present it to the Board in Orange Folder no later than July 31, 2017.  Attachments (init) None (included clean version of any proposed document or modified document)  Summarize any time sensitive considerations:  Requestor signature  s/ Bruce Hamilton  October 11, 2016						
Executive secretary Click here to enter a date.						
	APRVD	DISAPRVD	ABSTAIN	NOT PARTICPATING	COMMENT	DATE
Joyce L. Connery						
Jessie H. Roberson						
Sean Sullivan						
Daniel J. Santos						
Bruce Hamilton						
Final Disposition Sumn	Final Disposition Summary					
Executive Secretary sig	nature			Click here to	enter a date.	

#### AFFIRMATION OF BOARD VOTING RECORD

SUBJECT: Request for Board Action by Board Member, Bruce Hamilton; Task OTD to Develop a Comprehensive Scorecard of Nuclear Safety

#### Doc Control#2017-300-004

The Board, with Board Member(s) Sean Sullivan, Daniel J. Santos, Bruce Hamilton *approving*, Board Member(s) Joyce L. Connery, Jessie H. Roberson *disapproving*, Board Member(s) none *abstaining*, and Board Member(s) none *recusing*, have voted to approve the above document on October 13, 2016.

The votes were recorded as:

	APRVD	DISAPRVD	ABSTAIN	NOT PARTICIPATING*	COMMENT	DATE
Joyce L. Connery		$\boxtimes$				10/13/16
Jessie H. Roberson		$\boxtimes$			$\boxtimes$	10/13/16
Sean Sullivan	$\boxtimes$					10/12/16
Daniel J. Santos	$\boxtimes$					10/12/16
Bruce Hamilton	$\boxtimes$					10/13/16

<sup>\*</sup>Reason for Not Participating:

This Record contains a summary of voting on this matter together with the individual vote sheets, views and comments of the Board Members.

Executive Secretary to the Board

#### Attachments:

- 1. Voting Summary
- 2. Board Member Vote Sheets

cc: Board Members

OGC

**OGM Records Officer** 

OTD

# DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD NOTATIONAL VOTE RESPONSE SHEET

FROM:	Joyce L. Connery				
SUBJECT:	Request for Board Action by Board Member, Bruce Hamilton; Task OTD to Develop a Comprehensive Scorecard of Nuclear Safety				
Doc Control#	2017-300-004				
Approved	Disapproved Abstain				
Recusal - Not	Participating				
COMMENTS	S: Below Attached None				
commercial in public trust is charged with direction to do possession and member of the role in advising statute instruc- they are provi- information for	rstand the merits of transparency, the comparison here to the NRC and the industry is flawed. The scope of the NRC's activities and their relationship to a vastly different from that of the Board which oversees a Government entity sensitive activities and information. Furthermore, there is no statutory to so. The NRC's licensing activities for nuclear power plants and the duse of nuclear materials is subject to providing a hearing opportunity to any e public whose interest may be affected by the proceeding. Conversely, our not the Secretary of Energy does not involve public licensing actions. Our cets us to make recommendations available to the public for comment after ided to the Secretary of Energy. It also provides that we may evaluate rom members of the public. We do not have the same direct impact on the RC's licensing activities have, and therefore do not share the same objectives.				
to produce an	of our agency and the number of reviews we conduct, there would be no way accurate picture of the situation on the ground at any of the sites and we eating a false sense of security, at a minimum.				
I think this ef from the safet	fort as stated is overly ambitious, and will divert scarce staff resources away by mission.				
The staff had request.	already folded in a pilot program to see what could be done to satisfy this				

### DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD NOTATIONAL VOTE RESPONSE SHEET

Jessie H. Roberson

FROM:

SUBJECT:	Request for Board Action by Board Member, Bruce Hamilton; Task OTD to Develop a Comprehensive Scorecard of Nuclear Safety			
Doc Control	#2017-300-004			
Approved	Disapproved Abstain			
Recusal - No	t Participating			
COMMENT	S: Below Attached None			
I do	not believe this proposed tasking has adequated			
Speanor	I score could and whose data is to be secured			
aduse	L to imput. Since there is little sumilarity  be achieve when compared to the specific  L elements in NRC's Reactor Onersite Process I Lea			
that can	- be achieve when compared to the specific			
masure	& elements in NRC's heactor oners the Process I Lea			
the between	t staff here will be expended in exploration, to -end of at actual Reld oversight.			
	Mesle H Roberson			

Oct 13,2016

# DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD NOTATIONAL VOTE RESPONSE SHEET

to

FROM:	Sean Sullivan
SUBJECT:	Request for Board Action by Board Member, Bruce Hamilton; Task OTD Develop a Comprehensive Scorecard of Nuclear Safety
Doc Control#	2017-300-004
Approved_	Disapproved Abstain
Recusal - No	t Participating
COMMENTS	S: Below Attached None
	Juste
	Sean Sullivan
	10/12/16

<b>Lotus Smitl</b>	1
From: Sent: To: Subject:	Daniel J. Santos Wednesday, October 12, 2016 3:23 PM Lotus Smith; Shelby Qualls RE: Notational Vote: Doc#2017-300-004 Request for Board Action by Board Member, Bruce Hamilton; Task OTD to Develop a Comprehensive Scorecard of Nuclear Safety
Approved.	
To: Bruce Ham  Cc: ExSec <  Subject: Notat	day, October 12, 2016 3:18 PM
This email is electronic voi	an electronic record of Notational Vote. Voting ballot will follow shortly. Also, accepting tes.
	NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD VAL VOTE RESPONSE SHEET
FROM: SUBJECT:	Members of the Board Request for Board Action by Board Member, Bruce Hamilton; Task OTD to Develop a Comprehensive Scorecard of Nuclear Safety
DOC#2017-3	300-004
Office Direct	ors have provided their input
Approved	ot Participating
COMMENT Below Attached None	S:

Lotus Smith
Executive Secretary
Office of the Chairman
Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board
625 Indiana Ave, NW, STE 700
Washington, DC 20004

# DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD NOTATIONAL VOTE RESPONSE SHEET

FROM:	Bruce Hamilton				
SUBJECT:	Request for Board Action by Board Member, Bruce Hamilton; Task OTD to Develop a Comprehensive Scorecard of Nuclear Safety				
Doc Control#	2017-300-004				
Approved_X	Disappi	roved	Abstain		
Recusal – Not	Participating				
COMMENTS	Below_X_	Attached	None		
comprehensive scorecard shall differences will compared to of regulatory france Completion of	e and integrated scoreca be modeled on the NR I exist due to the greate perating commercial po- neworks. this task will provide the	crd of nuclear safety C Reactor Oversigh r heterogeneity of d wer reactors as well he Board a tool for t	ector to develop and implement a of for the defense nuclear facilities. The ht Process, recognizing that substantial defense nuclear facilities when all as differences in USNRC and DNFSB the coordinated evaluation and ranking such product currently exists, either at		
the DNFSB or and makes it d	at DOE. Lack of a con	nprehensive process ascertain whether a	s challenges optimal resource allocation and where concerns exist and how those		
	has had such a system mproved resource alloc		and it has proven itself as a significant d public transparency.		
I therefore app	rove.		- 1		
		Bruc	ce Hamilton		
		Date	OCT 2016		