DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD

December 5, 1997

TO: G.W. Cunningham, Technical Director

FROM: P.F. Gubanc & D.G. Ogg, Hanford Site Representatives

SUBJ: Activity Report for Week Ending December 5, 1997

David Moyle was on-site Monday through Wednesday to review PFP restart activities.

A. <u>Plutonium Finishing Plant (PFP)</u>: As of December 5:

- 1. The DOE-RL Readiness Assessment (RA) was started and suspended on December 1. DOE-RL is reviewing PFP's corrective actions from the November 20 event (see last week's report) and expects to resume the RA no sooner than the middle of next week.
- 2. DOE is still evaluating the Board's suggestions which were offered to Mr. Angell on November 26. DOE is not yet ready to commit to implement any of these actions.
- 3. Mr. Moyle found the PFP criticality specs (CPS) turgid, duplicative, and sometimes vague or conflicting. PFP efforts to improve CPSs have been underway since mid-1996.
- B. <u>Spent Nuclear Fuel Project (SNFP)</u>: Canister Storage Building construction continues on schedule and without incident and now includes installation of electrical and ventilation systems.

Uncertainties remain concerning the implementation of the new Multi-Canister Overpack (MCO) sealing strategy. Fluor Daniel (FDH) is concerned that the SNFP can't make the change within budget and schedule, and on November 26, issued a letter to Duke (DESH) halting work that could be affected by the sealing strategy decision. DOE-RL and FDH are engaged in ongoing discussions to resolve this issue. On December 8-10, the SNFP will hold meetings to discuss technical details of the new MCO sealing strategy. Staff members Ogg, Wille, Yeniscavich and Grover plan to attend.

- C. <u>Recom. 95-2 Implementation</u>: On December 4, Ogg and Gubanc attended meetings between Dick Crowe and Hanford staff regarding implementation of Integrated Safety Management (ISM).
 - 1) Mr. Crowe explained that the methods used at Savannah River for ISM implementation and Verification reviews are optional and that Hanford is free to choose its own methods.
 - 2) Regarding Phase 1 Verification for priority facilities, DOE-RL expressed its intention to define its own scope and criteria for the reviews. The Phase 1 review team will be composed of DOE-RL personnel and led by the Assistant Manager for Waste Management. There was some discussion of including a representative from DOE-HQ, but no decision was made.
 - 3) DOE-RL maintains that the site-wide ISMS plan is the Hanford "ISMS description." Priority facility ISMS Implementation Plans will serve as facility level "ISMS descriptions." PNNL submitted its draft ISMS description for DOE-RL review on November 25.
 - 4) DOE-RL has contractually implemented the new DOE Acquisition Regulation (DEAR) with PNNL and plans to for FDH before the deadlines specified in DOE Acquisition Letter 97-07.

cc: Board members