AFFIRMATION OF BOARD VOTING RECORD

SUBJECT: GAO Response Letter

Document Control #: 2015-030

The Board, with Board Member(s) Peter S. Winokur, Jessie Roberson and Sean Sullivan approving, Board Member(s) none disapproving, Board Member(s) none abstaining, and Board Member(s) none not participating, have voted to approve the above document on December 18, 2014.

The votes were recorded as:

	APRVD	DISAPRVD	ABSTAIN	RECUSAL	NO VOTE	COMMENT	DATE
Peter S. Winokur	\boxtimes						12/17/14
Jessie H. Roberson	\boxtimes						12/18/14
Sean Sullivan	\boxtimes					\boxtimes	<u>12/17/14</u>

This Record contains a summary of voting on this matter together with the individual vote sheets, views and comments of the Board Members.

Executive Assistant to the Board

Attachments:

- 1. Voting Summary
- 2. Board Member Vote Sheets

cc: Board Members

OGC

OGM Records Officer

OTD

ARCHIVE: Doc#2015-030 GAO Response Letter

DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD NOTATIONAL VOTE RESPONSE SHEET

Peter S. Winokur, Ph.D.

FROM:

SUBJECT:	GAO Response
Doc Control#	#2015-030
Annroyed	X Disapproved Abstain
Recusal – No	ot Participating
COMMENT	S: Below Attached None_X

Peter S. Winokur, Ph.D.

ARCHIVE: Doc#2015-030 GAO Response Letter

DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD NOTATIONAL VOTE RESPONSE SHEET

Doc Control#2015	5-030	
Approved X	Disapproved	Abstain
COMMENTS:	Below Attached	None

Jessie H. Roberson

SUBJECT: GAO Response

FROM:

Jessie H. Roberson

Date

ARCHIVE: Doc#2015-030 GAO Response Letter

DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD NOTATIONAL VOTE RESPONSE SHEET

FROM:	Sean Sullivan	
SUBJECT:	GAO Response	
Doc Control#	2015-030	
Approved	X Disapproved	Abstain
Recusal – No	t Participating	
COMMENT	S: Below X Attached	None
	. 1040	1.1.1

I would have accepted GAO recommendation number 5 which would have the Board clearly distinguish in our Federal Register notices between hearings held pursuant to our enabling statute and meetings subject to the Sunshine in Government Act. I see no point in continuing a practice that may potentially confuse people simply to retain the flexibility to deliberate during hearings. To my knowledge, the Board has never deliberated during hearings, nor has any Board Member ever indicated a desire to do so. There is no point in retaining a flexibility that is not likely to be used. Accepting the recommendation would not have precluded the Board from noticing a combined hearing and meeting for any occasion where we actually intended to take testimony and deliberate.

Sean Sullivan

Date