

Department of Energy

Washington, DC 20585

May 26, 2004

Mr. Roger Zavadoski Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board 625 Indiana Avenue, NW, Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20004-2941

Dear Mr. Zavadoski:

The Secretary of Energy, in his July 11, 2003 letter to the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board (DNFSB) on High Efficiency Particulate Air (HEPA) filter testing, committed the Office of Environment, Safety and Health (EH) to publish a semiannual report on Filter Test Facility (FTF) data. This is the second report published by EH and includes FTF data for the first six months of FY 2004. The attached Table provides the results of filter inspections and tests performed at the FTF for the period October 1, 2003 through March 31, 2004.

The report indicates that the HEPA filter failures continue at a rate consistent with previous years. I also visited the FTF in February to observe testing. During that visit, a supplier repaired 36 defective HEPAs at FTF preventing delays in deliveries to DOE sites. Questions concerning this report may be directed to me at (301) 903-4218 or Chip.Lagdon@eh.doe.gov.

Sincerely,

Richard H. Lagdon, Jr.

Director

Office of Quality Assurance Programs

Attachment

cc: Mark B. Whitaker, DR-1

Frank B. Russo, EH-3

Table 1
Results of Filter Inspection and Tests
October 1, 2003 - March 31, 2004

Customer		T					Reason for Rejection					
	Manufacturer	Flow	Flow High/Low	Number Tested		Number Rejected	Resistance	Penetration	Manufacturing Defects	Does not meet PO and/or Spec	Shipping damage	Rejection Rate
D 111BW07111 110 (2010)												
Bechtel BWXT Idaho, LLC.(BBWI)		1000	Н	8	7	1_1_			1			12.5%
	Supplier A	1000	Н	40	36	4			3		1	10.0%
	Supplier A	1000	Н	1	11	0			ļ			
	Supplier A	1000	Н	111	111	0						
	Supplier A	100	<u> </u>	2	2	0			<u></u>			
	Supplier A	35	<u> </u>	6	6	0	ļ	<u> </u>				
Bechtel BWXT Y-12	Supplier B	1500	Н	4	3	1			1			25.0%
	Supplier B	1500	Н	2	1	1			<u> </u>	1		50.0%
	Supplier B	1000	Н	1	0	1	T	†~	1		-	100.0%
	Supplier A	1000	Н	11	11	0						
	Supplier A	250	L	6	4	2			2	_		33.3%
	Supplier A	250	L	2	2	0						
	Supplier A	100	l i	24	12	12	f — —	2		10		50.0%
	Supplier A	50		4	4	0						
AEA Toobaclogy	Supplier A	1000			1	 	 -	-		1		50.0%
AEA Technology			H		 	 		 		<u> </u>		50.0%
Bechtel Jacobs X-10	Supplier A	1000	Н	1	12		 _	 		<u> </u>		ļ
Bechief Jacobs A-10	Supplier A	1000	H	12 6	6	0		 			<u> </u>	ļ
Duratek Federal Services X10	Supplier A	1000		1	0	1	 	 	1 1			100.00/
Duratek Federal Services X10	Supplier A		H H	<u>:</u>				 	 !	 		100.0%
	Supplier B	1000 125	Н	5	5	0	ļ	ļ	 	<u> </u>		
Footor Whooler	Supplier B	2200	ļ _{1,1}	1 2	$\frac{1}{2}$	0			 			
Foster Wheeler	Supplier C Supplier C	2200	H	48	44	0 4	 	4			 -	8.3%
							 -		 	 	 	
	Supplier C	2200	<u>H</u>	48	40	8		11	 	7	ļ <u>.</u>	16.7%
	Supplier C	2200	H	48	45	3			<u> </u>	3	<u> </u>	6.3%
	Supplier C	2200	H	5	5	0	<u></u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u></u>
	Supplier C	2200	H	15	13	2	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	2	<u> </u>		13.3%
UT Battelle	Supplier B	50	L	3	3	0	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	1
	Supplier A	35	L	1	1	0	<u> </u>	ļ				
BWXT Pantex, LLC	Supplier A	1000	Н	8	7	1		1				12.5%
CH2M Hill Hanford	Supplier A	1500	Н.	20	20	0	-			 	 	
	Supplier A	1000	H	6	6	0	 	 	 	 	 	
	Supplier A	1000	H	6	6	0	 	 	 		 	
	Supplier A	1000	H	6	4	2		1	 	1	 	33.3%
	Supplier A	500	H	12	11	1	 	1	T	 	 	8.3%

Table 1 Results of Filter Inspection and Tests October 1, 2003 - March 31, 2004

Customer						1	1		Reason for Rejection				
	Manufacturer	Flow	Flow High/Low	Number Tested	Number Accepted	Number Rejected	Resistance	Penetration	Manufacturing Defects	Does not meet PO and/or Spec	Shipping damage	Rejection Rate	
CH2M Hill Hanford	Supplier A	500	H	1	0	1		·		1		100.0%	
	Supplier A	500	Н	1	1	0							
	Supplier A	500	Н	5	5	0							
	Supplier A	250	L	1	1	0							
	Supplier A	250	L.	6	6	0	I						
	Supplier A	200	L	5	5	0							
	Supplier A	200	L	20	20	0							
	Supplier A	200	L	24	24	0							
	Supplier A	200	L	2	2	0	I	ļ					
Fluor Hanford	Supplier A	1500	Н	4	4	0							
	Supplier A	1000	Н	4	4	0	†	†——					
	Supplier A	1000	H	9	9	0	f	· · · · · ·					
	Supplier A	250	L	6	6	0	† 		 	1	 		
	Supplier A	125		5	5	0	 	 		t	<u> </u>		
	Supplier A	125		4	4	0	 						
	Supplier A	50	L	- 8	8	0							
Kaiser-Hill Company LLC	Supplier A	1500	H	4	4	0	I						
	Supplier A	1500	H	16	16	0			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	L		
	Supplier A	1500	Н	14	14	0	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			└ ──		
	Supplier A	1500	H	90	88	2	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		2	<u> </u>	2.2%	
	Supplier A	1500	Н	12	12	0		<u> </u>	<u> </u>				
	Supplier A	1500	H	2	2	0	1	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
	Supplier A	1000	Н	6	6	0	<u> </u>	 			 		
Lawrence Livermore National Lab.	Supplier B	1500	Н	1	1	0	 	 	 	 	 		
	Supplier B	1500	H	5	3	2	 	 	2	† - -		40.0%	
	Supplier B	1500	Н	2	1	1	····	1	1	<u> </u>		50.0%	
	Supplier A	1000	H	18	18	 	 	 	 	 	 	30.070	
	Supplier A	1000	H	4	4	1 0	 	-	 	h	 		
i	Supplier A	1000	H	6	5	1 1		1			 	16.7%	
	Supplier B	1000	H	65	63	2	}	 	 	 	2	3.1%	
	Supplier B	1000	Н Н	2	2	0	 	<u>. </u>				3.170	
	Supplier A	1000	H	30	30	0	 	 			 	 	
	Supplier A	1000	H	45	45	 0	 	 	 	 	 -	 	
	Supplier A	1000	H	1	1	1 ŏ	 	 	†		 	 	
	Supplier A	105	Н	3	3	0		†··	 	† 			
Los Alamos National Laboratory	Supplier D	1500	 	3	3	1 0	 	†	 	1	 		
	Supplier D	1500	H	1	1 1	0	 	 	†	 	†	 	
	Supplier B	1500	н	1	1 1	1 0	 	 	1	 	 	 	
	Supplier A	1250	H	6	6	1 6	 	 	 	 	 	 	
	Supplier A	1000	H	100	97	3	+	 	 	3	+	3.0%	
	Toubbilet V	1 1000	<u>!!</u>	100		<u> </u>				1			

Table 1 Results of Filter Inspection and Tests October 1, 2003 - March 31, 2004

Customer	Manufacturer			Number Tested	Number Accepted	Number Rejected	Reason for Rejection					
		Flow	Flow High/Low				Resistance	Penetration	Manufacturing Defects	Does not meet PO and/or Spec	Shipping damage	Rejection Rate
Los Alamos National Laboratory	Supplier A	1000	Н	3	3	0						
	Supplier A	1000	Н	100	92	8	Ì		8			8.0%
	Supplier A	1000	Н	100	98	2			2			2.0%
	Supplier A	1000	Н	10	10	0		<u> </u>		 		
	Supplier A	1000	Н	30	30	0						
	Supplier A	350	Н	2	2	0						
	Supplier A	250	L	2	2	0						
	Supplier A	250	L	2	2	0						
	Supplier A	205	L	1	1	0						
	Supplier A	160	L	1	1	0						
	Supplier A	50	L	20	20	0		l .				
	Supplier A	50	L	20	20	0						
	Supplier A	35	L	20	20	0						
	Supplier A	35	L	20	20	0						1
	Supplier A	35	L	20	20	0						
KSL Shaw Los Alamos National Laboratory	Supplier A	160	L	1	1	0						
Washington TRU Solutions	Supplier A	350	Н	4	4	0						
West Valley Nuclear Services	**Supplier D	1500	H	12	12	0						
Westinghouse Savannah River					 	ļ		ļ			 	<u> </u>
Company	Supplier A	1500	Н	94	83	11	i		11			11.7%
Company	Supplier A	1500	H	51	49	2		 	 	2		3.9%
	Supplier A	1500	H	22	21	1	-	 	1		 	4.5%
	Supplier A	1500	H	10	9	1	 	 	 	 	1	10.0%
	Supplier A	1500	H	20	19	1		-	1	 	 - •	5.0%
	Supplier A	1500	Н	9	9	0	 	 	 	 	 	0.078
	Supplier A	1500	Н	28	27	1 1	 -	 	1	 	 	3.6%
	Supplier A	1500	Н	146	135	11	 	 	5	5	 	7.5%
	Supplier A	1500	H	24	24	1 0	 	 	 	 	 	1.070
	Supplier A	1500	H	14	14	1 0	 	 	 	 	 	
	Supplier A	1500	H H	6	6	0	 	 	 	1	 	
	Supplier A	1500	H	2	2	0	 	 		 	 	
	Supplier A	1500	H	2	2	0	 	 	 	 	 	
	Supplier A	1500	H	36	0	36	 			36	 	100.0%
	Supplier A	1500	H	36	36	0	 		 	- 30	 	100.0%
<u> </u>	Supplier A	1250	H	4	4	 0	 		 	 	+	

Table 1 **Results of Filter Inspection and Tests** October 1, 2003 - March 31, 2004

Customer	Manufacturer	1			Number Accepted		Reason for Rejection					
		Flow	Flow High/Low	Number Tested		Number Rejected	Resistance	Penetration	Manufacturing Defects	Does not meet PO and/or Spec	Shipping damage	Rejection Rate
Westinghouse Savannah River												
Company	Supplier A	1250	Н	10	10	0	\	\	\			1
	Supplier A	1250	Н	4	4	0						
	Supplier A	1250	Н	4	4	0						
	Supplier A	1000	Н	5	5	0						
	Supplier A	1000	Н	13	13	0		1				
	Supplier A	1000	Н	20	19	1		1			<u> </u>	5.0%
	Supplier A	1000	Н	10	10	0		·		1	<u> </u>	
	Supplier A	1000	Н	20	17	3		3			<u> </u>	15.0%
	Supplier A	1000	Н	60	59	1				1		1.7%
	Supplier A	455	Н	3	3	0		<u> </u>	†			
	Supplier A	455	Н	3	2	1	<u> </u>	1	1			33.3%
	Supplier A	250	L	4	4	0	<u> </u>		<u> </u>			
	Supplier A	250	L	1	1	0	 	 	 		l	
	Supplier A	125	L	4	4	0	<u> </u>	†				
	Supplier A	125	L	8	8	0	i		<u> </u>	 	<u> </u>	
	** Supplier A	65	L	2	2	0	 	 		†		i
	Supplier A	65	L	2	2	0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 	T		 	
	Supplier A	50	L	2	2	0	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	 	<u> </u>		<u> </u>
	Supplier A	50	L	24	23	1		1	 			4.2%
	Supplier A	50	L	1	1	0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		†"————————————————————————————————————			
	Supplier A	40	L	15	15	0		t	†- 	T		l
	Supplier A	35	L	3	3	0	ļ		† — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —			
	Supplier A	35	L	11	11	0	i		†	 		·
	Supplier A	35	L	1	1	0						
	Supplier A	35	L	2	2	1 0		 	<u> </u>		 	
	Supplier A	35	L	2	2	0	 	 	 	 		
	Supplier A	35	L	4	4	0	 	 				
	Supplier A	25	L	4	4	0	†		 	 	†	
	Supplier A	25	L	18	15	3		3				16.7%
	Supplier A	25	L	3	3	0		 	1	1		
	Supplier A	25	L	3	3	1 0	 	 	1	 	 	
	Supplier A	25	L	4	4	1 0	 					
	Supplier A	25	L	2	2	0	†- -	 	 	 	 	
	Supplier A	15	L	2	2	1 0	 	 	 	 	}	
	Supplier A	15	L	4	4	1-0	 	 	 	 	 	
	Supplier A	15	L	6	6	0	╁┈┈┈	 	 	 	 	
			 	 	 	 	 	 	 	 	 	
	 	+	TOTAL	2176	 	141	0	21	43	73	4	6.5%

^{*}Filters received directly from Customer, boxes opened and filters previously handled. The rejection rate is not reflective of the manufacturer.
** Filters accepted with waiver from purchase order requirements I.e. labeling requirements and was not for performance requirements.