Proposed Amendment:

Revise the last sentence of the forwarding letter to read –

Therefore, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 2286b(d), the Board requests that DOE provide a briefing and report to the Board on what specific actions, given the changes to DOE Order 232.2A, will be taken to ensure that nuclear safety and its oversight are not degraded at defense nuclear facilities prior to implementing DOE Order 232.2A.

Justification: The impact of changes to occurrence reporting resulting from deleted Order requirements could lead to less discipline toward nuclear safety and could adversely impact the safety posture of the defense nuclear complex. Studies of major accidents across many industries continue to reinforce the importance of having a strong learning culture with adequate information flow and accountability at all levels and across all stakeholders. DOE's action to revise DOE Order 232.2A appears to be a step in the opposite direction and could end up being a contributing factor that negatively impacts the sustainability of adequate protection of the health and safety of the public.

AFFIRMATION OF BOARD VOTING RECORD

SUBJECT: Amendment by Board Member Santos to YELLOW FOLDER
Doc#2017-100-045, Board Letter on DOE Order 232.2A on Occurrence
Reporting

Doc Control#2017-100-045B

The Board, with Board Member(s) Jessie H. Roberson, Daniel J. Santos *approving*, Board Member(s) Sean Sullivan, Bruce Hamilton, Joyce L. Connery *disapproving*, Board Member(s) none *abstaining*, and Board Member(s) none *not participating*, have voted to disapprove the above document on May 3, 2017.

The votes were recorded as:

	APRVD	DISAPRVD	ABSTAIN	NOT PARTICIPATING*	COMMENT	DATE
Sean Sullivan		\boxtimes			\boxtimes	05/02/17
Bruce Hamilton		\boxtimes			\boxtimes	05/02/17
Jessie H. Roberson	\boxtimes					05/03/17
Daniel J. Santos	\boxtimes				\boxtimes	05/02/17
Joyce L. Connery		\boxtimes			\boxtimes	05/02/17

^{*}Reason for Not Participating:

This Record contains a summary of voting on this matter together with the individual vote sheets, views and comments of the Board Members.

Assistant Executive Secretary to the Board

Attachments:

- 1. Voting Summary
- 2. Board Member Vote Sheets

cc: Board Members

OGC

OGM Records Officer

OTD

DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD NOTATIONAL VOTE RESPONSE SHEET

FROM:	Sean Sullivan				
SUBJECT:	Amendment by Board Member Santos to YELLOW FOLDER Doc#2017-100-045, Board Letter on DOE Order 232.2A on Occurrence Reporting				
Doc Control	#2017-100-045B				
Approved	DisapprovedX	Abstain			
Recusal – No	ot Participating				
COMMENT	S: Below_X Attached_	None			
	respondence requests DOE provide in addition to the report DOE mus	a report to the Board and this amendment also brief the Board.			
report is inapp	propriate. The power would be use nents to Doc#2017-100-045A, a co	Board's statutory power to cause the Secretary to d not to gather information but to coerce action. mpeting amendment proposing deletion of all			
This amendm disapprove.	ent enlarges the flaw contained in	the original draft correspondence. I therefore			
		//s// Sean Sullivan			
		5/2/17			
		Date			

DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD NOTATIONAL VOTE RESPONSE SHEET

FROM: I

Bruce Hamilton

SUBJECT:

Amendment by Board Member Santos to YELLOW FOLDER

Doc#2017-100-045, Board Letter on DOE Order 232.2A on Occurrence

Reporting

Doc Control#2017-100-045B

Approved	Disa	pproved_X_		Abstain
Recusal – Not Par	ticipating			
COMMENTS:	Relow X	Attached	None	2

This Amendment proposes to invoke 42 U.S.C. § 2286b(d) to require a DOE briefing and report to the Board on what specific actions, given the changes to DOE Order 232.2A, will be taken to ensure that nuclear safety and its oversight are not degraded at defense nuclear facilities prior to implementing DOE Order 232.2A. The justification for this Amendment reads, "The impact of changes to occurrence reporting resulting from deleted Order requirements could lead to less discipline toward nuclear safety and could adversely impact the safety posture of the defense nuclear complex. Studies of major accidents across many industries continue to reinforce the importance of having a strong learning culture with adequate information flow and accountability at all levels and across all stakeholders. DOE's action to revise DOE Order 232.2A appears to be a step in the opposite direction and could end up being a contributing factor that negatively impacts the sustainability of adequate protection of the health and safety of the public."

This Amendment is unsound on two counts.

First, the Amendment suggests that the Board knows better than DOE how to manage DOE's internal affairs, and it implies that a legitimate role for the Board is to help DOE in improving its nuclear safety posture. This is contrary to the Board's mission, as specified in 42 U.S.C. § 2286a.(a), which states clearly that the Board is to, "...provide independent analysis, advice, and recommendations to the Secretary of Energy ... in providing adequate protection of public health and safety at ... defense nuclear facilities." In other words, the Board is limited to informing the Secretary when adequate protection of public health and safety is compromised. The Board should refrain from suggesting, nudging, or otherwise encouraging improvements in safety which do not reach the adequate protection threshold: to do otherwise would have the Board

assume a role in optimization or continuous improvement in DOE's internal management without being accountable for any increased costs and/or schedule delays that might results.

Second, the Amendment proposes to misapply the Board's statutory authority. 42 U.S.C. § 2286b(d) authorizes the Board to, "... establish reporting requirements for the Secretary of Energy" The Board should generally practice a narrow interpretation of its statutory authority to require reports. This authority should be used with discretion, such as when information has been difficult to obtain through informal staff-to-staff interaction or when periodic recurring reports on program status are warranted. 42 U.S.C. § 2286b(d) should not be used as a mechanism to convey either an explicit or an implied mandate for DOE to carry out an activity. In this case, that appears to be the message. Further, 42 U.S.C. § 2286b(d) should not be used as a surrogate for a recommendation. In the event that the issues identified in the Staff Issue Report, either individually or in totality, challenged the "... adequate protection of the public health and safety...," the statutorily appropriate path would be to recommend action to the Secretary of Energy. In this case, there is no indication that this threshold has been reached.

I therefore disapprove.

Bruce Hamilton

Date

DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD NOTATIONAL VOTE RESPONSE SHEET

FROM:	Jessie H. Roberson			
SUBJECT:	Amendment by Board Member Santos to YELLOW FOLDER Doc#2017-100-045, Board Letter on DOE Order 232.2A on Occurrence Reporting			
Doc Control	#2017-100-045B			
Approved \(\section \)	Disapproved Abstain			
Recusal - No	t Participating			
COMMENT	S: Below Attached None			

Jessie H. Roberson

Data

C-			n S	ha	140	
Lа	me	ro	ns	ne		

From:

Daniel J. Santos

Sent: To:

Tuesday, May 02, 2017 1:53 PM Cameron Shelton; Shelby Qualls

Subject:

RE: Notational Vote: Doc#2017-100-045B, Amendment by Board Member Santos to

YELLOW FOLDER Doc#2017-100-045, Board Letter on DOE Order 232.2A on Occurrence

Reporting - YELLOW FOLDER

Approved with the following comment:

The impact of changes to occurrence reporting resulting from deleted Order requirements could lead to less discipline toward nuclear safety and could adversely impact the safety posture of the defense nuclear complex. Studies of major accidents across many industries continue to reinforce the importance of having a strong learning culture with adequate information flow and accountability at all levels and across all stakeholders. DOE's action to revise DOE Order 232.2A appears to be a step in the opposite direction and could end up being a contributing factor that negatively impacts the sustainability of adequate protection of the health and safety of the public.

From: Cameron Shelt	on		
Sent: Tuesday, May 0	2, 2017 1:32 PM	,	
To: Bruce Hamilton	; Daniel J. Sant	tos	; Jessie Roberson
	; Joyce Connery	; Sean Sullivan	
Cc: Glenn Sklar	; Katherine Herrera	; Ja	mes Biggins
Richard Reback	; Steven Stokes	; Richard	Tontodonato
ExSec	; Adam Poloski	; Chris Roscetti	; John Pasko
;	: Timothy Dwyer	3)	
Subject: Notational V	ote: Doc#2017-1 <mark>00-045B, Amendment</mark>	by Board Member Santos	to YELLOW FOLDER Doc#2017-
100-045, Board Letter	r on DOE Order 232.2A on Occurrence	Reporting - YELLOW FOLD	ER

This email is an electronic record of Notational Vote. Voting ballot will follow shortly. Also, accepting electronic votes.

DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD NOTATIONAL VOTE RESPONSE SHEET

FROM:

Members of the Board

SUBJECT:

Amendment by Board Member Santos to YELLOW FOLDER Doc#2017-100-045, Board

Letter on DOE Order 232.2A on Occurrence Reporting

DOC# 2017-100-045B

Office Directors have provided their input.

Approved		
Disapproved		
Abstain		
Recusal – Not Particina	ting	

DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD NOTATIONAL VOTE RESPONSE SHEET

FROM:	Joyce L. Connery			
SUBJECT:	Amendment by Board Member Santos to YELLOW FOLDER Doc#2017-100-045, Board Letter on DOE Order 232.2A on Occurrence Reporting			
Doc Control	#2017-100-045B			
Approved		Abstain		
Recusal – No	ot Participating			
COMMENT	S: Below_X Attached	None		
		4° 1°		

I agree with the analysis and the concern for the potential impacts of the implementation of the new Order as written. I would prefer to receive the information from the Department first and then, if needed, request a briefing. I do not think we need to be overly prescriptive in how the Department provides the Board with the information requested.

Joyce L. Connery

5)2/17

Date