National Nuclear Security Administration

Department of Energy
National Nuclear Security Administration
Washington, DC 20585

July 18, 2006

The Honorable A.J. Eggenberger
Chairman
Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board
625 Indiana Avenue, N.W., Suite 700
Washington, D.C. 20004-2901

Dear Mr. Chairman:

On July 1, 2003, you sent a letter to the Administrator concerning site-wide lightning protection and detection issues at the Nevada Test Site (NTS). The Board also requested to be kept abreast of National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) actions regarding the concerns.

On May 14, 2004, the Administrator forwarded a response from the Nevada Site Office (NSO) addressing the Board's issues. In its response, NSO also committed to (1) developing a site-wide policy on lightning safety and (2) conducting a study of the effectiveness of lightning detection systems at the NTS. As requested by the Board, the enclosed submittal from NSO provides the results of these actions.

If you have any questions, please contact Xavier Ascanio at (301) 903-3757 or Jay Norman, NSO Manager, at (702) 295-3211.

Sincerely,

Thomas P. D'Agostino
Deputy Administrator
for Defense Programs

Enclosure

cc; w/ enclosure:
L. Brooks, NA-1
X. Ascanio, NA-17
M. Whitaker, DR-1
J. Norman, NSO
K. Thornton, NSO

SEPARATION

PAGE

06.0900

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION
NEVADA SITE OFFICE

ORDER

NSO O 440.X2

Approved: 11-22-05
Review Date: 11-22-07
Expires: 11-22-09

SITE-WIDE LIGHTNING DETECTION AND PROTECTION

National Nuclear Security Administration

INITIATED BY:
Office of the Assistant Manager for Site Operations

1. OBJECTIVE. To establish the requirements for site-wide lightning detection and protection at the National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) Nevada Site Office (NNSA/NSO) Nevada Test Site (NTS) to protect property and guard the safety of NTS personnel.

2. CANCELLATION. None.

3. APPLICABILITY.

   a. The provisions of this Order apply to all NNSA/NSO organizational elements including contractors, National Laboratories, other federal agencies, and other user organizations performing work under the purview of NNSA/NSO.

   b. Contractor requirements are contained in the Contractor Requirements Document (CRD), Attachment 1. Compliance with the CRD is required to the extent set forth in an NNSA contract.

4. REQUIREMENTS.

   a. The Air Resources Laboratory/Special Operations and Research Division (ARL/SORD) will maintain the capability to provide NTS weather forecasts.

   b. ARL/SORD will maintain the capability to detect lightning within 20 miles of the NTS boundary.

   c. ARL/SORD will notify the Operations Coordination Center when lightning has been detected within 20 miles of the NTS boundary.

   d. The Operations Coordination Center will maintain the capability for site-wide notification of hazardous weather conditions.

   e. The Operations Coordination Center will maintain a Hazardous Weather Notification List which identifies specific facilities and project activities meeting the following criteria:

      (1) Facilities or activities that involve the handling of energetic materials.

      (2) Hazardous Category II and III nonreactor nuclear facilities.

(2) Provides oversight and direction to the Operations Coordination Center for maintaining the Hazardous Weather Notification List and providing live-voice notification for facilities and project activities included on the Hazardous Weather Notification List.

c. Assistant Manager for National Security. Provides oversight and direction to ARL/SORD for maintaining lightning detection capabilities, providing weather forecasts, and notifying the Operations Coordination Center of hazardous weather conditions.

6. REFERENCES. SORD Technical Memorandum, SORD-2005-01, *Analysis of Thunderstorm Forecasts and Cloud-to-Ground Lightning in the Vicinity of the Nevada Test Site.*

7. CONTACT. Questions concerning this Order should be addressed to AMSO at (702) 295-1541.

NNSA Kathleen A. Carlson
Manager

CONTRACTOR REQUIREMENTS DOCUMENT

1. Contractors, National Laboratories, other federal agencies, and other user organizations performing work under the purview of the National Nuclear Security Administration Nevada Site Office (NNSA/NSO) must:

   a. Identify their facilities and project activities that meet the criteria for inclusion on the Hazardous Weather Notification List.

   b. Submit facilities and project activities to be included on the Hazardous Weather Notification List to the cognizant NNSA/NSO Assistant Manager for approval.

   c. Establish lightning procedures specific to their facilities and project activities identified on the Hazardous Weather Notification List that include:

      (1) Establishment of a lightning proximity threshold boundary (minimum 10 miles) that allows sufficient time to halt work and place personnel and vulnerable assets or assemblies in a lightning safe configuration.

      (2) A process for live voice notification by the Operations Coordination Center of the facility manager or designee of lightning within the established lightning proximity threshold.

      (3) Requirements to verify current and forecast weather conditions with the Air Resources Laboratory/Special Operations and Research Division (ARL/SORD) prior to the start of facility or project activities unacceptably impacted by potential lightning hazards.

      (4) Provisions and controls for notifying personnel of a lightning alert.

      (5) Provisions and controls that place personnel, vulnerable assets, and/or assemblies in a lightning safe configuration.

      (6) Specific criteria for terminating a lightning alert.

2. In addition to the requirements above, The NNSA/NSO Performance-Based Management Contractor will:

   a. Maintain the Hazardous Weather Notification List (HWNL).

CONTRACTOR REQUIREMENTS DOCUMENT

1. Contractors, National Laboratories, other federal agencies, and other user organizations performing work under the purview of the National Nuclear Security Administration Nevada Site Office (NNSA/NSO) must:

   a. Identify their facilities and project activities that meet the criteria for inclusion on the Hazardous Weather Notification List.

   b. Submit facilities and project activities to be included on the Hazardous Weather Notification List to the cognizant NNSA/NSO Assistant Manager for approval.

   c. Establish lightning procedures specific to their facilities and project activities identified on the Hazardous Weather Notification List that include:

      (1) Establishment of a lightning proximity threshold boundary (minimum 10 miles) that allows sufficient time to halt work and place personnel and vulnerable assets or assemblies in a lightning safe configuration.

      (2) A process for live voice notification by the Operations Coordination Center of the facility manager or designee of lightning within the established lightning proximity threshold.

      (3) Requirements to verify current and forecast weather conditions with the Air Resources Laboratory/Special Operations and Research Division (ARL/SORD) prior to the start of facility or project activities unacceptably impacted by potential lightning hazards.

      (4) Provisions and controls for notifying personnel of a lightning alert.

      (5) Provisions and controls that place personnel, vulnerable assets, and/or assemblies in a lightning safe configuration.

      (6) Specific criteria for terminating a lightning alert.

2. In addition to the requirements above, The NNSA/NSO Performance-Based Management Contractor will:

   a. Maintain the Hazardous Weather Notification List (HWNL).

b. Validate and update the HWNL annually.

c. Provide site-wide Hazardous Weather Notifications through the Operations Coordination Center when notified of hazardous weather conditions by ARL/SORD.

d. Provide live-voice notification of facilities and project activities included on the HWNL when notified of hazardous weather conditions by ARL/SORD.

3. ARL/SORD will:

a. Maintain the capability to provide Nevada Test Site (NTS) weather forecasts.

b. Maintain the capability to detect lightning within 20 miles of the NTS boundary.

c. Notify the Operations Coordination Center when lightning has been detected within 20 miles of the NTS boundary.

d. Maintain the capability to provide specialized weather forecasts for facilities and project activities as requested.

SEPARATION

PAGE

SORD Technical Memorandum: SORD-2006-02

Investigation of Range-Applicable Lightning Detection Systems

Darryl Randerson
Walter W. Schalk

Air Resources Laboratory
Silver Spring, Maryland
March 2006

noaa NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION

Notice

Table of Contents

List of Tables

ABSTRACT

An investigation of current operating lightning activity/potential monitoring systems was conducted. Ten Federal facilities were identified and contacted. Three facilities were visited. Four primary systems were identified across all facilities: Field Mills, Magnetic Direction Finders (MDF), the National Lightning Detection Network (NLDN), and Lightning Detection And Ranging (LDAR) systems. One facility employed all four systems, while two facilities use three of the systems. The primary capability used by these three facilities was the MDF system. These facilities did have field mills; however, the primary purpose was to determine the static electrical field and not to determine lightning activity or potential.

INVESTIGATION OF RANGE-APPLICABLE LIGHTNING DETECTION SYSTEMS

Darryl Randerson and Walter W. Schalk

ARL/SORD
Las Vegas, Nevada

1. Objective

To investigate current operating systems that detect lightning and are used to guard the safety of personnel, to protect property, and to help safeguard sensitive equipment.

2. Methodology

- Identify significant operational facilities that may have a requirement to monitor lightning activity or the potential for lightning strikes.
- Review technical literature and identify lightning detection and tracking systems.
- Contact the identified facilities to obtain information about their activity/potential monitoring systems. Make site visits where appropriate.
- Analyze data collected.
- Prepare draft report and present to Lightning Focus Group.
- Address comments.
- Prepare final report.

3. Findings

Table 1 lists the facilities that were contacted regarding lightning detection and monitoring systems. The facilities contacted ranged across the Federal community. A majority of the locations are DOE/NNSA sites; however, NASA, DOD, and NOAA are represented. Table 2 summarizes the lightning detection capabilities at these facilities. The capabilities fell into four categories; Field Mills, dedicated Magnetic Direction Finders (MDF), the National Lightning Detection Network (NLDN), and Lightning Detection And Ranging (LDAR) systems.

Table 3 lists technical characteristics of the four primary lightning detection systems used by the major Federal facilities contacted. The systems used at the NTS are shaded in blue.

Table 4 lists the number of sensors for each system installed at the facilities contacted.

Table 1: Facility and Contact Type

Facility/Organization	Federal Affiliation	E – mail	Telephone	Site Visit
Cape Canaveral / KSC	NASA	X	X	
PANTEX	DOE / NNSA	X	X	X
LANL / DX	DOE / NNSA	X	X	X
NTS	DOE / NNSA	NA	NA	NA
SRL	DOE / NNSA		X	
INEEL	DOE / NNSA		X	
Richland	DOE		X	
YMPO	BN / SAIC	X	X	
White Sands	DOD		X	
Severe Storms Lab	NOAA		X	
New Mexico Tech	NA			X

Table 2: Lightning Detection Capabilities at Major Facilities

Facility/Organization	Field Mills[1]	Dedicated MDF	NLDN	LDAR / LMA
Cape Canaveral / KSC	X	X	X	X
PANTEX	X	X	X	
LANL / DX	X		X	
NTS	X	X	X	
SRL	X		X	
INEEL				
Richland			X	
YMPO		X[2]	X	
White Sands			X	X[3]
Severe Storms Lab	NA	X	X	X
New Mexico Tech			X	X

RED denotes primary system used.
(1) Measures electric field strength
(2) Uses access to NTS MDF system
(3) LMA being installed

Of the eleven facilities listed in the tables, three standout as having a substantial total capability. These facilities are Cape Canaveral / KSC, PANTEX Plant, and the Nevada Test Site.

The most extensive lightning detection system is at Cape Canaveral / KSC in Florida. Their total capability uses all four of the systems outlined in this investigation. Each system has a primary purpose in support of KSC missions. The primary system for lightning activity information is the Magnetic Direction Finders (MDF). The KSC uses field mills in support of rocket launch activities to detect the static electricity field near the launch facility. A high static electrical field measurement can postpone a rocket launch. As explained by KSC personnel: The exhaust from a rocket is plasma-like which acts as a conductor and compresses the existing static field. If the existing static field is large enough, the rocket will create a lightning strike upon itself that can have very catastrophic effects.

Table 3: Technical Characteristics of the Primary Lightning Detection Systems (NTS Systems are in blue)

	Field Mills[1]	Dedicated MDF	NLDN	LDAR / LMA
Sensor Spacing	8 – 16 km	40 – 75 km	200 – 400 km	6 – 10 km
Effective Range	10 – 20 km	200 – 300 km	National	100 km
Lightning Detected	All (Flash Strength)	Cloud-to-Ground	Cloud-to-Ground	All
Flash Detection Efficiency	>90%	95%	80% – 90%	≈100%
Location Accuracy	2 – 20 km	0.5 km	0.5 – 1.0 km	0.1 km
Peak Location Rate	80 – 85 min^{-1}	80 – 90 min^{-1}	800 min^{-1}	10,000 min^{-1}
Source	Commercial	Commercial	Commercial	Research
Operational	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Customers	Few	Many	National	Limited
Approximate Cost Installed	$5,000 - $10,000 (each)	$350,000 (3 – 5 DFs)	NA	$400,000 - $600,000

NTS Systems are shaded blue.
(1) Measures electric field strength

At the Nevada Test Site (NTS), the MDF capability is also the primary site safety system for detecting and tracking lightning activity. NTS procedures for personnel and operational safety are linked to information received from these lightning sensors and interpreted by National

Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) ARL/SORD staff. The primary function of field mills on the NTS is to detect the static electrical field in the environment surrounding explosive and hazardous materials. In addition, SORD meteorologists have the following assets to assist in the prediction of thunderstorm activity and detect and track lightning:

- NOAA NEXRAD RADAR,
- NOAA weather satellite imagery (GOES West),
- SORD NTS weather network,
- SORD upper-air sounding system (GPS and NOAA microARTS),
- DRA surface weather observations, and
- Local/national atmospheric stability/thunderstorm prediction parameters.

The PANTEX Plant in Amarillo, TX, employs the same capabilities as the NTS. The primary capability for site safety is the MDF system. Plant procedures for personnel and operational safety are linked to information received from these lightning sensors. PANTEX also uses field mills. The primary function of the field mills is to detect the static electrical field in the environment surrounding the movement and disassembly/assembly of hazardous materials.

Table 4: Lightning Detection and Tracking Sensors for Major Facilities

Facility/Organization	Field Mills[1]	Dedicated MDF	NLDN	LDAR / LMA
Cape Canaveral / KSC	31	5	105	7
PANTEX	3	4	105	
LANL / DX	6		105	
NTS	6	6	105	
SRL	1		105	
INEEL				
Richland			105	
YMPO		6	105	
White Sands			105	1
Severe Storms Lab	NA	1	105	1
Lightning Research Center, AZ				

RED denotes primary system used.
(1) Measures electric field strength

Four different lightning activity/potential monitoring systems have been identified. After gathering information from the various sites, benefits and shortcomings of each system can be identified. Table 5 displays this analysis.

Table 5: Benefits and Shortcomings of the Four Primary Lightning Detection/Potential Systems

System	Benefits	Shortcomings
MDF and LDAR	- Indicates when the atmosphere is becoming electrically active - Displays electrical activity on maps as occurring - Indicates the movement of electrical activity - Indicates the amount of electrical activity - Indicates the trend of electrical activity - Indicates when the electrical activity is diminishing - Detection capability covers a large area, allowing time to assess local safety issues and provide warnings	- High Cost - Need at least 2 DFs; 3 preferred - Limited range (< 300 km) - Requires professional interpretation
Field Mills	- Low Cost - Easy to Use - Detect all electrical discharges - Detect electrical potential	- Limited Range (not much better than eyes and ears) - Limited display capabilities - Threshold must be identified - False-positive alerts
NLDN	- Low cost - Low maintenance - Easy to Use - Indicates when the atmosphere is becoming electrically active - Displays electrical activity on maps as occurring - Indicates the movement of electrical activity - Indicates the amount of electrical activity - Indicates the trend of electrical activity - Indicates when the electrical activity is diminishing - Detection capability covers a large area, allowing time to assess local safety issues and provide warnings	- Not site specific - Limited accuracy

4. Summary

After investigating the capabilities and systems employed by ten federal operational facilities, four primary capabilities were identified. One facility, Cape Canaveral / KSC, used all four, while two facilities, NTS and PANTEX, used three. The site missions of the NTS and PANTEX have some general similarities, but contrast greatly with the Cape Canaveral / KSC mission. However, the over-arching purpose is for personnel and operational safety. The primary system at all three facilities was the MDF capability. While these three facilities do use field mills, the purpose of the information received from them was neither to determine lightning activity nor potential, but rather to measure the static electric field in explosive and hazardous material areas.

Field mills are used at one facility, LANL, as the primary system. Overall, four facilities use MDFs as the primary system, and three use the NLDN. The LDAR/LMA is a research grade system that is being evaluated and is not available commercially. Based on conversations with system developers, the addition of an LDAR/LMA system to the NTS might increase the lightning detection envelope by 5 to 10 minutes.

Activities completed and final comments:

- Assessed lightning detection and tracking systems at 10 major federal facilities
- Four different systems/networks were identified
- Compared the NTS system with those at other facilities
- Field mills serve as the primary lightning detection system at only one site, LANL
- Number of field mills at NTS is adequate to meet operational needs
- The MDF system is the primary system at 4 sites and the NLDN is primary at 3 facilities
- The LDAR/LMA is primarily a research grade system that is being evaluated and is not in commercial production
- Addition of LDAR/LMA might increase lightning detection safety envelop by 5 to 10 min.
- Recommend reanalysis when LDAR/LMA system becomes operational and available.
- The NTS MDF system was designed to provide very high resolution on the NTS (within 0.25 km), provide high sensitivity (detect 95 to 98% of cloud-to-ground lightning), and streamline data flow to customers.

SEPARATION

PAGE

SORD Technical Memorandum: SORD-2006-01

Analysis of Thunderstorm Forecasts and Cloud-to-Ground Lightning in the Vicinity of the Nevada Test Site

Darryl Randerson

Air Resources Laboratory
Silver Spring, Maryland
February 2006

noaa NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION

Notice

Table of Contents

List of Figures

List of Tables

ABSTRACT

Summertime (June through September) cloud-to-ground (CG) lightning flash activity in the vicinity of the NTS was analyzed for 2003 and 2004. These data were melded with the SORD daily weather forecasts to permit evaluation of the reliability of forecasts of thunderstorm activity on the NTS. A total of 83% of the thunderstorm/CG predictions were correct. Based on the analysis of local upper-air soundings taken on thunderstorm days, a representative speed of movement of thunderstorm activity across the NTS is 20 mph. Additional analysis of the time of occurrence of thunderstorm and CG lightning data showed that if thunderstorms are forecast in the 0800 LT NTS weather forecast package, a two-hour window of safety exists prior to the occurrence of thunderstorms and CG lightning on the NTS.

ANALYSIS OF THUNDERSTORM FORECASTS AND CLOUD-TO-GROUND LIGHTNING IN THE VICINITY OF THE NEVADA TEST SITE

Darryl Randerson

ARL/SORD
Las Vegas, Nevada

I. Introduction

Thunderstorms and the lightning activity accompanying them can not only damage property and sensitive equipment, but are also a safety hazard for personnel working on and around the Nevada Test Site (NTS). In addition, these storms can be accompanied by strong surface winds and heavy precipitation that can cause flash flooding. Although thunderstorms can occur all year, Quiring (1983), Randerson (1997), and Skrbac (1999) have shown that 75-80% of the thunderstorms over southern Nevada occur during the summer months; June through September. Moreover, Randerson and Sanders (2002) have characterized cloud-to-ground (CG) lightning activity on the NTS. Their analysis shows a large inter-annual variation in CG lightning on the NTS, a peak in flash activity between 1300 and 1500 PDT, the effect of terrain, and a CG flash rate of 50 to 75 fl/hr in the most active thunderstorms.

Forecasting thunderstorm activity on the NTS is provided by National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Air Resources Laboratory (ARL) research meteorologists/forecasters working at the Special Operations and Research Division (SORD). Weather forecasts for the NTS are issued twice daily, Monday through Friday, at 0800 and 1500 LT. Hazardous weather advisories and lightning alerts are issued as required to a wide variety of programs conducted on the NTS. Lightning data are acquired from the NTS Lightning Detection System operated and maintained by ARL/SORD. This system and the Lightning Alert process have been described by Randerson and Sanders (1999) and updated by Randerson (2004).

II. Data

Summertime (June through September) cloud-to-ground (CG) lightning flash activity in the vicinity of the NTS was analyzed for 2003 and 2004. Data were tabulated for a total of 244 days. CG flash activity was stratified into two categories; namely, CG flashes that were detected within the 20-mi Lightning Alert Area and those that occurred on the NTS. A total of 33,024 CG flashes were detected within the Alert Area. Of these flashes, 7036 (or 21%) were detected on the NTS. Days on which the CG flashes occurred within the 20-mi Alert Area were identified as CG lightning or thunderstorm days. These data were melded with the SORD daily weather forecasts to permit evaluation of the reliability of forecasts of thunderstorm activity on the NTS. The NTS weather forecast package used was the one issued by the SORD Duty Forecast at 0800 PDT, Monday through Friday. All these forecasts were scanned to identify the days on which thunderstorms were forecast for the NTS. In addition, the dates on which Lightning Alerts were issued were also tabulated for the summers of 2003 and 2004. These days were identified as CG lightning, or, thunderstorm days.

Another data file was created to capture the estimated mean transport speed of thunderstorms near the NTS. This file was created by using the dates on which NTS thunderstorms occurred and the archive of atmospheric upper-air soundings taken twice daily at the Desert Rock Meteorological Observatory (DRA); at 0500 PDT and 1700 PDT. The results of all the analyses of these data are described next.

III. Results

NTS thunderstorms prediction and CG lightning:

Of the total number of 0800 PDT forecasts issued, there were 58 forecasts for thunderstorms on the NTS and 61 CG lightning days. Lightning Alerts were issued for all thunderstorm days, except for three days. On one day, the lightning occurred off the NTS and after hours so that no one was on duty at DRA. In the other two cases, the Duty Forecaster decided not to issue an Alert because the thunderstorms were not on the NTS, were dissipating, and were moving away from the NTS. In both cases a single CG flash was detected just inside the Alert Area.

The contingency table shown in Table 1 summarizes these data. For the thunderstorm or CG lightning days, 78% were predicted correctly and 21 % were not. If one deletes the three anomalies above; the correct score rises to 83%. Of the other "no" forecasts, NTS Lightning Alerts were issued immediately, as required. Thunderstorms did not occur on 10 days (4%) for which they were forecast. Overall, 91% of the forecasts were correct.

Table 1. NTS thunderstorm predictions versus observations of CG lightning; number of events.

		CG Lightning Observed	
		Yes	No
NTS Thunderstorm Predicted	Yes	48	10
	No	13	173

CG Lightning Days and Issued Lightning Alerts:

Lightning Alerts were issued on all days during which thunderstorms occurred within the 20-mi Lightning Alert Area. On a few days more than one lightning alert was issued; either to extend the alert to a later time or to issue a new alert late in the day after one issued early in the morning

had expired. Days with one or more Alerts were classified a lightning alert day. Table 2 presents the data on this comparison. The three anomalies are explained in the previous section. Skill in issuing Lightning Alerts is obvious.

Table 2. CG flashes detected within the Alert Area versus Lightning Alert Days; number of events.

		Lightning Alert Issued	
		Yes	No
CG Lightning Observed in Alert Area	Yes	58	3
	No	0	180

Thunderstorm Translation Speed:

A solid technical basis for establishing a representative speed of movement for thunderstorms lies in the DRA atmospheric sounding data collected twice daily, at 0500 and 1700 PDT, to altitudes near 30 km. To create the required data base, first, all summer days with CG lightning within the 20-mi Alert Area were tabulated and identified as NTS lightning or thunderstorm days. A day with one or more Lightning Alerts and, or, with early morning thunderstorms followed by afternoon thunderstorms and late evening storms is a lightning or thunderstorm day. As shown in the above tables, there were a total of 61 lightning days.

Second, archived upper-air sounding data for DRA were accessed for each lightning day. Mean thunderstorm speed of movement was determined by estimating the average wind speed between the 700-mb and 300-mb levels, or, approximately, between the 10,000-ft and 30,000-ft levels above mean sea level. The speed data were then separated into 5 mph categories ranging from 0-5 mph to 46-50 mph. These data are summarized in Figure 1. The data show that on 56% of the lightning days, thunderstorm translational speeds were ≤ 20 mph. Of the days with faster speeds, 15% occurred in late spring or late summer.

Operationally, SORD Duty Weather Forecasters can accurately determine thunderstorm translational speeds from the twice-daily upper-air soundings taken at DRA. If speeds greater than 20 mph are measured, the forecaster can easily factor this information into the Lightning Alert process, providing ample time for response by safety personnel. Moreover, the forecaster has access to high resolution NOAA satellite imagery of southern Nevada, to NOAA weather radar data, and to 15-min meso-scale meteorological data from the NTS. All these data sources are monitored by the Duty Forecaster and used to track weather conditions and to make the best possible thunderstorm/lightning forecasts for the NTS.



Figure 1. Distribution of Thunderstorm Mean Transport Speeds for 61 NTS Thunderstorm Days, June through September, 2003 and 2004.

Timeliness of NTS Thunderstorm Forecasts

To evaluate the beginning of CG lightning within the 20-mi Lightning Alert Area relative to the forecast of thunderstorm (and lightning) activity, the CG lightning data base was accessed to identify the time of occurrence (PDT) of the first CG flash. These data were matched with the days during which thunderstorms were forecast for the NTS in the 0800 PDT forecast package. Figure 2 portrays the results of this analysis. The figure shows that 85% of the thunderstorms and CG lightning detected within the 20-mile Alert Area occurred after 1000 PDT, or at least, 2 hrs after the 0800 PDT forecast for NTS thunderstorms was issued. Consequently, NTS personnel had at least a two-hour advisory of the potential for thunderstorms and CG lightning on the NTS. For the 15% of the events occurring prior to 0800 PDT, Lightning Alerts were issued by SORD Physical Science Technicians working at DRA in all cases except the one that occurred between 0000 and 0100 PDT when DRA was unmanned.

IV. Summary

- A solid technical basis for establishing a representative speed of movement for thunderstorms is given based on meteorological data collected on the NTS.
- When thunderstorm activity is included in the 0800 PDT NTS forecast package, personnel working on the NTS normally have, at least, a two-hour window in which to prepare to implement safety actions should a Lightning Alert be issued by SORD personnel.



Figure 2. Distribution of the time of detection of the first CG flash within the 20-mile Lightning Alert Area on days when thunderstorms were forecast for the NTS in the 0800 PDT forecast package.

IV. References

Quiring, R.F., 1983: Precipitation Climatology for the Nevada Test Site, WSNSO-351-88, NOAA/ARL/SORD, Las Vegas, NV, 34 pp.

Randerson, D., 1977: Analysis of Extreme Precipitation Events in Southern Nevada, NOAA Technical Memorandum ERL ARL-221, NOAA/ARL/SORD, Las Vegas, NV, 94 pp.

Randerson, D. and J.B. Sanders, 1999: Cloud-to-Ground Lightning Flash Detection and Warning System for the Nevada Test Site, Proceedings of the 1999 International Conference on Lightning and Static Electricity (ICOLE), P-344, Toulouse, France, June 1999, SAE International, Warrendale, PA, 6 pp.

Randerson, D. and J.B. Sanders, 2002: Characterization of Cloud-to-Ground Lightning Flashes on the Nevada Test Site, NOAA Technical Memorandum ERL ARL-242, NOAA/ARL/SORD, Las Vegas, NV, 23 pp.

Randerson, D., 2004: Unified Lightning Detection, Alert, and Warning System, Proceedings of the 18th International Lightning Detection Conference, 6-11 June 2004, Ref. No. 26, Helsinki, Finland, 3 pp. Vaisala International, Tuscon, Arizona.

Skrbac, P. 1999: Climate of Las Vegas, Nevada, NOAA Technical Memorandum NWS WR-260, National Weather Service, Las Vegas, NV, 49 pp. + figs.

(ltgn.vs.progs.doc)